

# PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

**Name of Facility:** Shawnee Correctional Center

**Facility Type:** Prison / Jail

**Date Interim Report Submitted:** 03/03/2023

**Date Final Report Submitted:** 08/31/2023

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Auditor Full Name as Signed:</b> Kendra Prisk	<b>Date of Signature:</b> 08/31/ 2023

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
<b>Auditor name:</b>	Prisk, Kendra
<b>Email:</b>	2kconsultingllc@gmail.com
<b>Start Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	02/06/2023
<b>End Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	02/07/2023

FACILITY INFORMATION	
<b>Facility name:</b>	Shawnee Correctional Center
<b>Facility physical address:</b>	6665 State Route 146 East, Vienna, Illinois - 62995
<b>Facility mailing address:</b>	

<b>Primary Contact</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Michael Nolen
<b>Email Address:</b>	michael.nolen@illinois.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	618-658-8331 ext. 22

<b>Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Darren Galloway
<b>Email Address:</b>	darren.galloway@illinois.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	618-658-8331

<b>Facility PREA Compliance Manager</b>
---

<b>Facility Health Service Administrator On-site</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Ashley O'Neal
<b>Email Address:</b>	ashley.oneal@illinois.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	6186588331 ext. 2262

<b>Facility Characteristics</b>	
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b>	1863
<b>Current population of facility:</b>	1516
<b>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	1379
<b>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</b>	No
<b>Which population(s) does the facility hold?</b>	Males
<b>Age range of population:</b>	19-75
<b>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</b>	Medium

<b>Does the facility hold youthful inmates?</b>	No
<b>Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:</b>	353
<b>Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	56
<b>Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	5

<b>AGENCY INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Name of agency:</b>	Illinois Department of Corrections
<b>Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):</b>	
<b>Physical Address:</b>	1301 Concordia Court, Springfield, Illinois - 62794
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone number:</b>	

<b>Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	
<b>Email Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	

<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information</b>			
<b>Name:</b>	Ryan Nottingham	<b>Email Address:</b>	ryan.nottingham@illinois.gov

<b>Facility AUDIT FINDINGS</b>
<b>Summary of Audit Findings</b>

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

**Number of standards exceeded:**

1

- 115.12 - Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

**Number of standards met:**

44

**Number of standards not met:**

0

## POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

### GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

#### On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-02-06
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-02-07

#### Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Rape Crisis Services and JDI

### AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	1863
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1379
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	18
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

**Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

**Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<b>36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</b>	1403
<b>38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	2
<b>39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	8
<b>40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	6
<b>41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	70
<b>42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	20
<b>43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	38

<p><b>44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>21</p>
<p><b>47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</b></p>	
<p><b>49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>353</p>
<p><b>50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>5</p>

<p><b>51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>45</p>
<p><b>52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>INTERVIEWS</b></p>	
<p><b>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>20</p>
<p><b>54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender  <input type="checkbox"/> Other  <input type="checkbox"/> None </p>
<p><b>55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</b></p>	<p>The following inmates were selected from the housing units: six were from 1A, three were from 1B, two were from 1C, four were from 1D, one was from 2A, two were from 2B, two were from 2C, one was from 2D, four were from 3A, one was from 3B, two were from 3C, one was from 3D, four were from 4A, two were from 4D, two were from the infirmary and three were from segregated housing.</p>



<p><b>56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>37 of the inmates interviewed were male and three were transgender female. Nine of the inmates interviewed were black, nineteen were white, eight were Hispanic, and four were another race/ethnicity. With regard to age, six were between eighteen and 25; ten were 26-35; eight were 36-45; seven were 46-55 and nine were 56 or older. Eighteen of the inmates interviewed were at the facility less than a year, fifteen were there between a year and five years and seven were there six to ten years.</p>
<p><b>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>20</p>
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
<p><b>60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>There were 2 during the on-site but the audit tool requires inmates to be interviewed from hearing, vision and disabled, not one from each category.</p>
<p><b>61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>There were 6 during the on-site but the audit tool requires inmates to be interviewed from hearing, vision and disabled, not one from each category.</p>
<p><b>63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</b></p>	<p>5</p>

<p><b>68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>A review of the high risk list and victim housing documents as well as communication with staff.</p>
<p><b>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Staff Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>14</p>

<p><b>72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p><b>If "Other," describe:</b></p>	<p>Race, Gender and Ethnicity</p>
<p><b>73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>Security staff mainly make up three shifts, first shift works from 7am-3pm, second shift works from 3pm-11pm and third shift works from 11pm-7am. Five staff were interviewed from first shift, five were from second shift and four were from third shift. With regard to the demographics of the random staff interviewed; thirteen were male and one was female. Thirteen were white and one was black. Seven were Correctional Officers, two were Sergeants, two were Lieutenants and three were Majors.</p>
<p><b>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p>	
<p><b>75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b></p>	<p>26</p>

<b>76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

**80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)**

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:</b>	Mailroom
<b>81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:</b>	1
<b>b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</b>	2
<b>b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other



<b>83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</b>	No text provided.
---	-------------------

**SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING**

**Site Review**

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<b>84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

**Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:**

<b>85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

<b>86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

<b>87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

**88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?**

Yes

No

**89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).**

The on-site portion of the audit was conducted on February 6-7, 2023. The auditor had an initial briefing with facility leadership and discussed the audit logistics. After the initial briefing, the auditor selected inmates and staff for interview as well as documentation to review. The auditor conducted a tour of the facility on February 6, 2023. The tour included all areas associated with the facility to include; housing units, laundry, warehouse, intake, visitation, religious services, education, vocation, facilities, food service, health services, recreation, industries, outside garage, property, clothing storage, commissary and administration. During the tour the auditor was cognizant of staffing levels, video monitoring placement, blind spots, posted PREA information, privacy for inmates in housing units and other factors as indicated in the appropriate standard findings.

The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. Reporting mechanisms on the Posters included: to any staff person, via the PREA Hotline, through a note, request slip or grievance, by writing to the PREA Coordinator or by sending privileged mail to the John Howard Association. The Posters (specifically the Reporting Poster) also included contact information for outside victim advocacy services (Rape Crisis Services). The Poster indicated that victim advocacy can be provided by phone or in-person, will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in

larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. Third party reporting information was observed in visitation and the front entrance via the End the Silence Poster and PREA Reporting Poster. The posters were observed in English and Spanish with adequate size font.

During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows the staffing plan. There were at least three security staff and numerous non-security staff assigned to each housing building. Additionally, there was a zone supervisor across four zones. Program, work and education areas included non-security staff and a either a positioned or roving security staff member. In areas where security staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. The auditor confirmed that the physical plant of the housing units provided an adequate line of sight. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded and staffing appeared to be adequate based on the facility population. The auditor did identify two blind spots during the audit, one was in the clothing storage area and one was in the laundry. The auditor also identified a few areas that would benefit from the installation of cameras (included in the recommendations). Informal conversation with staff confirmed that the staffing during the audit was typical and housing units are not overcrowded. Staff stated they make rounds every 30-minutes and supervisors make rounds a few times a shift. Informal conversation with inmates also confirmed that staff make rounds every 30-45 minutes and a supervisor comes through numerous times a day. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housings units and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of

blind spots and high traffic areas.

A review of the cameras confirmed that there were no concerns with cross gender viewing or privacy in bathrooms and showers.

Cameras are monitored in central control and administrative staff and investigative staff also have access. Additionally, the auditor did not observe any issues with the mirrors utilized for blind spots.

During the tour the auditor observed that all showers were single person and had walls and/or lattice doors and curtains. Curtains were utilized for each stall as well as the entrance to the shower area. Toilets were in each individual cell and provided privacy through doors with security windows. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that inmates have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing clothes.

During the tour the auditor viewed the strip search area in intake. Inmates are strip searched in an open room with mobile barriers for privacy. The auditor also observed the strip search area in visitation. Searches are completed in a room with a solid door.

With regard to the opposite gender announcement, the auditor heard the announcement made verbally upon entry into the housing units as well as over the PA system upon entry. Additionally, the auditor was shown how the announcement was sent over the paging system for the deaf and hard of hearing inmates with watches. Informal conversation with staff and inmates indicated that the opposite gender announcement is made, however the PA system is hard to understand.

Medical records are all paper and the records area is staffed 7am-3pm Monday through Friday. The records door is locked at all times and only medical and mental health care staff have access. Medical and mental health care staff are the only ones with access to the records and approval must be obtained before

records can be viewed/released. Investigative files are paper and electronic. Only Internal Affairs staff have access to the investigations. Risk screening information is maintained in the paper file and in the electronic 360 program. Paper files are scanned into 360 and then maintained in the inmate record. Records is staffed Monday through Friday 8am-5pm and is locked after hours. Leadership staff are the only ones with access to records after hours. The records room contains a list of staff that are able to view the inmate's file and if they are not on the list they can't review the file. Risk screening information was located on the left side of the inmate file. The 360 program also maintains the risk screening information. The auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses of each inmate's risk assessment.

During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the drop boxes around the facility, including the locked drop boxes in each housing unit. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is logged and comes unsealed if it not legal mail. The mail is read and inspected for contraband. Legal mail comes sealed and is not read or inspected. A return address is required as well as postage. The staff member confirmed that letters to JHA and the local rape crisis center are treated like legal mail. The staff stated that the incoming mail process involves the inspection of mail upon arrival. Letters are scanned and read through to ensure there is no contraband. Legal mail is given to the inmate in front of a staff member (letter is sealed) and the inmate opens it in front of the staff so the staff can confirm there is not any contraband. Again the staff stated that incoming mail from JHA and the local

rape crisis center would be treated like legal mail.

The auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Inmates are provided PREA information at intake via the orientation manual (Handbook). The Handbook is available in both English and Spanish. PREA information was observed on the walls in intake via large Reporting Posters.

The auditor was provided a demonstration of the initial risk assessment. The initial risk screening is completed in the Major's office in intake. The door is closed for privacy and confidentiality. The staff utilize the paper form of the risk assessment. Prior to arrival staff check the 360 program to review criminal history, discipline, prior risk designations and other information. The staff indicated that number one through four of the risk assessment is pre-populated in the system (age, height, weight, etc.). The staff then ask all the other questions on the risk screening. At the end of each section responses are added for a point total. If they fall within a certain range they are referred to mental health to review the designation. Th staff complete the risk screening and supervisors review the risk screening as a checks and balance. Those who are determined to be predator or vulnerable are forwarded to the Central Office staff for the official designation.

The auditor called the internal PREA hotline on February 6, 2023 and left a message to test functionality. Inmates are advised to select English or Spanish upon contact with the hotline. Initial phone instructions are provided in English and Spanish, however the hotline instructions are only available in English. The auditor received confirmation from the PC the same day the call was placed that the call was received and forwarded to him. Inmates have access to the phones most

of the day. The internal PREA hotline is accessible on all phones but does require an inmate number. Inmates in segregated housing have access to the phones once a week through a rolling or cordless phone. The auditor also tested the written reporting process. The auditor had an inmate assist with filling out and filing a grievance on February 6, 2023. The auditor was provided confirmation on February 7, 2023 that the grievance was received by the facility.

The auditor also tested the outside reporting mechanism via a letter to the John Howard Association at a prior IDOC audit. The auditor obtained an envelope and sent a letter to the John Howard Association on January 10, 2023. The auditor obtained assistance from an inmate to utilize his name and number on the return address. The letter was placed in the outgoing US mail box by the inmate. While a return name and number is required, the mail staff do not open this mail and as such inmates are able to remain anonymous within the letter. The John Howard Association is utilized for numerous services and they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor received confirmation on January 20, 2023 that the letter was received by the John Howard Association. A copy of the letter that was mailed was forwarded back to the auditor as well as the confirmation from John Howard Association staff that the inmate can remain anonymous.

Additionally during the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they submit a written report. Staff indicated if they received a verbal report they would notify the supervisor and complete a 434 (incident report). The staff obtained an incident report form from a filing cabinet in the officer's station. The staff demonstrated how they would fill the information on the form out by hand and then give it to their supervisor. The staff confirmed that if the supervisor was involved in the alleged incident they would



bypass him/her and provide it to the next supervisor in the chain of command.

The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism on January 22, 2023. The auditor called the PREA hotline as outlined on the agency website. The hotline is the same hotline utilized for the inmate population. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on January 23, 2023 that the message was received and forwarded to him to handle. He indicated he would provide the information to the facility for investigation if it was a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The auditor tested the access to victim advocacy services during the on-site portion of the audit. Inmates can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phones, which are monitored or recorded or they can set up a legal call or visit with the organization through medical, mental health or their counselor. The inmate would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal visit room or legal call room. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor requested the facility get in contact with the advocacy organization to test the functionality of this method. The victim advocacy organization did not return the PREA Coordinators call to set up the test until March 3, 2023.

The auditor had the facility conduct a mock demonstration of the comprehensive PREA education process. The auditor observed that the education is completed in the re-entry classroom. The education is done in a group setting. Staff verbally go over rules and regulation at the facility as well as PREA information. Inmates are provided a Handbook in English or Spanish and the staff goes over how to report, including through a

kite, any staff member, the hotline number or via grievance. The staff inform the inmates where the information is posted around the facility and they go over the types of sexual abuse and how to prevent it. The staff also go over what to do if an individual is sexually abused and next steps including mental health assistance. The inmate signs the orientation receipt and keeps all the paper materials. The intake staff indicated that they utilize other inmates to translate the education information for LEP individuals and that they read the information aloud one-on-one for any disabled individuals.

During inmate interviews the auditor utilized Propio for the two LEP inmate interviews. The auditor was provided the call in number and the PREA Coordinator entered the client information for access. The services require a pin number and it is only accessible through staff. Propio provided phone interpretation for two Spanish speaking inmates. It should be noted that the auditor interviewed five disabled inmates, however none required accommodations. Both hearing impaired inmates had hearing aids and the other three could hear and understand the auditor's questions appropriately.

### Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

**90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?**

Yes

No

**91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).**

During the audit the auditor requested personnel and training files of staff, inmate files, medical and mental health records, grievances, incident reports and investigative files for review. A more detailed description of the documentation review is as follows:

Personnel and Training Files. The facility has 353 staff assigned. The auditor reviewed a random sample of 34 personnel and/or training files that included five individuals hired within the past twelve months, three staff promoted during the previous twelve months, eight contractors, two volunteers and six medical and mental health care staff.

Inmate Files. A total of 44 inmate files were reviewed. 22 inmate files were of those that arrived within the previous twelve months, three were LEP inmates, five were disabled inmates, three were transgender or intersex inmates and eleven were identified during the risk screening with prior sexual victimization and/or a history of prior abusiveness.

Medical and Mental Health Records. The auditor reviewed medical and mental health documentation for seven victims of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as mental health documents for the eleven inmates who disclosed victimization during the risk screening and/or were identified with prior sexual abusiveness.

Grievances. The facility indicated they had five sexual abuse grievances. The auditor reviewed all sexual abuse grievances as well as the grievance log and sample grievances.

Hotline Calls. The facility has an internal hotline. There were 53 calls to the hotline during the previous twelve months. One of the calls was an allegation of sexual abuse.

Incident Reports. The auditor reviewed the incident reports for nine allegations (two deemed not sexual abuse or sexual

harassment) as well as a sample incident reports.

Investigation Files. There were nine allegations reported during the previous twelve months, two of which did not rise to the level of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed all nine investigations.

## **SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY**

### **Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview**

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

#### **92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual abuse allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	3	0	3	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	4	0	4	0
<b>Total</b>	7	0	7	0

**93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual harassment allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	3	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	1	3	0
<b>Total</b>	0	1	6	0

**Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:**

7

<p><b>99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>



<p><b>105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</b></p>	
<p><b>106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:</b></p>	<p>There were zero sexual harassment allegations reported that met the definition of repeated. Two sexual harassments allegations were reported that were not repeated and the auditor reviewed those investigations.</p>
<p><b>107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

<p><b>110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</b></p>	<p>The auditor reviewed all investigations, including the two that did not rise to the level of PREA. There were zero criminal investigations.</p>

## SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

### DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

**115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.**

- Yes  
 No

### Non-certified Support Staff

**116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.**

- Yes  
 No

## AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

**121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?**

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

<b>Standards</b>	
<b>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)</li>   <li>• Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)</li>   <li>• Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)</li> </ul>	
<b>Auditor Discussion Instructions</b>	
<p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>	

<b>115.11</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive (AD) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Shawnee Correctional Center Institutional Directive (ID) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention</li> <li>4. Administrative Directive 01.02.103 Duty Administrative Officer, Back-up Duty Administrative Officer and Required Inspection Tours</li> <li>5. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders</li> <li>6. Administrative Directive 05.01.113 Searches of Offenders</li> </ol>

7. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation
8. Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations
9. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process
10. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents
11. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence
12. Administrative Directive 01.12.101 Employee Criminal Misconduct
13. Administrative Directive 01.12.125 Uniform Investigative Reporting System
14. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignment
15. Administrative Directives 01.01.101 Administrative Directives
16. Administrative Directive 01.02.101 Staff Meeting
17. Administrative Directive 04.01.122 Volunteer Services
18. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training
19. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing
20. Administrative Directive 04.01.114 Local Offender Grievance Procedures
21. Administrative Directive 03.01.120 Employee Review Hearing
22. Standard Operating Procedural (SOP) Manual for Mental Health
23. Illinois Administrative Code 20.504
24. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
25. Agency Organizational Chart
26. Facility Organizational Chart

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.11 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. The PAQ also stated that the facility has a policy outlining how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that the policy includes definitions on prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The PAQ further stated that the policy includes a description of agency strategies and response to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The agency policy, AD 04.01.301 outlines the agency's strategies on preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and include definitions of prohibited behavior. Page 1 states that the agency has a zero tolerance policy. In addition ID 04.01.301, outlines the facility specific procedures on preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Page 2 (both policies) provide the definitions of prohibited behaviors and page 12 outlines sanctions for those who have participated in prohibited behaviors. In addition to AD and ID 04.01.301, the agency has numerous other policies that address portions of sexual abuse prevention, detection and response strategies. The policies include: 01.02.103, 04.03.104, 05.01.113, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, 01.12.101, 01.12.115, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, 05.15.100, 04.01.114, 03.01.120, SOP Manual for Mental Health and Illinois Administrative Code 20.504. The policies address "preventing" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designation of a PC and PCM, training (staff, volunteers and contractors), staffing, intake/risk screening, inmate education and posting of signage (PREA posters, etc.). The policies address "detecting" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through training (staff, volunteers, and contractors) and intake/risk screening. The policies address "responding" to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through reporting, victim services, medical and mental health services, employee and inmate discipline, incident reviews and data collection. The policies are consistent with the PREA standards and outline the agency's approach to sexual safety. Additionally, the agency has the PREA Manual which addresses each provision of each standard and has corresponding direction, if applicable, related to the provision/standard. The PREA Manual is utilized by agency staff as a road map for PREA compliance.

115.11 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. AD 04.01.301, page 3 states that the Director shall designate an Agency PREA Coordinator who shall develop, implement and oversee the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program. The agency's organizational chart reflects that the PC position is an upper-level, agency-wide position. The position is the Senior Public Service Administrator who reports to the Chief Compliance Officer who reports to the Director. The interview with the PC indicated that the work gets done, but often requires that he work long hours. He stated the Department is currently in the process of restructuring the PREA Unit to

incorporate additional staff. Eventually, the IDOC PREA Compliance Unit will consist of one Senior Public Service Administrator (Agency PREA Coordinator), two Administrative Assistant II positions, and three Internal Security Investigator II positions. The PC stated there are a total of 31 PREA Compliance Managers and 31 Backup PREA Compliance Managers. Collaboration with the individuals occurs using in-person and WebEx meetings, SharePoint and an email distribution list in Outlook. Additionally, he stated that site visits are made to all facilities, and he is always available via email/phone. The interview with the PC indicated that if he identifies an issue complying with a PREA standard he would contact the specific Department Head and notify them of a concern and develop corrective action collectively. He indicated if the issue requires a policy change, the Department's Policy and Directive Unit as well as the Legal Department are utilized. Additionally, he stated that he can also utilize the National PREA Resource Center and networking with other states if necessary.

115.11 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility has designated a PREA Compliance Manager that has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA standards. The PAQ stated the position of PCM at the facility is the Caseworker Supervisor and the position reports to the Assistant Warden of Programs. AD 04.01.301, page 4 states that the Chief Administrative Officer of each correctional facility shall designate a facility PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA standards and who is trained in sexual abuse crisis issues and has the knowledge, skills and abilities for program implementation and evaluation. The facility's organizational chart indicates that the PCM reports to the Assistant Warden of Programs. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated she felt she had enough time to manage all of her PREA related responsibilities. She stated her role in coordinating the facility's PREA compliance is through following the Administrative Directive and the Institutional Directive. The PCM indicated if she identified an issue complying with a PREA standard she would refer to the AD and make any necessary adjustments to correct the issue.

Based on a review of the PAQ, AD 04.01.301, ID 04.01.301, 01.02.103, 04.03.104, 05.01.113, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, 01.12.101, 01.12.115, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, 05.15.100, 04.01.114, 03.01.120, SOP Manual for Mental Health, Illinois Administrative Code 20.504, the PREA Manual, the agency organizational chart, the facility organizational chart and information from interviews with the PC and PCM, this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.12</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard

## Auditor Discussion

### Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Contracts for Confinement of Inmates

### Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency's Contract Administrator

### Findings (By Provision):

115.12 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has entered into or renewed two contracts for the confinement of inmates since the last PREA audit and both contracts require the contractor to adopt and comply with PREA standards. A review of the two contracts confirmed that language is included in each contract that states that the "vendor shall comply with all applicable fiscal, operational and program policies of the IDOC contained in Administrative Directives, Administrative Rules and applicable memoranda. IDOC shall provide at least one complete set to the Center". It also states that the "vendor shall grant open access, at all times, to the IDOC for inspection, audits, routine IDOC business and any other purposes relating to this program as determined by the IDOC".

115.12 (b): The PAQ indicated that the two contracts require the agency to monitor the contractor's compliance with PREA standards. The interview with the Agency Contract Administrator indicated that individual correctional facilities do not contract for confinement services on their own. The IDOC does contract with Safer Foundations for the confinement of offenders in a Community Confinement setting. The two facilities are stand-alone facilities and both facilities undergo their own PREA audit every three years just like the facilities operated by the State. The Agency Contract Administrator confirmed that both contracts require full compliance with the PREA standards and both PREA audit reports are available on the IDOC website. A review of the agency website confirmed that both contracted facilities had a PREA audit completed during each of the previous three audit cycles. All reports are available for review on the website.

Based on the review of the PAQ, the language within the contracts and information from the interview with the Agency Contract Administrator, the agency appears to exceed this standard. The agency has two contracts, both which have language that



	<p>require the contractor (Safer Foundations) to comply with PREA standards. In addition to the language, the agency goes above and beyond by coordinating and paying for the PREA audits for the contracted agency. The agency does this to ensure that the contractor complies with the PREA standards and is fully compliant. The PC schedules these audits and includes them in his PREA audit three year cycle.</p>
--	--

<b>115.13 Supervision and monitoring</b>	
--	--

	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
--	---

	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
--	----------------------------------

	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 01.02.103 Duty Administrative Officer, Back-up Duty Administrative Officer and Required Inspection Tours</li> <li>4. Staffing Plan</li> <li>5. Camera Listing</li> <li>6. Staffing Plan Review</li> <li>7. Deviations from the Staffing Plan (Daily Rosters)</li> <li>8. Documentation of Unannounced Rounds</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator</li> <li>4. Interviews with Intermediate-Level or Higher-Level Facility Staff</li> </ol> <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Staffing Levels</li> <li>2. Video Monitoring Technology or Other Monitoring Materials</li> </ol>
--	--

Findings (By Provision):

115.13 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against abuse. AD 04.01.301 pages 4-5 address the agency's staffing plan development. Specifically, it states that the Chief Administrative Officer of each correctional facility shall ensure the facility develops, documents and makes its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse and sexual harassment. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities shall take into consideration: generally accepted correctional practices, any judicial findings of inadequacy, any finding of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies, any finding of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies, all components of the facility's physical plant including blind-spots or areas where staff or offenders may be isolated, the composition of the offender population, the number and placement of supervisory staff, institutional programs occurring on a particular shift, any applicable State or local laws, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of abuse and any other relevant factors. The PAQ indicated that the staffing plan is based on 1863 inmates (which is facility capacity). The PAQ stated that staffing plans are based on the total numbers of posts if all housing units are in operational. The facility employs 353 staff. Security staff mainly make up three shifts; 7:00am-3:00pm, 3:00pm-11:00pm and 11:00pm-7:00am. Each shift has a Shift Supervisor as well as zone supervisors (Lieutenants), Sergeants and Correctional Officers. Supervisors and Officers are assigned to housing units as well as other areas including; tower, health care, patrol, control, dietary, movement, relief, gatehouse, visiting room, yard, gym, programs/chapel, industries, commissary, education and vocation. Additionally, medical and mental health care staff and administrative staff have their own varied scheduled work hours. The facility provided a document that lists the cameras located throughout the facility. Cameras are located in housing units, food service, industries, health services education and administration to assist with supervision and monitoring. During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows the staffing plan. There were at least three security staff and numerous non-security staff assigned to each housing building. Additionally, there was a zone supervisor across four zones. Program, work and education areas included non-security staff and a either a positioned or roving security staff member. In areas where security staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. The auditor confirmed that the physical plant of the housing units provided an adequate line of sight. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded and staffing appeared to be adequate based on the facility population. The auditor did identify two blind spots during the audit, one was in the clothing storage area and one was in the laundry. Additionally, there auditor observed a few doors that were left unsecure, which created blind spots. The auditor also identified a few areas that would benefit

from the installation of cameras (included in the recommendations). Informal conversation with staff confirmed that the staffing during the audit was typical and housing units are not overcrowded. Staff stated they make rounds every 30-minutes and supervisors make rounds a few times a shift. Informal conversation with inmates also confirmed that staff make rounds every 30-45 minutes and a supervisor comes through numerous times a day. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housing units and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a staffing plan that includes adequate levels to protect inmates from sexual abuse. He stated the staffing plan is adequate as the facility has a roster management plan and mandatory posts are set up to ensure inmates are protected. The Warden stated that they have video monitoring technology but it is not part of the staffing plan as it is not monitored 24/7, but rather mainly used for investigative purposes. He confirmed the staffing plan is documented and that leadership staff have a copy of the staffing plan. He further confirmed that all the required elements under this provision are included in the development and review process. He stated that the plan accounts for all their needs for everything that occurs on the roster. He stated if they have extra duties such as groups or school they would have additional staff for those activities. He stated a lot of the facilities are set up the same so there is specific staffing related to physical plant. He also indicated that staffing is based on security level and aggression level of the inmates at the facility. Additionally, staffing is higher on shifts where more activity and movement is occurring. The Warden stated he reviews the staffing roster daily to check for compliance with the plan. The PCM stated that she really has not been involved with the staffing plan and that she deals a lot more with movement and programs. She did confirm that there are more staff on shifts with programs and movement. She also stated there are more staff in areas with higher security individuals.

115.13 (b): The PAQ indicated that each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan. The PAQ further indicated that there have been no deviation or common reasons for deviations. AD 04.01.301, page 5 states that if circumstances arise where the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility shall document and justify all deviation from the plan on the Daily Roster review, DOC 0531, in accordance with 05.01.101. The interview with the Warden confirmed that any deviations from the staffing plan would be documented on the daily roster. He stated that any closures or areas that are not staffed would be documented and then sent to him for review and he would send it to the Deputy Director for review. A review of twelve daily rosters confirmed that deviations are documented through the number of staff in each category (i.e. call ins, training, military, etc.) as well the posts that are closed due to the deviations.

115.13 (c): The PAQ indicated that at least once a year the facility in collaboration with the PC, reviews the staffing plan to see where adjustments are needed. AD

04.01.301, page 5 states that whenever necessary, but no less frequent than once per year, the facility, in consultation with the Agency PREA Coordinator, shall assess, determine and document whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established herein, the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies, and the resources the facility has available to ensure adherence to staffing plan. The staffing plan was most recently reviewed on October 19, 2022. The plan was reviewed to assess, determine and document whether any adjustments were needed to the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitoring technologies and/or the resources available to commit to ensuring adherence to the staffing plan. The staffing plan review included documentation on the facility staffing allocations, cameras and all the required components under provision (a) of this standard, including physical plant, finding of inadequacy, composition of inmate population, programs occurring on each shift, incidents of sexual abuse and other relevant factors. The staffing plan was previously reviewed on July 13, 2021. The PC confirmed that he is consulted regarding each facility's staffing plan. He stated staffing assessments are reviewed consistently by Operations (Roster Review Team, Security Review Team, etc.) and updates to the Staffing Plan are signed off on by the facility Warden and PREA Coordinator on an annual basis.

115.13 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ further states that the facility documents the unannounced rounds and the rounds cover all shifts. Additionally, the PAQ stated that the facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds.

01.02.103, page 3 states that the Back-up Duty Administrative Officer (BUDAO) or Duty Administrative Officer (DAO) shall at least every two days, excluding weekends and holidays, inspect activity areas of the facility, housing units, including restrictive housing, kitchens and dining rooms, health care units, recreation areas and educational, vocational, maintenance and industry buildings. Page 4 states that the BUDAO shall conduct unscheduled inspections of random areas within the facility for all major holidays, during back shift, each weekend and at satellite facilities. The policy states that the unscheduled inspection of random areas within the facility on the DOC 0481. The policy further states that staff shall be prohibited from alerting other staff member that supervisory rounds are occurring unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational function of the facility. A review of the PAQ supplemental documentation indicated that the DAO makes rounds throughout the housing units and common areas. The documentation confirmed that the DAO makes rounds during each shift. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor requested documentation from five specific days over the previous twelve months to determine if unannounced rounds were being made. At the issuance of the interim report the requested documentation had not been provided. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that supervisors make rounds at least a few times a day. The interviews with the intermediate-level or higher-level staff confirm that they make unannounced rounds and that they document the unannounced rounds in the log books and in the binder in the Major's office. The supervisors indicated they

ensure staff don't notify one another of their unannounced rounds by doing them at different times. One supervisor stated that they have a tower and it is their job to watch where everyone goes so they know when he is coming but that he does the unannounced rounds at different times and goes different routes. Another supervisor stated that he makes rounds whenever and wherever he can based on the daily activities.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.02.103, the facility staffing plan, the staffing plan review, camera listings, documentation of unannounced rounds, deviations from the staffing plan, observations made during the tour and interviews with the PC, PCM, Warden and intermediate-level or higher-level staff, this standard appears to be compliant. The auditor did identify two blind spots during the audit, one was in the clothing storage area and one was in the laundry. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor requested documentation from five specific days over the previous twelve months to determine if unannounced rounds were being made. At the issuance of the interim report the requested documentation had not been provided.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to install a mirror in the clothing storage area to eliminate the blind spot. Additionally, the facility will need to address the mop closet with a curtain and the covered windows in the laundry area. Photos of the areas will need to be provided to the auditor. Additionally, the facility will need to send out a reminder to all staff on the requirement to secure all doors when not in use. The facility will also need to provide the requested documentation of unannounced rounds.

#### Recommendation

The auditor highly recommends that the facility install cameras in the following areas: the warehouse, industries and property. Additionally, the auditor recommends that mirrors be installed in the laundry room of each housing unit.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this

	<p>standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Training Memorandum Related to Securing Doors</li> <li>2. Photos of Modifications</li> <li>3. Unannounced Rounds</li> </ol> <p>On March 31, 2023 the facility provided a training memorandum from the Warden. The memorandum was dated March 31, 2023 and was required to be read for five consecutive days at roll call. The memo indicated that staff should ensure that all doors remain secure.</p> <p>On July 23, 2023 the facility provided photos of the mirror installed in the clothing area, the removal of the curtain in laundry and the removal of the window covering in laundry.</p> <p>On August 24, 2023 the facility provided additional documentation related to the dates requested for the unannounced rounds. Documentation was provided to the auditor confirming that staff on all shifts made rounds in each housing unit on the date requested or a date within the same week.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.14</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Public Act 99-628</li> <li>3. Memorandum from Legal Counsel</li> </ol>

Findings (By Provision):

115.14 (a): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Shawnee Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

115.14 (b): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Shawnee Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

115.14 (c): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Shawnee Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

Based on a review of the PAQ, the memo from Legal Counsel and Public Act 99-628, this standard appears to be not applicable and as such, compliant.

**115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

## **Auditor Discussion**

### Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders
4. Administrative Directive 05.01.113 Searches of Offenders
5. Post Description Correctional Officer Housing Unit Wing 1
6. Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care for Transgender People in Correctional Settings Curriculum
7. Personal Searches Curriculum
8. Guidance on Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches
9. Personal Search Card
10. Staff Training Records

### Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interviews with Transgender and/or Intersex Inmates

### Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Privacy Barriers
2. Observation of Cross Gender Announcement

### Findings (By Provision):

115.15 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not conduct cross gender strip or cross gender visual body cavity searches of inmates. The PAQ further indicated that areas where strip searches are conducted (visiting room shakedown, gatehouse,



sallyport, industries, maintenance and dietary) have gender specific posts to ensure there are no cross gender searches conducted. The PAQ stated zero searches of this kind were conducted at the facility over the past twelve months. 05.01.113, page 2 states that cross-gender strip searches shall be prohibited. A review of the Personal Searches Curriculum confirmed that page 4 discusses the prohibition under 05.01.113.

115.15 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, absent exigent circumstances and the facility does not restrict female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision. The PAQ further indicated that Shawnee Correctional Center does not house female inmates and as such this provision does not apply. The Personal Searches Curriculum, page 4 indicates that staff are trained that only female correctional employees, who are properly trained, are authorized to conduct pat down or clothed body searches of female offenders. There were zero cisgender female inmates at the facility. Interviews with three transgender inmates indicated that none were restricted from going somewhere in order to comply with this provision. Two transgender inmates stated they were never searched by staff of the opposite gender of their preference. One transgender inmate stated she was searched by a male but that was prior to them knowing she was transgender. All fourteen staff indicated there is always a female available to conduct searches of transgender inmates and they do not restrict access to programs and privileges in order to comply with this provision.

115.15 (c): The PAQ indicated that facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches and cross gender visual body cavity searches be documented and that all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates be documented. The PAQ stated that the facility does not house female inmates and as such that part of the provision does not apply. 01.12.105, page 3 states that notification of serious and significant unusual incidents shall be in accordance with the provisions of this directive. Page 3 states that following initial notification of the respective Deputy Director or Chief, the Chief Administrative Officer shall ensure electronic notification of the incident is provided and the notification includes the date and time, offenders involved, staff involved and narrative of the incident. The Personal Search Manual, page 4 states that in exigent or emergency circumstances, a male correctional employee, who is properly trained, may conduct a search if a properly trained, female correctional employee is not available. An exigent or emergency situation is one in which a reasonable suspicion exists that a weapon, or another item of serious contraband, is present and it presents an immediate danger to the offender(s), facility security, or the public which cannot be safely averted either by securing, escorting or isolating the offender. Interviews with three transgender inmates indicated that two were never searched by staff of the opposite gender of their preference. One transgender inmate stated she was searched by a male but that was prior to them knowing she was transgender.

115.15 (d): The PAQ stated that the facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Additionally, the PAQ stated that policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. 04.01.301, page 7 indicates that offenders shall be able to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Page 7 further notates that staff of the opposite gender, whether assigned to the unit or not, shall make the following verbal announcement upon their arrival in a housing unit "Male/Female in the housing unit". Additionally, Post Description Correctional Officer Housing Unit Wing 1, page 2 states that the assigned correctional officer is responsible for announcing any male staff member upon their entrance to the housing unit in accordance with PREA Standard 115.15 (Limits to Cross Gender Viewing) "Knock and Announce" policy. In addition, a general announcement that male staff will be present should occur at the beginning of each shift and at least once more during the shift. A review of the cameras confirmed that there were no concerns with cross gender viewing or privacy in bathrooms and showers. Cameras are monitored in central control and administrative staff and investigative staff also have access. Additionally, the auditor did not observe any issues with the mirrors utilized for blind spots. During the tour the auditor observed that all showers were single person and had walls and/or lattice doors and curtains. Curtains were utilized for each stall as well as the entrance to the shower area. Toilets were in each individual cell and provided privacy through doors with security windows. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that inmates have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing clothes. During the tour the auditor viewed the strip search area in intake. Inmates are strip searched in an open room with mobile barriers for privacy. The auditor also observed the strip search area in visitation. Searches are completed in a room with a solid door. With regard to the opposite gender announcement, the auditor heard the announcement made verbally upon entry into the housing units as well as over the PA system upon entry. Additionally, the auditor was shown how the announcement was sent over the paging system for the deaf and hard of hearing inmates with watches. Informal conversation with staff and inmates indicated that the opposite gender announcement is made, however the PA system is hard to understand. All fourteen random staff interviewed stated that inmates have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing clothes. All 40 inmates interviewed indicated they had never been naked in front of a staff member of the opposite gender and have privacy when using the restroom, showering and changing their clothes. A few of the inmates stated that the curtains to the entrance of the showers were just recently put up. It was determined that the curtains at the entrance to the single showers were up previously and the facility added a second curtain at the entrance of the shower area recently for added privacy. 20 of the 40 inmates stated that staff of the opposite gender announce when they enter inmate housing units. The inmates stated that there are signs up for opposite

gender staff and most of the nurses yell out nurse so they know it's a female. All fourteen staff stated that opposite gender staff announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. One staff member stated that they also utilize the paging system for inmates with hearing impairments.

115.15 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status and no searches of this nature occurred in the past twelve months. 05.01.113, page 2 states that staff shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. If the offender's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversation with the offender, reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. Interviews with fourteen random staff indicated that twelve were aware of an agency policy that prohibits strip searching a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmates' genital status. Interviews with three transgender inmates confirmed that none believed they were searched for the sole purpose of determining their genital status.

115.15 (f): 05.01.113, page 2 states that the Office of Staff Development and Training shall ensure security staff are trained in conducting searches of offenders in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Page 11 further states that offenders designated as transgender non-conforming shall be designated as such in Offender 360 and provided an offender identification card specifying the gender of staff that will perform strip searches of that offender as determined by the Transgender Administrative Committee in consultation with the offender. If a strip search is to be performed, the transgender or gender non-conforming offender shall be searched by the gender of the staff designated on their offender identification card. 04.03.104, pages 8-9 also outline the same information described in 05.01.113. The Personal Search Curriculum pages 3-4 outline the basic guidelines for conducting searches including being systematic, thorough, objective and consistent. Page 5 states that when conducting searches of a transgender or intersex offender, the searches should be conducted in a professional and respectful manner, consistent with the type of search being conducted, and security needs. Searches should be complete in accordance with applicable Administrative Directives or Institutional Directives based on the gender of the facility, unless otherwise directed by the CAO. The training further states that if an offender has been confirmed and identified in Offender 360 or on their identification badge to be transgender or gender non-conforming, the offender may express preferences to be searched by a male or female staff of their gender identify rather than the gender staff above, that request will be considered and if possible, honored, if staff are available to do so. Staff are also provided training titled Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care for Transgender People in

	<p>Correctional Settings. A review of the training confirmed that staff are provided information on definitions and terminology, appropriate language, bias, gender informed professional skills including appropriate language and misgendering, statistics and policy and procedure related to transgender care. Staff are also provided a personal search card that outlines the steps for offender pat-searches and offender strip searches. Additionally, during the PREA cycle training staff view the PREA Resource Center Video, Guidance on Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches. The PAQ indicated that 100% of staff have received this training. Interviews with random staff indicated that thirteen of the fourteen had received training on how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches and searches of a transgender and intersex inmates. A review of sixteen staff training records confirmed that fifteen had received the training during cycle training.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.03.104, 05.01.113 a random sample of staff training records, observations made during the tour to include doors with lattice type material and curtains, the opposite gender announcement, photos received during the interim report period, the training memo from the Warden, as information from interviews with random staff and random inmates indicates this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

<b>115.16</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation</li> <li>4. Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations</li> <li>5. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process</li> <li>6. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>7. Video Remote Interpreting Information and Photos</li> <li>8. Language Interpretation Procedure - Propio Language Services, LLC.</li> </ol>

9. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)

10. Reporting Poster

11. End the Silence Poster

12. Facility Orientation

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head

2. Interviews with Inmates with Disabilities

3. Interviews with LEP Inmates

4. Interviews with Random Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of PREA Posters

Findings (By Provision):

115.16 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has established procedures to provide disabled inmates equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.111, pages 3-4 indicate that the CAO shall ensure offenders are provide with information regarding ADA disability accommodations and shall establish procedures for offender access to teletypewriter (TTY) and Video Remote Interpreting (VRS) equipment. The policy also indicates that the CAO shall find alternative notification methods for auditory announcements (tactile paging system). 05.07.101, page 2 states that all videos used during orientation shall include closed captioning subtitles and closed captioning utilizing American Sign Language which has been reviewed for accuracy of the interpretation by the Illinois Deaf and Hard of Hearing Commissioner or a qualified interpreter. The policy further states that the department shall reserve the first row of seats during orientation for offenders who are disabled. A review of PREA Posters, the Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information can be provided in large font and bright colors and can be read to inmates in terminology that they understand.

Additionally, pages 26-28 of the Handbook provide information on Americans with Disabilities (ADA) including requesting accommodations, telecommunication equipment and sign language information. The facility also provided three photos of the video interpretation phones located throughout the facility. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that the agency has an Administrative Directive, 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations and Propio Language Service Contact that establishes procedures to provide inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English Proficient equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Agency Head stated that orientation/educational materials are available in Spanish and that orientation is also available via video with the use of American Sign Language and Spanish translation. The interview further indicated that offenders have the ability to participate in interactive dialogue with staff if further clarification is warranted. Interviews with five disabled inmates and two LEP inmates indicated that four were provided information in a format that they could understand. Three of the four stated that they have posters in Spanish and they understand the posters but that was the only information they were provided. It should be noted that the auditor interviewed five disabled inmates, however none required accommodations. Both hearing impaired inmates had hearing aids and the other three could hear and understand the auditor's questions appropriately. During the tour the auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. Reporting mechanisms on the Posters included: to any staff person, via the PREA Hotline, through a note, request slip or grievance, by writing to the PREA Coordinator or by sending privileged mail to the John Howard Association. The Posters (specifically the Reporting Poster) also included contact information for outside victim advocacy services (Rape Crisis Services). The Poster indicated that victim advocacy can be provided by phone or in-person, will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. Additionally, the facility illustrated the paging mechanism for inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing as it relates to the cross gender announcement. A page is sent to the inmate watches advising them of the female staff member. A log is maintained of the entries. The auditor was provided examples of the electronic log confirming that the paging system is utilized daily.

115.16 (b): The PAQ indicates that the agency has established procedures to provide inmates with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual

abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.105, page 2 states that for a non-English speaking offender, reasonable efforts shall be made for the orientation to be explained to him or her in a language her or she understands. It further states that offenders shall receive written orientation material and/or translation in their own language and when a literacy problem exists, a staff member shall assist the offender in understanding the materials. The facility also has a contract with Propio Language Services, LLC. This company provides the facility a phone number that they can call that connects the staff member with a translator who can will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The company has interpretation services for over 600 languages. A review of PREA Posters, the Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information is available in both English and Spanish and can be translated into other languages, as needed. During inmate interviews the auditor utilized Propio for the two LEP inmate interviews. The auditor was provided the call in number and the PREA Coordinator entered the client information for access. The services require a pin number and it is only accessible through staff. Propio provided phone interpretation for two Spanish speaking inmates. Interviews with five disabled inmates and two LEP inmates indicated that four were provided information in a format that they could understand. Three of the four stated that they have posters in Spanish and they understand the posters but that was the only information they were provided. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Poster were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish.

Reporting mechanisms on the Posters included: to any staff person, via the PREA Hotline, through a note, request slip or grievance, by writing to the PREA Coordinator or by sending privileged mail to the John Howard Association. The Posters (specifically the Reporting Poster) also included contact information for outside victim advocacy services (Rape Crisis Services). The Poster indicated that victim advocacy can be provided by phone or in-person, will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently.

115.16 (c): The PAQ indicated that agency policy prohibits use of inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other type of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation of the

inmate’s allegation. The PAQ further stated the agency/facility documents the limited circumstances and that there were zero instances where an inmate was utilized to interpret, read or provide other types of assistance. 04.01.301, page 9 states staff shall not rely on individuals in custody to act as interpreters when reporting or investigating allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for other individuals in custody who do not speak English, or who may speak very limited English; except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the safety of the individual. Use of such interpreters shall be documented. Interviews with fourteen random staff indicated that eleven were aware of a policy that prohibits utilizing inmate interpreters, readers or other types of inmate assistants for sexual abuse allegations. None of the fourteen were aware of a time that another inmate was utilized for sexual abuse allegations. Interviews with five disabled inmates and two LEP inmates indicated that four were provided information in a format that they could understand. Three of the four stated that they have posters in Spanish and they understand the posters but that was the only information they were provided. None of the four indicted an inmate was utilized to translate, interpret or provide assistance for them.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, the PREA Manual, VRS/TTY information, Propio Language Services LLC information, photos of the video interpretation phones, PREA Posters, the Handbook, observations made during the tour to include the PREA Posters as well as interviews with the Agency Head, random staff, inmates with disabilities and LEP inmates indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

**Recommendation**

The auditor highly recommends that the facility train all staff on the available resources (Propio) that can be utilized for disabled and LEP inmates PREA education, risk screening, etc. This ensures that all staff at the facility are aware of the resources and can utilized them when needed.

<b>115.17</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	Documents:
	1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire



2. Administrative Directive 01.02.107 Background Investigations
3. Administrative Directive 03.02.100 Administrative Review of Personnel or Service Issues
4. Administrative Directive 03.02.108 Standards of Conduct
5. PREA Preemployment Self Report DOC 0450
6. PREA Questionnaire for Institutional Employers DOC 0589
7. Arrest Tracking Process Memorandum
8. Personnel Files of Staff
9. Contractor Background Files

Interviews:

1. Interview with Human Resource Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.17 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may come in contact with inmates, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates if they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution; been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion. 03.02.100, page 3 states that the Department shall not hire, promote or enlist the services of any employee, contractual or otherwise, who may have contact with offenders and: has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility or other institution a defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997; has been convicted of engaging in or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats or force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above. A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that all five had a criminal background records check completed. All five also completed the DOC 0450, which contains the PREA questions outlined under this standard. The auditor requested documentation related to four contractors but had not receive the documentation as of the issuance of the interim report.

115.17 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote any staff or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with an inmate. 03.02.100, page 3 states that the Department shall consider any incident of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or enlist the services of any contractual employee, who may have contact with offenders. The interview with Human Resource staff indicated that the Background Investigation Unit (BIU) reports any incidents that are uncovered while conducting the background check relating to sexual harassment and include these incidents in an Administrative Review (AR) that is forwarded on to the IDOC Executive Staff for their review. This also include contractual employees.

115.17 (c): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with inmates, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks, and (b) consistent with federal, state, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. 01.02.107, pages 2-3 state that background investigations shall be completed on person prior to employment or prior to placement in safety sensitive position and on person who provide services for the Department. There shall be two levels of background investigations: a computer criminal history check which include a check of an individual's criminal history through the Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS) and a complete background investigation which includes a check of LEADS and nine other database queries. Policy indicates a complete background investigation is required for all applicants prior to employment, employees, contractual employees and interns. The policy also indicates that the DOC 0450 is also required for the background investigation. A review of the DOC 0589 confirms that the PREA Questionnaire for Institutional Employers is sent to all prior institutional employers and contains four questions including if the individual was involved in a substantiated sexual abuse allegation and/or a sexual harassment allegation and/or if the individual resigned during a pending investigation of sexual abuse and/or a pending investigation of sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated there were 46 people hired in the past twelve months that may have contact with inmates and all 46 had a criminal background records check completed. A review of five personnel files of staff hired in the previous twelve months indicated that 100% had a criminal background records check completed. One of the five staff had a prior institutional employer listed on the application (worked with those with disabilities) and had a prior institutional employer check. The interview with Human Resource staff confirmed that the Background Investigation Unit (BIU) performs a background check on all request for background investigations sent by facilities. In addition, the BIU performs a check of IDOC Intel, work discipline and any PREA related incidents for all employees promoting to Shift Supervisor or a promotion in a Merit Compensation position. The Human Resource staff also stated that they check IDOC Intel and work discipline for employees that have answered "Yes" on the DOC 0450 (PREA self-disclosure). All contractors who have routine access to individuals in custody go through the background process.

115.17 (d): The PAQ stated that agency policy requires that a criminal background record check be completed before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero contracts at the facility within the past twelve months where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered under the contract. Further communication with the PC indicated that they have had no new contractors hired in the previous twelve months, but all prior contractors (56) have had a criminal background records check completed. 01.02.107, pages 2-3 state that background investigations shall be completed on person prior to employment or prior to placement in safety sensitive position and on person who provide services for the Department. There shall be two levels of background investigations: a computer criminal history check which include a check of an individual's criminal history through the Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS) and a complete background investigation which includes a check of LEADS and nine other database queries. Policy indicates a complete background investigation is required for all applicants prior to employment, employees, contractual employees and interns. The policy also indicates that the DOC 0450 is also required for the background investigation. The auditor requested documentation related to four contractors but had not receive the documentation as of the issuance of the interim report. The Human Resource staff confirmed that all contractors who have routine access to individuals in custody go through the background process.

115.17 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that either criminal background record checks be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates, or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. 03.02.108, page 2 states that employees are required to verbally report as soon as possible but within five working days a written report and final disposition to the Background Investigations Unit any arrest, indictment or conviction for a felony or misdemeanor, other than minor traffic offenses such as a parking ticket. The memo from the Background Investigations Unit staff indicated that every applicant processed by the IDOC had fingerprints submitted through the Illinois State Police LEADS/NCIC system. When fingerprints are submitted, a permanent marker is indicated on the entry which enables arrest tracking. If the individual is ever arrested, the nationwide system generates a direct response to the IDOC Background Investigations Unit which is immediately notified of the arrest. The BIU then contacts the CAO of the facility or program site where the employee/contractor is assigned. The facility provided the auditor examples of employee fingerprint submissions and employee arrest notifications, confirming that the IDOC is notified of any arrests. The interview with Human Resource staff indicated that every applicant processed by the Illinois Department of Corrections Background Investigations Unit has, as part of the background investigations process and as a condition of their employment, fingerprints submitted through the Illinois State Police LEADS/NCIC system. When fingerprints are submitted, a permanent marker is indicated on the entry which

enables Arrest Tracking. If the individual is ever arrested, the nationwide system generates a direct response to the Illinois Department of Corrections Background Investigations Unit which is immediately notified of the arrest. The notification includes the individual's name, date of birth, and other pertinent identifying information, as well as the Agency which effected the arrest and the charge(s).

115.17 (f): A review of the DOC 0450 Prison Rape Elimination Act Pre-Employment Self-Report confirms that all staff (new applicant and promotion) are required to fill out the form which contains the following questions: have you engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or other correctional facility, a pretrial detention facility, a juvenile facility, a facility for persons who are mentally ill or disabled or have intellectual disabilities or are chronically ill or handicapped, a facility providing skilled nursing intermediate or long-term care custodial or residential care or other institution as defined in the Civil Rights Institutionalized Persons Act (42 U.S.C. 1997)?; have you been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community that was facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?; and have you been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; and has there ever been any allegation, complaint or finding made against you regarding any incidents of sexual harassment? A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all five had answered the questions, and none had answered yes. Additionally, the auditor reviewed three staff who were promoted during the previous twelve months and all three had completed the DOC 0450 prior to promotion. The Human Resource staff stated that when an individual applies for employment with IDOC they are required to fill out the DOC 0031, Applicant Information Sheet (AIS). There are numerous questions within the AIS that asks about visiting, corresponding with and living with IDOC offenders. Additionally, the applicant is asked about any contact with Law Enforcement. Applicants and promoting employees are also required to complete the DOC 0450 (PREA self-report). The Human Resource staff member confirmed that staff have a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any previous misconduct. The staff indicated that policy of Standards of Conduct require the employee to disclose misconduct.

115.17 (g): The PAQ indicates that agency policy states that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct or the provision of materially false information is grounds for termination. 03.02.108, page 7 states that any employee who knowingly provides false information, including, but not limited to, false information provided in statements, incident reports, correspondence or an interview shall be subject to disciplinary action, including termination. Additionally, DOC 0450 has a section indicating that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for ineligibility or termination from

employment.

115.17 (h): The interview with the Human Resource staff indicated that IDOC routinely provides this information upon request with a signed release of information.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 01.02.107, 03.02.100, 03.02.108, DOC 0450, DOC 0589, the Arrest Tracking Process Memorandum, a review of personnel files for staff and contractors and information obtained from the Human Resource staff interview indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested documentation related to four contractors but had not receive the documentation as of the issuance of the interim report.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested contractor documents.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Contractor Criminal Background Record Checks

On February 7, 2023 and June 23, 2023 the facility provided the originally requested documentation related to contractors. All four requested had a criminal background records check completed prior to enlisting their services.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Camera Listing

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interview with the Warden

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Modification to the Physical Plant/New Unit
2. Observations of Video Monitoring Technology

Findings (By Provision):

115.18 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. The interview with the Agency Head indicated that the agency has a zero tolerance and that PREA is taken seriously. He stated that they take safety into consideration when planning or making any substantial modifications. The Agency Head indicated they utilize a multi-facet approach to ensure that everyone at the table is able to discuss any issues or items related to building and modification. He further stated they the agency looks at housing for vulnerable populations to ensure safety. The interview with the Warden confirmed there have been no substantial expansions or modifications to the existing facility since the last PREA audit. During the tour the auditor confirmed that there were no modifications to the existing facility. The auditor viewed the facility map and confirmed there were no new building or structures.

115.18 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency/facility has installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. A review of the list of cameras located throughout the facility indicated that cameras are located in

housing units, food service, industries, health services, education and administration to assist with supervision and monitoring. Additionally, the camera upgrade/ installation memo indicated that the facility began upgrading cameras in August 2020 and now has over 130 cameras. Cameras were installed in housing units and common areas to supplement monitoring and enhance safety and security. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that any use of newly updated or installed monitoring technology would be utilized to assist in enhancing the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. He stated that the agency has increased their video monitoring technology and has updated older technology. He indicated that video monitoring is utilized to review and investigate and also to assist with monitoring. He further stated that they review video after an allegation but they also use video monitoring in a proactive approach. The Warden confirmed that when installing or updating video monitoring technology they consider how that technology will protect inmates from sexual abuse. The Warden stated that video monitoring is utilized in dead spots or areas where staff are unable to continuously view on a general basis. The Warden stated he believes they have most of the facility covered with video monitoring technology and that they have over 120 cameras for these purposes. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housings units and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas.

Based on a review of the PAQ, camera location listing, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with the Agency Head and Warden indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents</li> <li>4. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence</li> <li>5. Memorandum of Understanding with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc..</li> </ol>

6. Investigative Reports
7. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police
8. Correspondence with the Illinois State Police

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
3. Interview with SAFE/SANE
4. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.21 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations. Additionally, the PAQ stated that the Illinois State Police is also responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The PAQ indicated that when conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the agency investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, pages 1-2 state that The CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident report, if it is determined that further facts are required. The Director or the respective Deputy Director or Chief may request that the Chief of Operations initiate a Department investigation of any other major incident. Department investigations shall be conducted by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit. 01.12.112 pages 1-2 describe the uniform evidence protocol including preservation and collection. Interviews with fourteen random staff indicated that all fourteen were aware of and understood the protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence. Additionally, eleven of the fourteen staff stated they knew who was responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations. Staff stated that Internal Affairs was responsible.

115.21 (b): The PAQ indicated that the evidence protocol is not developmentally appropriate for youth as the agency does not house youthful inmates. It further



stated that the protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office of Violence Against Women publication "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescents". Further clarification with the PCM indicated that it was not developed for youth as they do not house youth, however it was developed based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's publication. 01.12.112 indicates that prior to evidence collection the scene shall be secured; evidence shall be collected subsequent of searches, sketches and photographs; evidence shall be handled as little as possible and evidence shall be marked and tagged. The memo from the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence also indicated that all Sexual Assault Evidence Kits will be completed by an outside hospital or outside hospital emergency room with trained medical staff and the hospital completing the kit will be responsible for submitting the kit to the Illinois State Police Division of Forensic Services.

115.21 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility offers all inmates who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations at an outside medical facility. The PAQ stated that forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. It further indicated forensic medical examinations are always conducted by SAFE or SANE. The PAQ confirmed that state statute (Illinois Compiled Statutes ILCS) requires forensic medical examination to be performed by SANE/SAFE. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged for co-payments for medical treatment, including a forensic medical examination, obtained for alleged sexual abuse. Treatment shall be provided by a certified Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) at a local emergency room as determined by the local facility. The memo from the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence also indicated that all Sexual Assault Evidence Kits will be completed by an outside hospital or outside hospital emergency room with trained medical staff. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months there was one forensic medical examination conducted by a SANE/SAFE. The auditor contacted Good Samaritan Hospital Mt. Vernon related to forensic medical examinations. Hospital staff indicated that the hospital does conduct forensic medical examinations in the Emergency Department. The staff further stated that they contract with SAFE/ SANE that come into the hospital and conduct all forensic medical examinations at the hospital. Additionally, the staff stated that forensic examinations for an incarcerated individual would follow the same process as any other citizen. A review of investigations indicated there was one inmate transported to the local hospital for a forensic medical examination. Documentation confirmed that the forensic examination was completed by SAFE and the evidence was forwarded to the Illinois State Police.

115.21 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center and the efforts are documented. The PAQ further indicated that if a rape crisis center is not available a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member,

however a rape crisis center advocate is always provided. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Page 8 further states that all response efforts, including efforts to secure advocacy services from a rape crisis center, shall be documented. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc., which was signed on September 13, 2021. The MOU states the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish a joint effort between IDOC and Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling. The MOU further states that it is understood that face-to-face emotional support will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible or emotional support would be provided through confidential, unmonitored, unrecorded phone calls and shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". The interview with the PCM confirmed that individuals in custody are provided access to victim advocacy services. She stated they have information on the victim advocacy service in the orientation manual and that they have set up communication with the organization for those who have requested services. She confirmed that the facility has an MOU with the certified rape crisis center in Carbondale. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none were afforded the opportunity to contact anyone. None of the five stated they were provided contact information to the local rape crisis center for emotional support services. A review of documentation indicated that there was no way to verify whether the individuals in custody were afforded access to a victim advocate after they reported sexual abuse.

115.21 (e): The PAQ indicated that as requested by the victim, the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Page 8 further states that all response efforts, including efforts to secure advocacy services from a rape crisis center, shall be documented. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc., which was signed on September 13, 2021. The MOU states IDOC will follow the Sexual Assault Survivors Emergency Treatment Act (SASETA) when a forensic medical examination is determined by IDOC to be medically and evidentially appropriate in accordance with 115.21, and the examination will be provided at no cost to the inmate. It is expected that the treatment hospital will contact a local rape crisis center as may be specified within a memorandum of understanding or other agreement between the treatment hospital's local rape crisis center pursuant to SASETA. IDOC will allow an inmate transported to a treatment hospital for medical forensic services to access crisis

intervention and medical advocacy while at the treatment hospital. If the PCM is on duty, and as time and circumstances allow, the PCM shall provide notice to the appropriate rape crisis center of an inmate being transported to a treatment hospital for medical forensic services to allow for an advocate to be dispatched earlier than when the hospital calls upon arrival. The MOU further states that after the forensic services is performed, IDOC will inform the inmate how to contact the rape crisis center so that the inmate can independently decide whether to avail himself or herself of additional rape crisis services. The interview with the PCM confirmed that individuals in custody are provided access to victim advocacy services. She stated they have information on the victim advocacy service in the orientation manual and that they have set up communication with the organization for those who have requested services. She confirmed that the facility has an MOU with the certified rape crisis center in Carbondale. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none were afforded the opportunity to contact anyone. None of the five stated they were provided contact information to the local rape crisis center for emotional support services. A review of documentation for the one forensic medical examination did not indicate whether a victim advocate was present during the examination or the investigatory interviews.

115.21 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility is not responsible for investigating administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse. The agency/facility does conduct sexual abuse investigations, however there are certain criminal investigations that are conducted by the Illinois State Police. The MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. A review of documentation confirmed that the PC has annual correspondence with the Illinois State Police related to the Survey of Sexual Victimization. During that correspondence the Illinois State Police confirm that they follow a uniform evidence protocol and the requirements under this standard.

115.21 (g): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h): The facility has an MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center Inc. which is the local rape crisis center with trained/certified victim advocates. Staff are required to maintain necessary training and certification under state law.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, the MOU Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center Inc., investigative reports, the MOU with the Illinois State Police, the correspondence with the Illinois State Police and information from interviews with the random staff, the PREA Compliance Manager, SAFE/SANE and inmate who reported sexual abuse indicates that this standard appears to require

corrective action. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none were afforded the opportunity to contact anyone. None of the five stated they were provided contact information to the local rape crisis center for emotional support services. A review of documentation indicated that there was no way to verify whether the individuals in custody were afforded access to a victim advocate after they reported sexual abuse. A review of documentation for the one forensic medical examination did not indicate whether a victim advocate was present during the examination or the investigatory interviews.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to ensure that all individuals who report sexual abuse are offered access to a victim advocate. This should be documented. Additionally, information should be documented related to victim advocates present during forensic medical examinations and investigatory interviews. Appropriate staff should be trained on this process and a copy of the training will need to be provided. The facility will need to provide examples of how this is documented during the corrective action period.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

1. Training Memorandums Related to Victim Advocacy
2. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During Corrective Action Plan
3. Victim Advocacy Documents

On July 25, 2023 the facility provided a signed training memo related to victim advocacy services. The memo outlined the process for victim advocates during a forensic medical examination, including that the treatment hospital will contact the rape crisis center to provide advocacy services.

	<p>On August 24, 2023 the facility provided a signed training memo related to victim advocacy services outside of the forensic medical examination process. The memo indicated victim advocacy services will be offered via the mental health provider meeting with the victim in response to the PREA report. If the victim accepts advocacy services it is documented on the DOC 0507 and through an email to the PCM. The PCM will coordinate the services. The memo further states that if a victim declines services initially but later wants services they can inform the PCM or mental health authority to coordinate.</p> <p>A list of sexual abuse allegations reported during the corrective action period indicated two victims were offered the services. One declined and one accepted. The facility provided documentation showing they reached out to the local rape crisis center to provide the services.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents</li> <li>4. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police/Office of Executive Inspector General</li> <li>5. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Agency Head</li> <li>2. Interview with Investigative Staff</li> </ol>

Findings (By Provision):

115.22 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, pages 1-2 state that The CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident report, if it is determined that further facts are required. The Director or the respective Deputy Director or Chief may request that the Chief of Operations initiate a Department investigation of any other major incident. Department investigations shall be conducted by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit. The PAQ noted there were nine allegations reported within the previous twelve months. All nine resulted in an administrative investigation and one resulted in a criminal investigation. The PAQ stated that the one criminal investigation was turned over to the Illinois State Police for forensic investigation and was not yet completed. A review of documentation indicated there were nine allegations reported during the previous twelve months, seven were sexual abuse and two were determined not to rise to the level of PREA as they were inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment not repeated. All nine allegations were referred for investigation, including the two that did not rise to the level of PREA. At the time of the on-site portion of the audit, six sexual abuse allegations had an administrative investigation completed and one had a criminal investigation completed. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that the agency ensures an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. He stated the agency has a policy/manual and that all allegations are investigated. He indicated that when an allegation is reported through any of the available channels it is reported to the PCM who then reports it to intel staff. Intel staff complete an investigation and if deemed substantiated it is forwarded for criminal charges. The Agency Head stated that the agency takes all allegations seriously and they prosecute to the fullest extent.

115.22 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The PAQ further stated that the policy is published on the agency's website and all referrals for criminal investigations are documented. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be

investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. A review of the agency website indicates that it states that IDOC investigates all allegations of offender on offender sexual abuse and staff sexual misconduct. It further states that investigations are initiated by the Investigations Unit at IDOC Headquarters. A review of the nine allegations indicated that all nine were investigated by IDOC investigators, including the two that did not rise to the level of PREA. The interview with the investigators confirmed that all allegations are referred to an investigative agency with the authority to conduct criminal investigations.

115.22 (c): The agency/facility has the authority to conduct both administrative and criminal investigations. The Illinois State Police also have the authority to conduct criminal investigations. 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate.

115.22 (d): The PAQ stated that if the agency is not responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations of alleged sexual abuse, and another state entity has that responsibility, this other entity has a policy governing how such investigations are conducted. The agency/facility has the authority to conduct both administrative and criminal investigations. The Illinois State Police also has the authority to conduct criminal investigations. 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate.

115.22 (e): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.120, the MOU with the Illinois State Police, investigative reports, the agency's website and information obtained via interviews with the Agency Head and the investigator indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.31</b>	<b>Employee training</b>
	<p data-bbox="256 188 959 221"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="256 266 544 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="256 344 429 378">Documents:</p> <ol data-bbox="256 412 1426 1218" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="256 412 668 445">1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li data-bbox="256 479 1426 568">2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li data-bbox="256 602 1091 636">3. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training</li> <li data-bbox="256 669 1203 703">4. Administrative Directives 01.01.101 Administrative Directives</li> <li data-bbox="256 736 1019 770">5. Administrative Directive 01.02.101 Staff Meeting</li> <li data-bbox="256 804 1027 837">6. PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum</li> <li data-bbox="256 871 1347 960">7. PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum</li> <li data-bbox="256 994 1347 1084">8. Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting - A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care</li> <li data-bbox="256 1117 1251 1151">9. Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women's Division</li> <li data-bbox="256 1184 788 1218">10. Sample of Staff Training Records</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="256 1330 416 1364">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="256 1397 748 1431" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="256 1397 748 1431">1. Interviews with Random Staff</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="256 1543 588 1576">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="256 1688 1490 2058">115.31 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency trains all employees who may have contact with inmates on the requirements under this provision. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of</p>



offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. 03.03.102, page 1 states that the Department shall ensure all new employees receive orientation and pre-service training and all employees receive in-service training on a fiscal year basis. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA -Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that both trainings includes information on: the agency's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the inmate to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse how to avoid inappropriate relationship with inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. With regard to how to communicate effectively and professionally with lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex inmates, staff are required to complete the Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting - A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care video. Interviews with fourteen random staff confirmed that all fourteen had received PREA training. Staff stated they receive training annually during cycle training. All fourteen staff confirmed that the required components under this provision are discussed during the PREA training. Most staff stated they remembered information on first responder duties the most. A review of fifteen staff training records indicated that thirteen had PREA training. Two staff member records did not show any PREA training.

115.31 (b): The PAQ indicated that training is tailored to the gender of inmate at the facility and that employees who are reassigned to facilities with opposite gender are given additional training. 03.03.102, page 4 states that all employees employed at a women's facility shall receive an additional 40 hours of gender responsive and trauma informed training onsite upon hire. Each employee shall then be provided a gender responsive and trauma informed refresher each subsequent year of employment. A review of the Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women's Division training curriculum confirms the training includes 83 slides related to trauma informed practices, gender specific programs and services, different level of value of communication for women and health boundaries and professional distance. Additionally, the agency has the Gender Responsibility and Supervising the Female Offender training. Shawnee Correctional Center houses adult male inmates and as such additional training was not required or conducted.

115.31 (c): The PAQ indicated that between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with inmates with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that staff are provided training annually. 03.03.102, page 4 states that employees shall receive an additional 40 hours of training each subsequent year of employment. 01.01.101, page 7 states that

the Policy and Directives Unit shall provide monthly notice of, and make available via the Department Intranet any new or revised directives, rescission notices, or provide a notice of no change. Additionally, 01.02.101 states that administrative and supervisory staff meeting shall be held at least once a month to ensure that lines of two-way communication are established between all levels of supervision and that the meeting will be used for discussing policy and program changes and topics which are of general interest to the group. A review of fifteen staff training records indicated that nine had PREA training the previous two years. One staff member was a new hire and had training for the current year. The auditor was not provided a second training for the other five.

115.31 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency documents that employees who may have contact with inmates understand the training they have received through employee signatures or electronic verification. 03.03.102, page 6 states that certificates or other verification of training received shall be provided to the Training Coordinator. The certificates or verification of training shall include all information required on the DOC 0200. Additionally, all newly hired staff are required to complete the Acknowledgement of Participation which indicates that the staff has read and understood 04.01.301. A review of fifteen staff training records indicated that all those who completed PREA training had a signed verification of the completed training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.03.102, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum, PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum, Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting - A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care training, Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women's Division, a review of a sample of staff training records as well as interviews with random staff indicates that this standard requires corrective action. A review of fifteen staff training records indicated that thirteen had PREA training. Two staff member records did not show any PREA training. A review of fifteen staff training records indicated that nine had PREA training the previous two years. One staff member was a new hire and had training for the current year. The auditor was not provided a second training for the other five.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested staff training documentation in order to determine compliance.

	<p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staff Training Records</li> </ol> <p>On March 31, 2023 the facility provided the requested staff training records. All fifteen staff were documented with PREA training in 2021 or 2022. Fourteen of the fifteen also had training completed at least every two years, with most having it completed annually. One staff member was previously employed with another state agency and as such was a new hire to the Illinois Department of Corrections.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.32</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>Administrative Directive 04.01.122 Volunteer Services</li> <li>Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training</li> <li>Volunteer Services Handbook</li> <li>PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum</li> <li>PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum</li> </ol>

8. Contractor Training Records

9. Volunteer Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Volunteers or Contractors who have Contact with Inmates

Findings (By Provision):

115.32 (a): The PAQ indicated that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. Page 2 states that the term staff for the purpose of this directive shall mean any Department employee, contracted employee, employee of a vendor or volunteer. 04.01.122, page 3 states that the Facility Volunteer Coordinator shall ensure volunteers receive orientation and training appropriate to the type of volunteer assignment at the facility or program site prior to service. Training shall include, but not be limited to, preparation of an incident report, volunteer rules of conduct and the Department's zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that five volunteers and contractors had received PREA training, which is equivalent to less than 100% of the total volunteers and contractors. Further communication with the PC indicated that this was incorrect and all 61 volunteer and contractors have received training. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that the trainings discuss responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy. A review of the Volunteer Handbook confirms that page 19 includes information on the zero tolerance, how to report and red flags. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and three volunteers, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not provided. The interviews with the two contractors confirmed that they had received information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. Both stated that they go through the same orientation and cycle training as staff. The interview with the volunteer confirmed that he also received information on the

agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.32 (b): The PAQ indicated that the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates. The PAQ stated that all volunteers and contractors with inmate contact are required to complete orientation. Additionally, the PAQ indicates that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. Page 2 states that the term staff for the purpose of this directive shall mean any Department employee, contracted employee, employee of a vendor or volunteer. 04.01.122, page 3 states that the Facility Volunteer Coordinator shall ensure volunteers receive orientation and training appropriate to the type of volunteer assignment at the facility or program site prior to service. Training shall include, but not be limited to, preparation of an incident report, volunteer rules of conduct and the Department's zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that the trainings discuss responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy. A review of the Volunteer Services Handbook confirms that page 19 includes information on the zero tolerance, how to report and red flags. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and three volunteers, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not provided. The two contractors confirmed that they received training on PREA, which covered the zero tolerance policy and how/who to report the information to. Both contractors stated that they receive the same orientation and cycle training (annual training) as the staff at the facility. The volunteer stated that he read a form related to PREA and signed an acknowledgment form indicating he understood what to do under those situations. He confirmed that form included information on the zero tolerance policy and that he should report to the immediate supervisor, officer on duty and/or the Warden.

115.32 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received. 03.03.102, page 6 states that certificates or other verification of training received shall be provided to the Training Coordinator. The certificates or verification of

training shall include all information required on the DOC 0200. Additionally, all newly hired staff are required to complete the Acknowledgement of Participation which indicates that the staff has read and understood 04.01.301. Additionally, 04.01.122, page 3 states that individual volunteer files shall include training documentation including documented orientation and any additional training. Training documentation shall be signed and dated by the volunteer along with the Volunteer Coordinator. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and three volunteers, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not provided.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, the Volunteer Services Handbook, PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum, PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum, a review of a sample of contractor training records as well as the interviews with contractors indicate that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and three volunteers, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not provided.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested training documents in order for the auditor to determine compliance.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

##### 1. Contractor and Volunteer Training Records

On March 31, 2023 the facility provided the requested contractors and volunteer training records. All contractors and volunteers were documented with appropriate PREA training.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

**115.33 Inmate education**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

## Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation
4. Administrative Directive Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations
5. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process
6. Video Remote Interpreting Information and Photos
7. Language Interpretation Procedure - Propio Language Services, LLC.
8. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
9. Reporting Poster
10. End the Silence Poster
11. Inmate Training Records (Offender Orientation Receipt)

## Interviews:

1. Interview with Intake Staff
2. Interviews with Random Inmates

## Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Intake Area
2. Observations of PREA Posters

## Findings (By Provision):

115.33 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmates receive information at the time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that 1325 inmates received information on the zero-tolerance policy and how to report at intake, which is equivalent to over 100% of the inmates who arrived in the previous twelve months. Further communication with the PC indicated that the number entered was an error and that 937 was the appropriate number of inmates who received information at intake. 04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. A review of the Handbook confirmed that pages 37-42 include information on PREA. The information includes: zero tolerance, definitions of sexual abuse, how to prevent sexual abuse, ways to report (including the outside reporting entity) and victim advocacy information. During the tour the auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Inmates are provided PREA information at intake via the orientation manual (Handbook). The Handbook is available in both English and Spanish. PREA information was observed on the walls in intake via large Reporting Posters. The interview with intake staff confirmed that inmates are provided information related to the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including the zero tolerance policy and methods to report, during intake. The staff stated that every individual that comes in gets put in orientation status and receives orientation within five days. The orientation covers the zero tolerance policy, reporting mechanisms, the inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse, the inmate's right to be free from retaliation and the agency's response to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. Interviews with 40 inmates indicated that 30 were provided information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. Inmates stated the information was provided upon arrival via paperwork and/or orientation. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including 22 that arrived in the previous twelve months. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation.

115.33 (b): 04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. The PAQ indicated that 881 inmates received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. This is equivalent 100% of those received in the previous twelve months whose length of



stay was for 30 days or more. The auditor had the facility conduct a mock demonstration of the comprehensive PREA education process. The auditor observed that the education is completed in the re-entry classroom. The education is done in a group setting. Staff verbally go over rules and regulation at the facility as well as PREA information. Inmates are provided a Handbook in English or Spanish and the staff goes over how to report, including through a kite, any staff member, the hotline number or via grievance. The staff inform the inmates where the information is posted around the facility and they go over the types of sexual abuse and how to prevent it. The staff also go over what to do if an individual is sexually abused and next steps including mental health assistance. The inmate signs the orientation receipt and keeps all the paper materials. The intake staff indicated that they utilize other inmates to translate the education information for LEP individuals and that they read the information aloud one-on-one for any disabled individuals. The interview with intake staff confirmed that inmates are provided information related to the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including the zero tolerance policy and methods to report, during intake. The staff stated that every individual that comes in gets put in orientation status and receives orientation within five days. The orientation covers the zero tolerance policy, reporting mechanisms, the inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse, the inmate's right to be free from retaliation and the agency's response to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. Interviews with 40 inmates indicated that 22 were provided information on their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation and the agency's policies and procedures after an allegations of sexual abuse. Most of the inmates stated they were provided the information at orientation when they arrived. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including 22 that arrived in the previous twelve months. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation.

115.33 (c): The PAQ indicated that all current inmates at the facility had been educated on PREA within 30 days or were educated by June 30, 2014. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that inmates who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights to be free from both sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents, to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility. 04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. The interview with intake staff confirmed that inmates are provided information related to the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including the zero tolerance policy and methods to

report, during intake. The staff stated that every individual that comes in gets put in orientation status and receives orientation within five days. The orientation covers the zero tolerance policy, reporting mechanisms, the inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse, the inmate's right to be free from retaliation and the agency's response to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including 22 that arrived in the previous twelve months. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation.

115.33 (d): The PAQ indicated that inmate PREA education is available in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are disabled or limited English proficient. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.111, pages 3-4 indicate that the CAO shall ensure offenders are provide with information regarding ADA disability accommodations and shall establish procedures for offender access to teletypewriter (TTY) and Video Remote Interpreting (VRS) equipment. The policy also indicates that the CAO shall find alternative notification methods for auditory announcements (tactile paging system). 05.07.101, page 2 states that all videos used during orientation shall include closed captioning subtitles and closed captioning utilizing American Sign Language which has been reviewed for accuracy of the interpretation by the Illinois Deaf and Hard of Hearing Commissioner or a qualified interpreter. The policy further states that he department shall reserve the first row of seats during orientation for offenders who are disabled. 04.01.105, page 2 states that for a non-English speaking offender, reasonable efforts shall be made for the orientation to be explained to him or her in a language her or she understands. It further states that offenders shall receive written orientation material and/or translation in their own language and when a literacy problem exists, a staff member shall assist the offender in understanding the materials. The facility also has a contract with Propio Language Services, LLC. This company provides the facility a phone number that they can call that connects the staff member with a translator who can will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The company has interpretation services for over 600 languages. A review of PREA Posters, the Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information can be provided in large font, bright colors and can be read to inmates in terminology that they understand. It is also available in English and Spanish. Additionally, pages 26-28 of the Handbook provide information on Americans with Disabilities (ADA) including requesting accommodations, telecommunication equipment and sign language information. The facility also provided three photos of the video interpretation phones located throughout the facility. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including five disabled inmates and three LEP inmates. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation.

115.33 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation of inmate participation in PREA education sessions. 04.01.105, page 2 states that at the conclusion of the orientation program, each offender shall be requested to sign an Offender Orientation Receipt, DOC 0291, indicating he or she has participated in the orientation program and has obtained a copy of the manual. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including 22 that arrived in the previous twelve months. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation.

115.33 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, inmate handbooks or other written formats. 04.01.301, page 7 states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. A review of the Handbook and PREA Posters confirmed information is accessible to inmates through these avenues as well as through the open inmate channel on the television. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, Propio Language Services, LLC. information, Video Remote Interpreting information, the Handbook, PREA posters, inmate education documents, the memo related to education during the interim report period, a sample of inmate training documents, observations made during the tour as well as information obtained during interviews with intake staff and random inmates indicates that this standard requires corrective action. The auditor requested education documents for 44 inmates, including 22 that arrived in the previous twelve months. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the requested documentation. Interviews with 40 inmates indicated that 22 were provided information on their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation and the agency's policies and procedures after an allegations of sexual abuse. Most of the inmates stated they were provided the information at orientation when they arrived.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation in order for the auditor to determine compliance. Additionally, the facility will need to revamp their education process to ensure it is effective. The following information will need to be discussed during orientation: right to be free from sexual abuse, right to be free from retaliation, the outside reporting entity, the ability to and how to remain anonymous when reporting to the outside reporting entity, the outside victim advocate and how to contact the organization and policies and procedures once an allegation is reported. The facility will need to provide a document showing what will be discussed during orientation as well as a signed document from applicable staff that they are aware of their duties in providing the education to all inmate. The facility will need to ensure all current inmates are aware of this information as well and provide confirmation that they were provided the information during the corrective action period.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

1. Inmate Education Records
2. Inmate Education Process Memorandum
3. Inmate Education Script
4. Staff Training Documents
5. Memorandum Related to Current Inmate Population

On March 13, 2023 and July 28, 2023 the facility provided the previously requested inmate education documents. All inmates were documented with PREA education, including all LEP and disabled inmates.

On July 28, 2023 the facility provided a training email that outlined the approved education script and video to be utilized for comprehensive PREA education. The video is the PREA What You Need to Know vide. A review of the script confirmed that staff verbally go over zero tolerance, rights, reporting methods, steps that are taken

	<p>after a report, victim advocacy, confidentiality and retaliation. A staff training document was provided with signatures confirming staff were aware of the new process. Additionally, a video was provided to demonstrate the process.</p> <p>On August 29, 2023 the facility provided a memorandum that advised that all current inmates were educated on the information by playing it on the facility television channel and by adding it to the Handbook.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

<b>115.34</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignments</li> <li>4. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) for Investigators Training Curriculum</li> <li>5. Investigator Training Records</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with Investigative Staff</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.34 (a): The PAQ indicates that agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. 01.12.115, page</p>

2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. A review of documentation indicated that five facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by three investigators, all of which had completed the specialized investigator training. The interviews with the investigators confirmed they received specialized training regarding conducting sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in a confinement setting. The criminal investigator stated he attended the 40 hour institutional investigator training, which covers the specialized training for PREA. The administrative investigator also stated he received the 40 hour training and that the PC has also provided PREA training. He further elaborated and stated the training covered the basics of a PREA situation, how to complete the PREA checklist and the overall investigation.

115.34 (b): 01.12.115, page 2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. A review of the training curriculum confirmed slides 67-118 include the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. A review of documentation indicated that five facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by three investigators, all of which had completed the specialized investigator training. The interviews with the investigators confirmed that the specialized investigator training included the topics required under this provision: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative case.

115.34 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation showing that

	<p>investigators have completed the required training and that five investigators have completed the specialized training. A review of documentation indicated that five facility staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by three investigators, all of which had completed the specialized investigator training.</p> <p>115.34 (d): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.115, PREA for Investigators Training Curriculum, a review of investigator training records as well as the interview with the investigator, indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.35</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training</li> <li>4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>5. Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know Training Curriculum</li> <li>6. Wexford Health Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation Training Curriculum</li> <li>7. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training Records</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff</li> </ol>

Findings (By Provision):

115.35 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. 04.01.301, page 4 states that PC shall develop or approve specialized training modules for all full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in the facilities. Training shall include: detecting and assessing signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; preserving physical evidence of sexual abuse; responding effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The training is conducted via the Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know and the Wexford Health Overview of the 2003 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation. A review of the training curriculums confirmed that they include the following topics: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and whom to report allegations or suspicion of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that the facility has seventeen medical and mental health staff and that 100% of these staff received the specialized training. A review of six medical and mental health care staff training records indicated that three were documented with the specialized medical and mental health training. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that they received specialized training. The mental health staff member stated that the training discussed not to pry, ensure the victim is okay where they are, to determine if they need outside therapy, to not leave the victim alone, to not touch anything and to make the victim feel as relaxed as possible. The medical staff member stated the training went over not leaving the victim alone, notifying security, contacting medical related to a rape kit, signs of victimization and not letting them shower or destroy evidence. Both staff confirmed that all the required topics under this provision were covered in the training.

115.35 (b): The PAQ indicated that this provision does not apply as agency medical and mental health care staff do not perform forensic medical examinations. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that they do not perform forensic medical examinations.

115.35 (c): The PAQ indicated that documentation showing the completion of the training is maintained by the agency. A review of six medical and mental health care staff training records indicated that three were documented with the specialized medical and mental health training.



115.35 (d): 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. 03.03.102, page 1 states that the Department shall ensure all new employees receive orientation and pre-service training and all employees receive in-service training on a fiscal year basis. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA -Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirm that both trainings includes information on responsibilities in prevention, detection and response as well as the zero tolerance policy and how to report allegations of sexual abuse. The auditor requested contract and staff training records for the six medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.03.102, Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know training curriculum, the Wexford Health Overview of the 2003 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation training curriculum, a review of medical and mental health care staff training records as well as interviews with medical and mental health care staff indicate this standard requires corrective action. A review of six medical and mental health care staff training records indicated that three were documented with the specialized medical and mental health training. The auditor requested contract and staff training records for the six medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to ensure that all medical and mental health care staff have received specialized medical and mental health care training. The facility will need to provide confirmation that the three requested staff on-site completed the training as well as an assurance memo that the remainder of the medical and mental health care staff (full and part time) have received the specialized training during the corrective action period. Additionally, the requested contractor and staff training records will need to be provided to the auditor.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

	<p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Specialized Training Records</li> <li>2. Staff and Contractor Training Records</li> <li>3. Assurance Memorandum</li> </ol> <p>On May 1, 2023 and June 21, 2023 the facility provided the three requested specialized training records as well as an assurance memo that all medical and mental health care staff had completed the specialized training. Additionally, the facility provided the previously requested staff and contractor training documents confirming medical and mental health staff completed training required under 115.31 or 115.32.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.41</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>4. Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494)</li> <li>5. Inmate Assessment and Reassessment Documents</li> </ol>

Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
2. Observations of Where Inmate Files are Located

Findings (By Provision):

1

115.41 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other inmates. 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted in consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. Screening and assessment shall be documented on the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse, DOC 0494, or an electronic equivalent and shall occur ordinarily within 24 hours of admission or transfer to any facility by staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer who shall screen each offender for sexually abusive behavior or victimization. Policy further states that the ordinarily within 72 hours of admission or transfer to any facility clinical services staff review the pre-sentence report, statement of facts and other materials in the master file for sexually abusive behavior and victimization. Concerns shall be forwarded to the PCM. Mental health professionals will also inquire whether the offender has been a victim of sexual abuse in the past. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are screened for their risk of victimization and abusiveness upon admission to the facility. Interviews with eighteen inmates that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that sixteen were asked questions related to risk of victimization and abusiveness. During the tour the auditor was provided a demonstration of the initial risk assessment. The initial risk screening is completed in the Major's office in intake. The door is closed for privacy and confidentiality. The staff utilize the paper form of the risk assessment. Prior to arrival staff check the 360 program to review criminal history, discipline, prior risk designations and other

information. The staff indicated that number one through four of the risk assessment is pre-populated in the system (age, height, weight, etc.). The staff then ask all the other questions on the risk screening. At the end of each section responses are added for a point total. If they fall within a certain range they are referred to mental health to review the designation. Th staff complete the risk screening and supervisors review the risk screening as a checks and balance. Those who are determined to be predator or vulnerable are forwarded to the Central Office staff for the official designation.

115.41 (b): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that inmates be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other inmates within 72 hours of their intake. 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted in consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. Screening and assessment shall be documented on the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse, DOC 0494, or an electronic equivalent and shall occur ordinarily within 24 hours of admission or transfer to any facility by staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer who shall screen each offender for sexually abusive behavior or victimization. Policy further states that the ordinarily within 72 hours of admission or transfer to any facility clinical services staff review the pre-sentence report, statement of facts and other materials in the master file for sexually abusive behavior and victimization. Concerns shall be forwarded to the PCM. Mental health professionals will also inquire whether the offender has been a victim of sexual abuse in the past. The PAQ noted that 936 inmates were screened within 72 hours over the previous twelve months. This indicates that 100% of those whose length of stay was for 72 hours or more received a risk screening within 72 hours. A review of 22 inmate files of those that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that all 22 had an initial risk screening completed. Of the 22, nineteen were completed within the 72 hours. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are screened for their risk of victimization and abusiveness within 72 hours. Interviews with eighteen inmates that arrived within the previous twelve months indicate that sixteen were asked the questions related to risk of victimization and abusiveness on the first day they arrived.

115.41 (c): The PAQ indicated that the risk screening is conducted using an objective screening instrument. A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that inmates are asked about identified or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity and history or sexual victimization. Additionally, the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that general information such as age, height, weight, number of incarcerations, non-violent or violent criminal history, disabilities, education level, socioeconomic status, immigrant status/language, history or sexual abusive behavior, criminal history of sexual abuse in the community, history of domestic violence, security threat group affiliation and history or institutional assaultive/violent behavior are factored into the risk screening assessment tool. Each question has appropriate

responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the victimization section and predatory section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for both vulnerable and predatory. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official vulnerable or predatory designation. The DOC 0949 also states that the evaluator may refer an individual in custody on the continuum, but if the individual falls into the likely or not likely range, a rationale for the referral should be documented.

115.41 (d): A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that the tool has two sections; vulnerability and predatory. The vulnerability section includes general information such as age, height, weight, number of incarcerations, non-violent or violent criminal history, disabilities (developmental, mental illness and physical), education level, socioeconomic status and immigrant status/language. Inmates are also asked about identified or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity and history or sexual victimization. The inmate is also asked about his/her own perception of their safety at the time of the screening. Each question has appropriate responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the victimization section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for vulnerability. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official vulnerable designation. The staff responsible for the risk screening stated that the initial risk screening is completed through the DOC 0494. The form looks at age, height, weight, whether it is the individual's first incarceration, criminal history, sexual orientation, disabilities, gender identity, history of victimization and history of sexually abusive behavior. The staff confirmed that all the required elements under this standard are included in the risk assessment. The staff indicated that each individual is pre-screened in that they review the individual's information such as criminal history and discipline. The staff stated that height, weight and other information is already pre-populated into the electronic form. If any discrepancies are noted based on a review and the yes or no responses, they verify which is correct and utilize that information.

115.41 (e): A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates information including, history or sexual abusive behavior, criminal history of sexual abuse in the community, history of domestic violence, security threat group affiliation and history or institutional assaultive/violent behavior are factored into the risk screening assessment tool. Each question has appropriate responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the predatory section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for predatory. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official predatory designation.

The staff responsible for the risk screening stated that the initial risk screening is completed through the DOC 0494. The form looks at age, height, weight, whether it is the individual's first incarceration, criminal history, sexual orientation, disabilities, gender identity, history of victimization and history of sexually abusive behavior. The staff confirmed that all the required elements under this standard are included in the risk assessment. The staff indicated that each individual is pre-screened in that they review the individual's information such as criminal history and discipline. The staff stated that height, weight and other information is already pre-populated into the electronic form. If any discrepancies are noted based on a review and the yes or no responses, they verify which is correct and utilize that information.

115.41 (f): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that the facility reassess each inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the inmate's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. 04.01.301, page 6 states that within 30 days of admission or transfer to the facility, each offender, including any offender returned to Reception and Classification as a parole or mandatory supervised release violator, shall be screened again for sexually abusive behavior or victimization and potentially predator or vulnerable offender identification based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. The PAQ noted that 881 inmates were reassessed within 30 days, which is equivalent to 100% of the inmates who arrived and stayed longer than 30 days. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are reassessed within 30 days. The auditor spoke to a second staff member who only conducts the reassessment and she advised that the same form is utilized for reassessments. Some of the information is pre-populated (such as demographics) but that they ask every question on the form. The responses are compared to the other collateral information obtained via the electronic system and they utilize the fact information is there are any discrepancies. Interviews with eighteen inmates that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that three had been asked questions related to their risk of victimization and abusiveness more than once. A review of 22 inmate files of those that arrived in the previous twelve months indicated that 21 had a reassessment. It should be noted that one was not yet due as the inmate was not at the facility over 30 days. Of the 21, four were past the 30 day timeframe and two were completed a day or two after the initial risk assessment.

115.41 (g): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that an inmate's risk level be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. 04.01.301, page 6 states screening and assessment shall occur when warranted due to referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or

receipt of additional information that bears on the offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. The interview with staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are reassessed when warranted due to referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information. Interviews with eighteen inmates that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that three had been asked questions related to their risk of victimization and abusiveness more than once. A review of 22 inmate files of those that arrived in the previous twelve months indicated that 21 had a reassessment. It should be noted that one was not yet due as the inmate was not at the facility over 30 days. Of the 21, four were past the 30 day timeframe and two were completed a day or two after the initial risk assessment. A review of investigations indicated that six were sexual abuse allegations. One was unfounded and one inmate was transferred to another facility soon after the allegation. Of the remaining four, none were documented with a reassessment after the completed investigation was determined to be unsubstantiated.

115.41 (h): The PAQ indicated that policy prohibits disciplining inmates for refusing to answer (or for not disclosing complete information related to) questions regarding: (a) whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (b) whether or not the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming; (c) whether or not the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (d) the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The 04.01.301, page 7 states individuals in custody shall not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or not disclosing complete information in response to questions asked during the screening for potential sexual victimization or sexual abuse. The interview with the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer or for not fully disclosing information any of the risk screening questions.

115.41 (i): 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted with consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that the agency has outlined who should have access to an inmate's risk assessment within the facility in order to protect sensitive information from exploitation. He stated screening information is provided on a need-to-know basis to make informed placement decisions as well as predator/vulnerable designations. The PCM stated that the risk screening is completed by clinical counselors and mental health and that they can see it as well as the assignment officers for housing. The staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that the agency has outlined who should have access to the risk screening information so that sensitive information is not exploited. The staff stated the information is entered into the 360 database and that only certain individuals have access to the screening in 360. The paper copy of the form is then filed in a locked filing cabinet as backup and only the staff who complete the screening have access to each filing cabinet. Risk

screening information is maintained in the paper file and in the electronic 360 program. Paper files are scanned into 360 and then maintained in the inmate record. Records is staffed Monday through Friday 8am-5pm and is locked after hours. Leadership staff are the only ones with access to records after hours. The records room contains a list of staff that are able to view the inmate's file and if they are not on the list they can't review the file. Risk screening information was located on the left side of the inmate file. The 360 program also maintains the risk screening information. The auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses of each inmate's risk assessment.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, DOC 0494, a review of inmate files and information from interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting the risk screenings and random inmates indicate that this standard requires corrective action. A review of 22 inmate files of those that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that all 22 had an initial risk screening completed. Of the 22, nineteen were completed within the 72 hours. Interviews with eighteen inmates that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated that three had been asked questions related to their risk of victimization and abusiveness more than once. A review of 22 inmate files of those that arrived in the previous twelve months indicated that 21 had a reassessment. It should be noted that one was not yet due as the inmate was not at the facility over 30 days. Of the 21, four were past the 30 day timeframe and two were completed a day or two after the initial risk assessment. A review of investigations indicated that six were sexual abuse allegations. One was unfounded and one inmate was transferred to another facility soon after the allegation. Of the remaining four, none were documented with a reassessment after the completed investigation was determined to be unsubstantiated. The 360 program also maintains the risk screening information. The auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses of each inmate's risk assessment.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to ensure all inmates receive an initial risk assessment and a 30 day reassessment. A list of inmates that arrived during the corrective action period will need to be provided. The initial risk assessment and 30 day reassessment for every eighth inmate will need to be provided. A list of sexual abuse allegations during the corrective action period with the investigative outcome will need to be provided as well. The reassessment for all victims of unsubstantiated or substantiated investigation will need to be provided as well as any reassessment of perpetrators of substantiated investigations. Additionally, the agency will need to address the access by all staff to the risk screening information in the 360 program and provide



appropriate documentation related to restrictions.

#### Recommendation

The auditor highly recommends that if an inmate transfers from one IDOC facility to another that there be some way to inform the other facility once an investigation is completed to ensure that the inmate victim and perpetrator, if applicable, have a reassessment completed.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

1. List of Inmates Received During the Corrective Action Plan
2. Inmate Risk Assessments
3. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During the Corrective Action Plan
4. Offender 360 Restriction Documents

On May 2, 2023 the facility provided twelve examples of inmates that arrived during March 2023. The facility provided the auditor with every eighth inmate on the lists initial and follow-up risk assessment. All twelve had an initial risk screening completed within 72 hours and all twelve had a reassessment completed within 30 days of arrival. On July 26, 2023 the facility provided an additional sample of fourteen inmate risk assessments from the list (every tenth inmate). All fourteen had an initial risk screening within 72 hours and reassessment within 30 days.

On July 28, 2023 the facility provided a list of sexual abuse allegations during the corrective action period. Both victims of the sexual abuse allegations had a risk assessment completed after the allegation of sexual abuse.

	<p>On August 3, 2023 the facility provided documentation indicating that the agency implemented security roles for the Offender 360 risk screening information. The agency restricted access to only a few security roles and all other staff are required to request access to the risk screening information through the agency PC. The PC also provided screenshots of different staff's views in Offender 360. The auditor confirmed the staff did not have access to the risk screening.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

<b>115.42</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders</li> <li>4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>5. Housing Assignments of Inmates at Risk of Sexual Victimization and/or Sexual Abusiveness</li> <li>6. Transgender/Intersex Housing Determination Documents</li> <li>7. Transgender/Intersex Biannual Reassessments</li> <li>8. LGBTI Housing Assignments</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening</li> <li>2. Interview with PREA Coordinator</li> <li>3. Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> </ol>

4. Interviews with Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Inmates

5. Interviews with Transgender Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Location of Inmate Records

2. Shower Area in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.42 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility uses information from the risk screening required by §115.41 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. 04.01.301, page 6 states that any indication of sexually abusive behavior, victimization or potential victimization in a correctional setting identified at a Reception and Classification Center or any assigned facility shall be referred to the facility PREA Compliance Manager. The PCM shall promptly review any referrals to assess whether an offender should be identified as a predator or vulnerable offender using the DOC 0494 and make recommendations regarding safety consideration and treatment or counseling needs. Page 7 further states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed with an offender identified as a predator. Prior to housing an offender identified as vulnerable or an offender identified as a predator, the proposed housing assignment shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in consultation with the facility PCM. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that information from the risk screening is used to determine classification for predator and vulnerable. She stated this classification is then considered when assigning housing. The PCM indicated a predator would not be housed with a vulnerable. Additionally, she stated that any inmate who scores in the likely or highly likely range is referred to mental health. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that information from the risk screening is utilized to determine if the individual meets the criteria for predator or vulnerable. It is also used to make cell and housing placements. The staff further indicated that the information is also utilized to refer those with a history of mental health or victimization to mental health for services. A review of housing assignments for inmates at high risk of victimization and high risk of abusiveness confirmed that those at high risk of victimization were not housed in the same unit as those at risk of abusiveness. A review of job and program assignments further confirmed that none were placed in the same job and/or program assignment unless there was direct staff supervision.

115.42 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. 04.01.301, page 6 states that any indication of sexually abusive behavior, victimization or potential victimization in a correctional setting identified at a Reception and Classification Center or any assigned facility shall be referred to the facility PREA Compliance Manager. The PCM shall promptly review any referrals to assess whether an offender should be identified as a predator or vulnerable offender using the DOC 0494 and make recommendations regarding safety consideration and treatment or counseling needs. Page 7 further states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed with an offender identified as a predator. Prior to housing an offender identified as vulnerable or an offender identified as a predator, the proposed housing assignment shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in consultation with the facility PCM. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that information from the risk screening is utilized to determine if the individual meets the criteria for predator or vulnerable. It is also used to make cell and housing placements. The staff further indicated that the information is also utilized to refer those with a history of mental health or victimization to mental health for services.

115.42 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates in the facility on a case-by-case basis. 04.03.104, page 7 indicates that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall not be assigned to gender-specific facilities based solely on their external genital anatomy. The Transgender Administrative Committee (TAC) shall make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender including considering transfer from one gender-specific facility to an opposite gender facility and specifically the gender of staff which will perform searches of the offender. The determination shall consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether specific placement ensure the offender's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security concerns. The agency as a whole houses approximately 150 transgender inmates. Currently the agency houses nine transgender female inmates at female facilities and zero transgender male inmates at male facilities. The review of meeting minutes for four TAC meetings confirms that housing is reviewed on a case-by-case basis for each inmate. The interview with the PCM indicated that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates follow are determined by the assignment office in coordination with mental health. The male/female housing is determined at the agency level. The PCM confirmed that placement would take into consideration the safety of the inmate and the presentation of any security or management problems. Interviews with three transgender inmates indicated that all three were asked how they felt about their safety. All three also indicated that they did not believe LGBTI inmates are placed in one facility, housing unit or wing.

115.42 (d): 04.03.104, page 7 states that a review of each transgender, intersex and

gender incongruent offender's placement and programming assignments shall be conducted by the facility twice annually to review any threats to safety experienced or posed by the offender. A review of documentation for seven transgender inmates confirmed that all seven were documented with biannual assessments via DOC 0700. These were completed by the TAC. The PCM confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates are reviewed at least twice a year to review any threats to safety. The staff responsible for the risk screening stated that transgender and intersex inmates would be reassessed twice a year, once in January and once in July.

115.42 (e): 04.03.104, page 7 states that decisions shall be made by the TAC on a case-by-case basis with serious consideration given to circumstances including, but not limited to, the following: the offender's perception of whether a male or female facility is safest for him or her, as well as the preferred gender of staff to perform searches. The interviews with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates' views with respect to their safety are given serious consideration. Interviews with three transgender inmates indicated all three were asked how they felt about their safety.

115.42 (f): 04.03.104, page 9 states that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall be allowed the same frequency of showers in accordance with his or her classification. Showers shall be separated and private from other offenders. During the tour the auditor observed that showers were single person and provided privacy through curtains and lattice doors. The interview with the PCM and the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates are afforded the opportunity to shower separately. The PCM stated that transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower at a separate time when the other inmates are locked down. Interviews with three transgender inmates indicated that all three have been afforded the opportunity to shower separately. Most stated they can shower in the morning around 7am.

115.42 (g): 04.03.104, page 7 states that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall not be assigned to gender specific facilities based solely on their external genital anatomy. Additionally, the PREA Manual, pages 27-28 indicate that the agency shall not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status, unless such placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such inmates. The interview with the PC confirmed that the agency is not subject to a consent decree and that there is not a dedicated facility for LGBTI inmates. He further stated placement decisions are made to ensure the safety and security of all individuals in custody. Facility, housing unit and cell assignments are made on a case by case basis and housing individuals strictly by gender identity or sexual orientation is prohibited. The PCM confirmed that the

	<p>agency goes not have a consent decree and that LGBTI inmates are not placed in dedicated facilities, units or wings solely because of their identification or status. Interviews with three LGB inmates and three transgender inmates indicated that all six did not feel the facility places LGBTI inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status. A review of housing assignments for LGBTI inmates confirmed that they were spread across numerous different housing units at the facility.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.03.104, the PREA Manual, inmates at risk of sexual abusiveness and sexual victimization housing determinations, transgender or intersex inmate house determinations, transgender or intersex biannual assessments, LGBTI inmate housing assignments, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with the PC, PCM, staff responsible for conducting the risk screening and LGBTI inmates, indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.43 Protective Custody</b>	
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing</li> <li>4. Inmates at High Risk of Victimization Housing Assignments</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>2. Interview with Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing</li> </ol> <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Observations in the Segregated Housing Unit</li> </ol>

Findings (By Provision):

115.43 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ noted that there were zero inmates at high risk of victimization that were placed in involuntary segregated housing in the past twelve months. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The interview with the Warden confirmed that agency policy prohibits placing inmates at high risk of sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and it is determined that there are not alternative means of separation from likely abusers. He stated that this placement would be a last resort. A review of housing assignments for current inmates at high risk of sexual victimization confirmed that none of the inmates identified as vulnerable were housed in the segregated housing unit.

115.43 (b): During the tour the auditor observed that the segregated housing unit was a shared building with receiving. The building had a separate area for programming. The outdoor recreation area was separate from the general population recreation area and included two recreation enclosures. Individuals in segregated housing have access to a rolling phone once a week. Grievances and written correspondence is placed outside the cell door and staff pick it up and place in in the appropriate boxes. Additionally, inmates come out for recreation, showers and groups and can place written correspondence in the appropriate boxes. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates at high risk of sexual victimization who are involuntary segregated would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff member stated that they keep a door log on everything related to that individual and that everything is documented on the log, including any restriction or refusals. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted.

115.43 (c): The PAQ indicated there were zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were assigned to involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual

victimization. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The interview with the Warden confirmed that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abuser(s) could be arranged. He stated placement in this status would occur for no longer than a day before they could find alternative housing. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until they could find an alternative means of separation. He stated the individual would only be placed there for investigative purposes and that they would never discipline an individual for being at high risk or reporting sexual abuse. He further stated that the individual can be housed in segregated housing for 30 days under investigation, if needed. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted.

115.43 (d): The PAQ indicated there were zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were held in involuntary segregated housing in the past twelve months who had both a statement of the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why alternative means of separation could not be arranged. A review of housing assignments for current inmates at high risk of sexual victimization confirmed that none of the inmates identified as vulnerable were housed in the segregated housing unit.

115.43 (e): The PAQ indicate that if an inmate was placed in segregation due to risk of victimization, they would be reviewed every 30 days to determine if there was a continued need for the inmate to be separated from the general population. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Additionally, 05.15.100, page 6 states that a Restrictive Housing Review Committee (RHRC) shall be established at each facility with restrictive housing. The committee shall review the status of each individual in custody's placement into restrictive housing every seven days for the first 60 days and at least every 30 days thereafter, unless more frequently if clinically indicated. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates would be reviewed at least every 30 days for their continued need for placement in involuntary segregated housing. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization



and as such no interviews were conducted.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 05.15.100, high risk inmate housing assignments, observations from the facility tour as well as information from the interviews with the Warden and staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicates this standard appears to be compliant.

**115.51 Inmate reporting**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
3. Memorandum of Understanding with the John Howard Association
4. TRUST Act Memorandum
5. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
6. End the Silence Poster
7. Reporting Poster

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of Posted PREA Reporting Information
2. Testing of Internal Reporting Hotline
3. Testing of the External Reporting Entity

Findings (By Provision):

115.51 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for inmates to report privately to agency officials about: (a) sexual abuse or sexual harassment; (b) retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (c) staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. The PREA Manual, page 29 states that offenders shall be encouraged to report allegations to staff at all levels. Offenders shall be provided with avenues of internal reporting, including, but not limited to, a telephone hotline maintained by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit, or by mail to an outside entity (e.g. John Howard Association). Offenders shall be provided information on reporting mechanisms as noted in section 115.33. A review of additional documentation to include the Handbook and PREA Posters indicated that they outline methods for reporting. These methods include: telling any staff member; calling the hotline, writing to the PC, to the John Howard Association (outside reporting entity) and/or sending a note, grievance or request slip. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. Reporting mechanisms on the Posters included: to any staff person, via the PREA Hotline, through a note, request slip or grievance, by writing to the PREA Coordinator or by sending privileged mail to the John Howard Association. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. During the tour the auditor called the internal PREA hotline on February 6, 2023 and left a message to test functionality. Inmates are advised to select English or Spanish upon contact with the hotline. Initial phone instructions are provided in English and Spanish, however the hotline instructions are only available in English. The hotline directions are only available in English. The auditor received confirmation from the PC the same day the call was placed that the call was received and forwarded to him. Inmates have access to the phones most of the day. The internal PREA hotline is accessible on all phones but does require an inmate number. Inmates in segregated housing have access to the phones once a week through a rolling or cordless phone. The auditor also tested the written reporting process. The auditor had an inmate assist with filling out and filing a grievance on February 6, 2023. The auditor was provided confirmation on February 7, 2023 that the grievance was received by the facility. Interviews with 40 inmates confirm that all 40 were aware of at least one method to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Most inmates indicated that they would report through the hotline, kite, family member or staff. Interviews with fourteen random staff indicate

that inmates can report through the hotline, staff, a kite and via a grievance.

115.51 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency provides at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that the facility does not house inmates solely for civil immigration purposes. The agency has an MOU with the John Howard Association. The MOU states John Howard Association will allow IDOC to identify JHA within inmate orientation materials and prison posting as one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to an entity that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive and forward inmate reports of sexual abuse or harassment to Agency official for investigation, allowing the inmate to remain anonymous, upon request. The MOU further provides additional responsibilities for JHA and IDOC. The PREA Manual, page 29 indicates that offenders shall be provided contact information to the John Howard Association to make such reports. This information shall be available in the facility orientation manual. A review of the Handbook and PREA Posters confirmed that inmates can report externally to the John Howard Association. The Handbook (pages 39-40) states that inmates can report through an independent outside entity, the John Howard Association of Illinois (JHA). The Handbook indicates that JHA has privileged mail status. It also states that inmates can remain anonymous and provides direction to state in the letter that the inmate does not want his/her name to be included. Additionally, page 23-24 of the Handbook indicate that privileged mail, goes sealed and unopened and notes that the John Howard Association is privileged mail. The PREA Poster states that inmates can report via privileged mail to the John Howard Association through the PO Box in Chicago, Illinois. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. Reporting mechanisms on the Posters included: to any staff person, via the PREA Hotline, through a note, request slip or grievance, by writing to the PREA Coordinator or by sending privileged mail to the John Howard Association. In addition to the posters, housing units had the PREA Hotline number painted in larger font on the walls in the dayroom area near the phones. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. The auditor also tested the outside reporting mechanism via a letter to the John Howard Association at a prior IDOC audit. The auditor obtained an envelope and sent a letter to the John Howard Association on January 10, 2023. The auditor obtained assistance from an inmate to utilize his name and number on the return address. The letter was placed in the outgoing US mail box by the inmate. While a return name and number is required, the mail staff do not open this mail and as such inmates are able to remain anonymous within the letter. The John Howard Association is utilized for numerous services and they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor

received confirmation on January 20, 2023 that the letter was received by the John Howard Association. A copy of the letter that was mailed was forwarded back to the auditor as well as the confirmation from John Howard Association staff that the inmate can remain anonymous. The mail process at IDOC facilities are the same and as such the auditor did not need to test the process again. During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the drop boxes around the facility, including the locked drop boxes in each housing unit. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is logged and comes unsealed if it not legal mail. The mail is read and inspected for contraband. Legal mail comes sealed and is not read or inspected. A return address is required as well as postage. The staff member confirmed that letters to JHA and the local rape crisis center are treated like legal mail. The staff stated that the incoming mail process involves the inspection of mail upon arrival. Letters are scanned and read through to ensure there is no contraband. Legal mail is given to the inmate in front of a staff member (letter is sealed) and the inmate opens it in front of the staff so the staff can confirm there is not any contraband. Again the staff stated that incoming mail from JHA and the local rape crisis center would be treated like legal mail. The interview with the PCM indicated inmates are provided contact information for the John Howard Association. She stated if an individual reports to JHA, JHA forwards that information back to the agency/facility. Interviews with 40 inmates indicated that 22 were aware of an outside reporting entity and 20 were aware they could anonymously report. An additional seven inmates stated they were aware of the John Howard Association, but they were unsure if they could report sexual abuse to them.

115.51 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. It further indicated that staff are required to document verbal reports immediately. 04.01.301, page 10 states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. The PREA Manual, page 29 further states that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports. Interviews with 40 inmates indicate that 36 knew they could report verbally and/or in writing to staff and 38 knew they could report through a third party. Interviews with fourteen staff indicate that inmates can report verbally, in writing, anonymously and through a third party. The staff stated if an inmate reported verbally they would document it in a written report after they handled initial responsibilities. A review of investigations indicated that nine allegations were reported, two of which did not rise to the level of PREA. Of the nine reported allegations, five were reported verbally, two were reported in writing, one was reported through the hotline and one was reported via a Warden to Warden notification. All allegations, including those reported verbally, were documented in an incident report by the staff involved. Additionally during the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they submit a written report. Staff

indicated if they received a verbal report they would notify the supervisor and complete a 434 (incident report). The staff obtained an incident report form from a filing cabinet in the officer's station. The staff demonstrated how they would fill the information on the form out by hand and then give it to their supervisor. The staff confirmed that if the supervisor was involved in the alleged incident they would bypass him/her and provide it to the next supervisor in the chain of command.

115.51 (d): The PAQ indicates the agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. It further states that staff can report through any of the reporting mechanisms offered to individuals in custody. The PAQ indicated that staff are informed of this method through PREA refresher trainings and postings around the facility. The PREA Manual, page 29 states that the agency shall provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. Interviews with fourteen staff indicated that all fourteen were aware that they could privately report sexual abuse of an inmate. Staff stated they could report to a supervisor privately, through the hotline and could bypass the chain of command if necessary.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PREA Manual, memo related to John Howard Association, TRUST Act memo, the Handbook, the PREA Poster, observations during the tour, information from interviews with the PCM, random inmates and random staff, and the documentation provided related to the auditors test of the outside entity reporting and the internal reporting line, this standard appears to be compliant.

Recommendation

The auditor highly recommends that the agency supply at least one pre-address and pre-stamped envelope for JHA to the inmates and/or that letters to JHA be allowed to be sent without postage.

<b>115.52</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	Documents:
	1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. Administrative Directive 04.01.114 Local Offender Grievance Procedures
3. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
4. Grievance Log
5. Sexual Abuse Grievances

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.52 (a): 04.01.114 is the policy related to grievance procedures for inmates. The PAQ indicated that the agency is not exempt from this standard.

115.52 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy or procedure allows an inmate to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time, regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. The PAQ further indicated that inmates are required to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. Further communication with the PC indicated that this was an error and that inmates are not required to use the informal grievance process. 04.01.114, page 2 states that offender grievances related to allegations of sexual abuse shall not be subject to any filing time frame. Policy further states that offender grievances involving alleged incidents of sexual abuse shall be exempt from any informal grievance process. A review of the Handbook confirmed that information on grievances is provided to inmates on pages 43-44.

115.52 (c): The PAQ stated that agency policy and procedure allow an inmate to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. It further stated that agency policy and procedure requires that an inmate grievance alleging sexual abuse not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. 04.01.114, page 6 indicates an offender may submit the grievance without submitting it to any staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Policy further states that no grievance shall be referred to any staff member who is the subject of the complaint. A review of the Handbook confirmed that information on grievances is provided to inmates on pages 43-44.

115.52 (d): The PAQ stated that agency policy and procedure requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The PAQ indicated that there were five sexual abuse grievances filed in the previous twelve months. The PAQ stated all five had a final decision reached within 90 days. The PAQ further indicates that the agency always notifies an inmate in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made. 04.01.114, page 6 states that the Department shall issue a final decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance. Computation of the 90 day time period shall not include time consumed by the offender in preparing any administrative appeal. Policy further states that the Department may claim an extension of time to respond, of up to 70 days, if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The offender shall be notified, in writing, of such extension and provided with a date by which a decision will be made. Page 6 also states that at any level of the grievance process, if the offender does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, the offender may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level. The auditor requested the five grievances as well as a copy of the grievance log, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated one reported via a grievance. The inmate advised they received a response two weeks after they submitted the grievance.

115.52 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy and procedure permits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such requests on behalf of inmates. The PAQ further indicated that agency policy and procedure requires that if an inmate declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the inmate's decision to decline. 04.01.114, page 6 states that third parties, including other offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, etc., shall be permitted to assist offenders in filing grievances relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of the offender. Policy further states that the Department shall require, as a condition of processing the grievance, the alleged victim to agree to have the grievance filed on his or her behalf. If the alleged victim declines, the decision shall be documented. The PAQ stated that there were zero grievances alleging sexual abuse by inmates in the past twelve months in which the inmate declined third-party assistance and which contained documentation of the inmate's decision to decline. The auditor requested the five grievances as well as a copy of the grievance log, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided.

115.52 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy and established procedures for filing an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a

substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. It further indicated that the agency's policy and procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires an initial response within 48 hours. The PAQ also indicated that the agency's policy and procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires that a final agency decision be issued within five days. 04.01.114, page 7 states that for emergency grievances alleging an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the Department shall provide an initial response within 48 hours, and shall have a final decision provided within five calendar days. The initial response and the final decision shall document the Department's determination whether the offender is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance. The PAQ stated there was one emergency grievance alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse in the previous twelve months. The PAQ indicated that the grievance had an initial response within 48 hours and a final decision within five days. The auditor requested the five grievances as well as a copy of the grievance log, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided.

115.52 (g): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline an inmate for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. 04.01.114, page 2 states that staff shall be prohibited from imposing discipline due to use of the grievance process. The PAQ indicated that zero inmates have been disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith in the previous twelve months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.114, the Handbook, the grievance log and an additional sample of grievances and information from the inmates who reported sexual abuse indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested the five grievances as well as a copy of the grievance log, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation was not yet provided.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this



	<p>standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grievance Log</li> <li>2. Sexual Abuse Grievances</li> </ol> <p>On March 13, 2023 and July 28, 2023 the facility provided the requested grievance and grievance log. One grievance was a duplicate and the other four had a response within the timeframe outlined under the standard.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.53</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Memorandum of Understanding with Rape Crisis Services of the Women’s Center, Inc.</li> <li>4. Individuals in Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)</li> <li>5. End the Silence Poster</li> <li>6. Reporting Poster</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interviews with Random Inmates</li> <li>2. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse</li> </ol>

### 3. Interview with Victim Advocate

#### Site Review Observations:

#### 1. Observation of Victim Advocacy Information

#### Findings (By Provision):

115.53 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility provides inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. It further stated that the facility provides inmates with access to such services by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers for local, state or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations and that the facility provides inmates with access to such services by enabling reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations in a confidential a manner as possible. The PAQ stated that IDOC does not hold individuals strictly for immigration purposes. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Contact information such as mailing addresses shall be provided via offender handbook, bulletins, etc. The MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. indicates that the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish a joint effort between IDOC and Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling, to aid IDOC in fulfilling compliance with 115.53 while inmates are incarcerated at Shawnee Correctional Center and within the IDOC prison. The MOU further states that it understood face-to-face emotional support provided in a confidential a manner as possible or emotional support through confidential unmonitored, unrecorded phone call(s) shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". A review of the Handbook and PREA Poster confirmed that inmates are provided the mailing address and telephone number to the Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. The documents state that individuals in custody may contact victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The PREA Poster (which is included in the Handbook) states that advocacy can be provide either by phone or in-person and will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. Interviews with 40 inmates, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated that twelve were aware of outside victim advocacy services and fifteen were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center. Eight inmates indicated that they received pamphlets and other information and that the contact information may be on those but they were not sure. The inmates that did indicate they received the contact information were unsure about details related to contacting the organization. During the tour the

auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Posters were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. The Posters (specifically the Reporting Poster) included contact information for outside victim advocacy services (Rape Crisis Services). The Poster indicated that victim advocacy can be provided by phone or in-person, will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. The auditor tested the access to victim advocacy services during the on-site portion of the audit. Inmates can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phone, which are monitored or recorded or they can set up a legal call or visit with the organization through medical, mental health or their counselor. The inmate would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal visit room or legal call room. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor requested the facility get in contact with the advocacy organization to test the functionality of this method. The victim advocacy organization did not return the PREA Coordinators call to set up the test until March 3, 2023. During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the drop boxes around the facility, including the locked drop boxes in each housing unit. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is logged and comes unsealed if it not legal mail. The mail is read and inspected for contraband. Legal mail comes sealed and is not read or inspected. A return address is required as well as postage. The staff member confirmed that letters to JHA and the local rape crisis center are treated like legal mail. The staff stated that the incoming mail process involves the inspection of mail upon arrival. Letters are scanned and read through to ensure there is no contraband. Legal mail is given to the inmate in front of a staff member (letter is sealed) and the inmate opens it in front of the staff so the staff can confirm there is not any contraband. Again the staff stated that incoming mail from JHA and the local rape crisis center would be treated like legal mail.

115.53 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. It further stated that the facility informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant federal, state, or local law. The MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. indicates that the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish

a joint effort between IDOC and Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling, to aid IDOC in fulfilling compliance with 115.53 while inmates are incarcerated at Shawnee Correctional Center and within the IDOC prison. The MOU further states that it understood face-to-face emotional support provided in a confidential a manner as possible or emotional support through confidential unmonitored, unrecorded phone call(s) shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". A review of the Handbook and PREA Poster confirmed that inmates are provided the mailing address and telephone number to Call for Help. The documents state that individuals in custody may contact victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The PREA Poster (which is included in the Handbook) states that advocacy can be provide either by phone or in-person and will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. Pages 23-24 of the Handbook outlines the mail process including privileged mail and pages 8 and 31 outline the telephone process, including information about telephone calls from individual in custody phones being subject to monitoring and recording. Interviews with 40 inmates, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated that twelve were aware of outside victim advocacy services and fifteen were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center. Eight inmates indicated that they received pamphlets and other information and that the contact information may be on those but they were not sure. The inmates that did indicate they received the contact information were unsure about details related to contacting the organization. During the tour the auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility. Each housing unit had at least an oversized poster (End the Silence) as well as regular paper size posters (Reporting Poster). Poster were observed on exit windows, walls and on the bulletin boards. The Reporting Posters were observed on bright colored paper in English and Spanish. The End the Silence Posters were observed on larger colored paper in both English and Spanish. The Posters (specifically the Reporting Poster) included contact information for outside victim advocacy services (Rape Crisis Services). The Poster indicated that victim advocacy can be provided by phone or in-person, will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible consistent with legal calls/visits. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA posters have been up for quite some time (at least over a year). A few inmates indicated that they also put up new PREA information (audit announcement) more recently. During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the drop boxes around the facility, including the locked drop boxes in each housing unit. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is logged and comes unsealed if it not legal mail. The mail is read and inspected for contraband. Legal mail comes sealed and is not read or inspected. A return address is required as well as postage. The staff member confirmed that letters to JHA and the local rape crisis center are treated like legal mail. The staff stated that the incoming mail process involves the inspection of mail upon arrival. Letters are scanned and read through to ensure there is no contraband. Legal mail is given to the inmate in front of a staff member (letter is

sealed) and the inmate opens it in front of the staff so the staff can confirm there is not any contraband. Again the staff stated that incoming mail from JHA and the local rape crisis center would be treated like legal mail.

115.53 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility maintains a memorandum of understanding or other agreement with a community service provider that is able to provide inmates with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The PAQ also indicated that the facility maintains copies of the agreement. A review of documentation confirms that the facility has an MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. The MOU was signed September 13, 2021 and the facility maintains a copy of the MOU.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc., the Inmate Handbook, the PREA Poster and interviews with random inmates, inmates who reported sexual abuse and the staff member at Sexual Assault & Family Emergencies this standard appears to require corrective action. Inmates can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phone, which are monitored or recorded or they can set up a legal call or visit with the organization through medical, mental health or their counselor. The inmate would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal visit room or legal call room. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor requested the facility get in contact with the advocacy organization to test the functionality of this method. The victim advocacy organization did not return the PREA Coordinators call to set up the test until March 3, 2023. Additionally, the auditor had an initial conversation with the victim advocacy organization on March 3, 2023. The communication indicated there was confusion on the services that were provided and how exactly inmates have access to the services. Interviews with 40 inmates, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated that twelve were aware of outside victim advocacy services and fifteen were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to develop a more reasonable method of communication with the local rape crisis center. Once this established and confirmed a process memo will need to be provided as well as confirmation that the process was tested for functionality. Additionally, the facility will need to work with the local rape crisis center to ensure they understand the services under the MOU are able to be provided to the inmates. Confirmation of this communication will need to be provided to the auditor. Additionally, the facility will need to communicate the information related to

the local rape crisis center and services more effectively to ensure inmates are aware of the organization and the method to contact. Documentation on how this was completed will need to be provided to the auditor after the prior two issues are resolved.

#### Recommendation

The auditor recommends that the local rape crisis be added to the privileged mail section in policy, procedure and the handbook. Additionally, the auditor highly recommends that the facility allow inmates to call the hotline number from the inmate phones as a free unmonitored call.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

1. Training Memorandum Related to Victim Advocacy Services
2. Victim Advocacy Function Test Memorandum
3. Memorandum Related to Conversation with Rape Crisis Center
4. Memorandum Related to Current Inmate Population

On June 25, 2023 the facility provided a training memo that was sent to staff related to victim advocacy services. The memo advised staff that the facility has an MOU with Rape Crisis Services of the Women's Center, Inc. to provide outside confidential support services. The memo provided a point of contact and a phone number to call to coordinate services. On the same date, documentation was uploaded confirming that the facility conducted a test of the victim advocacy process on June 22, 2023. The victim advocate returned the call on June 23, 2023 related to the test for services. Further, the facility provided documentation confirming they had a conversation with staff at Rape Crisis Services of Women's Center, Inc. and discussed the services they provide as outlined in the MOU.

	<p>On August 29, 2023 the facility provided a memo that advised current inmates were provided the information on Rape Crisis Services through personally being given a copy of the memorandum with the victim advocacy information. The memo also stated the information was added to the facility channel on the televisions.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.54</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>3. PREA Poster</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.54 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and the agency publicly distributes that information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate. The PREA Manual, page 32 states that the Department shall post publicly, and maintain, the third-party reporting avenue on its public website. A review of the agency’s website confirms that there is information on how to report sexual abuse and/or staff sexual misconduct. Individuals can call the IDOC Headquarters number (217-558-4013) and leave a message. Additionally, the PREA Posters state that individuals can write to the IDOC PREA Coordinator and/or to the John Howard Association. During the tour third party reporting information was observed in the visitation area and the front entrance. Both areas contained the End the Silence Poster and the Reporting Poster, both of which had information on reporting via the PREA Hotline and to staff. One poster also advised to report via the PREA Coordinator or John Howard Association. Posters were observed in English and Spanish. One Poster was on bright pink paper and the other had bright colors. Posters were observed in typical paper size and font. The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism on January 22, 2023. The auditor called the PREA hotline as outlined on</p>

	<p>the agency website. The hotline is the same hotline utilized for the inmate population. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on January 23, 2023 that the message was received and forwarded to him to handle. He indicated he would provide the information to the facility for investigation if it was a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, the PREA Manual, the PREA Poster and the agency's website this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.61</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 01.12.105 Reporting of Unusual Incidents</li> <li>4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>5. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interviews with Random Staff</li> <li>2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff</li> <li>3. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>4. Interview with the PREA Coordinator</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.61 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires all staff to report immediately</p>



and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency; any retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and/or any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. Interviews with fourteen staff confirm that policy requires that they report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, any retaliation related to reporting sexual abuse and/or information related to any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that contributed to the sexual abuse or retaliation. Staff stated they would report the information to the Shift Commander, Health Services and the Warden.

115.61 (b): The PAQ indicated that apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated state or local services agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. The PREA Manual, page 32 further states that the information concerning the identity of the alleged offender victim and the specific facts of the case shall be limited to staff who need to know because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and the investigation of the incident. This is important to not only preserve the victim's privacy but to preserve maximum flexibility to investigate the allegation. Interviews with fourteen staff confirm that policy requires that they report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, any retaliation related to reporting sexual abuse and/or information related to any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that contributed to the sexual abuse or retaliation. Staff stated they would report the information to

the Shift Commander, Health Services and the Warden.

115.61 (c): Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that at the initiation of services with an inmate they disclose limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report. Both staff stated they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information related an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. One of the two staff members stated that she had become aware of such incidents and reported the information to security. A review of investigations indicated one inmate reported sexual abuse to the mental health staff member. The mental health staff member immediately notified security and completed an incident report.

115.61 (d): The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that while State law (730 ILCS 5/5-8-6) specifically prohibits anyone under the age of eighteen to be confined to the Illinois Department of Corrections; if an allegation was made regarding a youth (such as a minor child visiting an individual in custody housed at the facility), the Illinois State Police and/or the Department of Children & Family Services, as appropriate, would be contacted to notify the agency of the allegation so they may properly investigate. For allegations made by a vulnerable adult in custody, the agency would ensure access to mental health is available for immediate assessment (per our PREA protocol) as well as long-term services. Additionally, access to community confidential support services would be available and offered. The Warden stated that they do not house offenders under eighteen but if a vulnerable adult reported sexual abuse it would be handled by the State Police or County Sheriff. He stated it would involve an external investigation.

115.61 (e): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. The interview with the Warden confirmed that all allegations are reported to the designated facility investigator. A review of investigations indicated five were reported verbally to staff, two were written, one was reported through the hotline and one was reported via a Warden to Warden notification. All nine allegations were forwarded to the facility investigator.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.105, the PREA Manual, investigative

	reports and information from interviews with random staff, medical and mental health care staff, the PREA Coordinator and the Warden indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.62	Agency protection duties
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>4. Incident Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Agency Head</li> <li>2. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>3. Interviews with Random Staff</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.62 (a): The PAQ indicated that when the agency or facility learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the inmate (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay). 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The PREA Manual, page 33 states that in cases where the alleged perpetrator is another offender, the Shift Supervisor shall be notified immediately. The Shift Supervisor shall ensure appropriate and immediate safeguards to protect the offender are taken. Depending on the severity, safeguards may include monitoring the situation, changing housing assignments, changing work assignments, placing the alleged</p>

victim and perpetrator in Special Housing, etc. The PREA Manual further states that if the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, all options for safeguarding the offender shall be considered as described above. Options may include reassignment to another unit or post, or other measures that will effectively separate the staff member from the offender. The PAQ stated that there were nine determinations made in the past twelve months that an inmate was at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and in all instances the average amount of time before action was taken was an hour. The PAQ stated action was immediately taken when notified of the allegations. Further communication with the PC indicated there were nine allegations reported rather than nine instances where inmates were determined to be at imminent risk of sexual abuse. A review of allegations indicated there were two allegations deemed not PREA because they were of sexual harassment that was not repeated. The staff met with the alleged inmate victims and spoke to them about the concern. One inmate advised that he did not want anything done just wanted staff aware of the letter that was slipped under this door. The Agency Head stated that the agency has many actions, including removing the individual from harm's way, removing the perpetrator and placing the staff member on administrative leave. He further stated that the risk would be investigated and the individual would be provided medical and mental health services. The interview with the Warden indicated if an inmate was deemed at risk of imminent risk of sexual abuse they would immediately remove him from the area and identify anyone else that may need to be moved from the housing area. The Warden stated that they can take protective the individual by making appropriate housing changes. Interviews with random staff further indicated that they would contact a supervisor and get one of the inmates moved.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, PREA Manual and information from interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and random staff indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.63</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> </ol>

#### 4. Investigative Reports

##### Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interview with the Warden

##### Findings (By Provision):

115.63 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. The PAQ stated there was one allegation received that an inmate was abused while confined at another facility. The PAQ indicated the response to the allegation included offering the victim access to medical, mental health and outside support services. A review of documentation confirmed there was one inmate who reported sexual abuse that occurred at another facility. The allegation was reported on June 17, 2022 and the Warden to Warden notification letter was sent June 23, 2022.

115.63 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that the facility head provide such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. A review of documentation confirmed there was one inmate who reported sexual abuse that occurred at another facility. The allegation was reported on June 17, 2022 and the Warden to Warden notification letter was sent June 23, 2022.

115.63 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility documents that it has

provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. A review of documentation confirmed there was one inmate who reported sexual abuse that occurred at another facility. The allegation was reported on June 17, 2022 and the Warden to Warden notification letter was sent June 23, 2022.

115.63 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility policy requires that allegations received from other facilities and agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. 04.01.301, page 9 states reports of sexual abuse or harassment occurring while an individual in custody was housed at a different facility shall be reported to the CAO of the facility where the incident occurred as soon as possible, but not later than 72 hours after the initial report was received. The CAO that receives such notification shall ensure the allegation is investigated in accordance with the procedures herein. The PREA Manual, pages 33-34 state that in cases where there is an allegation that sexual abuse occurred at another Department facility, the Chief Administrative Officer of the victim's current facility shall report the allegation to the Chief Administrative of the identified facility. In cases alleging sexual abuse by staff at another facility, the Chief Administrative Officer of the offender's current facility shall refer the matter directly to Internal Affairs. The PAQ stated there was one allegation reported to the facility from another facility in the previous twelve months. A review of documentation indicated there was one allegation received through a Warden to Warden notification. The allegation was forwarded to the facility investigator and determined to not rise to the level of PREA (inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment not repeated). The Agency Head stated that when notified by another agency of an allegation within an IDOC facility, the point of contact is the PC. He stated the PC would then forward it to the appropriate facility to investigate. The Agency Head indicated that the agency/facility would reach out to the other agency to obtain any follow-up information. He confirmed that they had a recent example from South Dakota and that it was forwarded from the PC to the facility for investigation. The interview with the Warden indicated that an investigation would be opened and they would identify any other inmates that may need to be interviewed that are still in their custody. He stated Internal Affairs would work with the other facility to share information. The Warden indicated he was unaware of any reports received from another facility/agency.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, investigative reports and interviews with the Agency Head and Warden, this standard appears to require corrective action. A review of documentation confirmed there was one inmate who reported sexual abuse that occurred at another facility. The allegation was reported

on June 17, 2022 and the Warden to Warden notification letter was sent June 23, 2022.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide remedial training to appropriate staff related to the required 72 hour timeframe of the Warden to Warden notification. The remedial training will need to be provided to the auditor. If there are examples during the corrective action period the facility will need to provide them to the auditor confirming the 72 hour timeframe was met.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

#### Additional Documents:

1. Training Memorandum Related to Warden to Warden Notifications
2. Warden to Warden Notification

On June 15, 2023 the facility provided a signed training memo that outlined that Warden to Warden notifications are required to be completed within 72 hours of receipt of the information. On the same date the facility provided an example of a Warden to Warden notification during the corrective action period that was sent within 72 hours of receipt of the information.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

#### Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide remedial training to appropriate staff related to the

required 72 hour timeframe of the Warden to Warden notification. The remedial training will need to be provided to the auditor. If there are examples during the corrective action period the facility will need to provide them to the auditor confirming the 72 hour timeframe was met.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Training Memorandum Related to Warden to Warden Notifications
2. Warden to Warden Notification

On June 15, 2023 the facility provided a signed training memo that outlined that Warden to Warden notifications are required to be completed within 72 hours of receipt of the information. On the same date the facility provided an example of a Warden to Warden notification during the corrective action period that was sent within 72 hours or receipt of the information.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Checklist</li> </ol>



#### 4. Investigative Reports

##### Interviews:

1. Interview with First Responders
2. Interviews with Random Staff
3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

##### Findings (By Provision):

115.64 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse and that the policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report to separate the alleged victim and abuser. It further states that the policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report to preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence and if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report request that the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged perpetrator not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The victim shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and be evaluated by mental health or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Policy further states that staff responding to any allegation of sexual abuse shall take steps to ensure preservation of the area in which the alleged abuse occurred, including requesting the alleged victim and abuser not to take any action that may destroy physical evidence, including changing clothes, bathing, brushing teeth, urinating, defecating drinking or eating, etc. The PREA Checklist also provides staff with a checklist of duties to ensure is completed post sexual abuse allegation. The PREA Checklist includes the required first responder duties. The PAQ stated there were three allegations of sexual abuse in the previous twelve months and none involved the immediate separation of the alleged victim and abuser. One of the allegations involved the collection of physical evidence by securing of the crime scene, however it did not include requesting the victim and ensuring the abuser not take any action to destroy any evidence. A review of the nine investigations indicated seven were sexual abuse. Five of the inmates were separated via a housing change but none involved the immediate separation. One occurred

within a time frame that still allowed for evidence collection and the inmate was taken to the hospital for a forensic medical examination and evidence collection. The staff instructed the inmate not to take any action to destroy evidence. The interview with the security staff first responder indicated he would separate the individuals, preserve any evidence, secure the crime scene, escort the victim to health services, determine if the victim needs to be provided a rape kit, notify the shift commander and ensure an investigation is started. The non-security first responder stated that she would make sure to keep the individuals separated, not leave the victim alone and immediately report the information to the Shift Commander. Three of the five inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that they were moved or the other individual was moved after they spoke to Internal Affairs. Each inmate indicated they reported in a different manner. One was reported via the hotline and IA spoke to them the following day while one stated that he reported via grievance and didn't talk to IA for two weeks.

115.64 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence. It further indicated that agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to notify security staff. 04.01.301, page 8 states that a member of the security staff shall be promptly notified if the staff responding is other than security staff. The PREA Checklist also provides staff with a checklist of duties to ensure is completed post sexual abuse allegation. The PREA Checklist includes the required first responder duties. The PAQ stated there were four allegations of sexual abuse that involved a non-security staff first responder. All four involved the non-security first responder notifying security staff and requesting the victim not to take any action to destroy any evidence. A review of the nine investigations indicated the one allegation was reported to a non-security first responder but the allegation was sexual harassment and was not repeated and did not require first responder duties. The interview with the security staff first responder indicated he would separate the individuals, preserve any evidence, secure the crime scene, escort the victim to health services, determine if the victim needs to be provided a rape kit, notify the shift commander and ensure an investigation is started. The non-security first responder stated that she would make sure to keep the individuals separated, not leave the victim alone and immediately report the information to the Shift Commander. The interviews with fourteen random staff confirmed that staff are aware of first responder duties. Most staff stated that they would separate the individuals, secure the scene and report to the Shift Commander.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, the PREA Checklist and interviews with random staff and first responders, this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.65</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Shawnee Correctional Center Institutional Directive (ID) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.65 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. ID 04.01.301, page 7 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The victim shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5. The decision to collect evidence shall be made on a case-by-case basis in accordance with standard investigative procedures. The victim will be evaluated by mental health or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Policy further states that staff responding to any allegation of sexual abuse shall take steps to ensure preservation of the area in which the alleged abuse occurred, including requesting the alleged victim and abuser not to take any action that may destroy physical evidence, including changing clothes, bathing, brushing teeth, urinating, defecating drinking or eating, etc. Pages 8-9 describe medical and mental health treatment for victims of sexual abuse, pages 10-11 describes the investigative process for allegations of sexual abuse and pages 3-7 describe the facility leaderships responsibilities. The Warden confirmed that the facility has an institutional plan that coordinates actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, ID 04.01.301 and information from the interview with</p>

	the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

<b>115.66</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Collective Bargaining Agreements</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Agency Head</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.66 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency, facility, or any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf has entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. A review of a sample of the agency's collective bargaining agreements confirm that those reviewed allowed for the removal of the alleged staff abuser. Most of the agreements indicated that a written reason for the removal, discipline or termination should be provided to the union. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that the agency has entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreements or other agreements since August 20, 2012. He stated that depending on the severity, the agreements allow staff to be removed from contact and/or placed on administrative leave.</p> <p>115.66 (b): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, a sample of collective bargaining agreements and the interview with the Agency Head, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>

**115.67 Agency protection against retaliation**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

## Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Retaliation Monitor - Staff (DOC 0499)
4. PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender (DOC 0498)
5. Investigative Reports

## Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interview with the Warden
3. Interview with Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation
4. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

## Findings (By Provision):

115.67 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff. 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Policy further states that the Department shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The PAQ indicated that the agency designates staff members charged with monitoring for retaliation. At Shawnee Correctional Center the position responsible for monitoring is a Security Lieutenant.

115.67 (b): 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. Staff conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Staff, DOC 0499. The review shall include, but not be limited to, negative performance reviews and reassignments. A review of investigative reports and monitoring documents indicated that there were no reported allegations of retaliation nor any reported fear of retaliation. Interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that protective measures would be taken if an inmate or staff member expressed fear of retaliation. The Agency Head stated that the agency has options to protect individual, including transferring an individual to another facility, removing the abuser from contact with the individual and moving staff to another post or facility. The Warden confirmed that the facility can take protective actions including: housing changes, removal of staff from contact with the individual, facility transfers, placing individual on a keep separate list from one another and providing emotional support services. The Warden stated that the facility would follow-up with the inmate every 30 days for retaliation. He further confirmed that they can take protective actions including housing changes, facility transfers, removal of the alleged staff abuser and mental health services. The interview with the staff who monitors for retaliation indicated that he uses the guidelines in place for monitoring which includes monitoring the individual at the 30, 60 and 90 day windows. The staff stated that at the 30 day mark he checks discipline to see if there have been any bogus tickets, he checks the job assignment to see if they were fired and he checks grievances to see if there are any issues. He also stated he touches base with the individual periodically to see if they feel like they have been retaliated against. The staff who monitors for retaliation stated that they would take protective measures including being mindful of where the individual is housed. He confirmed that they can make housing changes, facility transfers, can remove the alleged staff abuser and provide mental health services for inmates who report sexual abuse.

Interviews with five inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that two felt protected against retaliation. Two inmates stated they did not feel protected because the other inmate was housed in the same building (not the same housing unit). One stated he felt retaliated against because he was sent back to the facility where the sexual abuse occurred and that in itself felt like retaliation. It should be noted that buildings have four separate housing units and the two inmates who indicated the other inmate was in their building were not housed in the same unit and had limited contact.

115.67 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility monitors the conduct or

treatment of inmates or staff who reported sexual abuse and of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff. The PAQ stated that monitoring is completed for a minimum of 90 days. The PAQ further stated that the agency/facility acts promptly to remedy any relation and that the agency/facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. Policy further states that the Department shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The PAQ noted there were zero incidents of retaliation reported in the previous twelve months. The interview with the Warden indicated that if retaliation is suspected or reported they document everything and it would be investigated. The interview with the staff member responsible for monitoring retaliation indicated that during monitoring he would check disciplinary reports to see if they received any bogus tickets, he would check job assignments to see if they were fired, he would check grievances and he would touch based with them periodically to see if they have any issues with retaliation. He also confirmed that he would check housing and programming history and any mental health contact to determine if there were any issues there. A review of the seven sexual abuse investigations indicated five required monitoring (one was unfounded within 30 days and one inmate was transferred from the facility within a few days after the report). Three of the five had 90 day monitoring including periodic status checks and required checks under provision (c). The other two were completed up until the inmate was released or transferred from the facility and included period status checks and the required checks under provision (c) as well.

115.67 (d): 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. The staff member responsible for monitoring stated that he would periodically check in with the individual to see if they felt they were being retaliated against. A review of the seven sexual abuse investigations indicated five required monitoring (one was unfounded

within 30 days and one inmate was transferred from the facility within a few days after the report). Three of the five had 90 day monitoring including periodic status checks and required checks under provision (c). The other two were completed up until the inmate was released or transferred from the facility and included period status checks and the required checks under provision (c) as well.

115.67 (e): 04.01.301, page 12 states that if any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, the Department shall take appropriate measures to protect the individuals against retaliation. The Agency Head stated that the same protective measures would be offered to those who cooperate with an investigation or express fear for retaliation. The Warden stated that the facility would follow-up with the inmate every 30 days for retaliation. He further confirmed that they can take protective actions including housing changes, facility transfers, removal of the alleged staff abuser and mental health services. He further indicated that if retaliation occurred or was suspected they would document everything and an investigation would be completed.

115.67 (f): Auditor not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, DOC 0498 and interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and staff charged with monitoring for retaliation, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing</li> <li>4. Inmate Victim Housing Assignments</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p>



1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interview with Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of the Segregated Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.68 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ further indicated that if an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility affords each such inmate a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. The PAQ noted there were zero inmates who alleged sexual abuse were involuntarily segregated for zero to 24 hours or longer than 30 day. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Page 9 further states that any offender who alleges sexual abuse shall have their housing needs reviewed to determine appropriate placement. If the offender is transferred to another facility, the PCM of the sending facility shall promptly notify the PCM of the receiving facility of the alleged sexual abuse or harassment to ensure the offender receives proper follow-up services. Additionally, 05.15.100, page 6 states that a Restrictive Housing Review Committee (RHRC) shall be established at each facility with restrictive housing. The committee shall review the status of each individual in custody's placement into restrictive housing every seven days for the first 60 days and at least every 30 days thereafter, unless more frequently if clinically indicated. During the tour the auditor observed that the segregated housing unit was a shared building with receiving. The building had a separate area for programming. The outdoor recreation area was separate from the general population recreation area and included two recreation enclosures. Individuals in segregated housing have access to a rolling phone once a week. Grievances and written correspondence is placed outside the cell door and staff pick it up and place in in the appropriate boxes. Additionally, inmates come out for recreation, showers and groups and can place written correspondence in the appropriate boxes. The interview with the Warden confirmed that agency policy prohibits placing inmates who report sexual abuse in

involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and it is determined that there are no alternative means of separation from likely abusers. He stated that individuals in custody who report sexual abuse would only be placed in segregated housing as a last resort. The Warden confirmed that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abuser(s) could be arranged. He stated this would be no longer than a day and that they have not had any instances of victims placed in involuntary segregated housing since his arrival at the facility. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates who report sexual abuse who are involuntary segregated would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff member indicated that they keep a log on the door of each individual and all restrictions and refusals would be documented on the log. The staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until they could find an alternative means of separation. He stated that individuals would only be placed in segregated housing for investigative purposes, not disciplinary purposes and that the individual can be housed for 30 days under investigation. He further confirmed that inmates in involuntary segregated housing would be reviewed at least every 30 days to review if there was a continued need for the inmate to remain in segregated housing. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to an allegation of sexual abuse and as such no interviews were conducted. A review of documentation for the seven inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated one was transferred to a different facility three days after reporting the allegation, two remained in the same housing unit, one was placed in segregated housing due to a disciplinary report and three were moved to the receiving unit in the cells that staff indicated were utilized for segregation. Additionally, an inmate who reported sexual harassment that was not repeated was also placed in a cell in receiving that staff indicated was utilized for segregated housing. Further communication with the facility staff indicated that they can use the cells for segregated housing but they typically do not.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 05.15.100, housing documentation for inmates who reported sexual abuse and the interview with the Warden and staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing, this standard appears to require corrective action. A review of documentation for the seven inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated one was transferred to a different facility three days after reporting the allegation, two remained in the same housing unit, one was placed in segregated housing due to a disciplinary report and three were moved to the receiving unit in the cells that staff indicated were utilized for segregation. Additionally, an inmate who reported sexual harassment that was not repeated was also placed in a cell in receiving that staff indicated was utilized for segregated housing. Further communication with the facility staff indicated that they can use the cells for segregated housing but they typically do not. While staff indicated these cells are not always used for segregation, there is a pattern of victims being placed in these cells rather than seeking alternative housing or remaining in their same housing status.

	<p>Additionally information will need to be provided during the corrective action period to determine compliance.</p> <p>Corrective Action</p> <p>The facility will need to provide the auditor with all allegations of sexual abuse during the corrective action plan. Additionally, the housing assignments before the allegation and after the allegation for each victim will need to be provided as well.</p> <p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During the Corrective Action Plan</li> <li>2. Victim Housing Documents</li> <li>3. Training Memorandum Related to Victim Housing</li> </ol> <p>On July 26, 2023 the facility provided staff training documents related to the requirements under this standard. A list of sexual abuse allegations during the corrective action period was provided as well as the victim housing documents before and after the allegation. One victim remained in the same housing assignment and the other was moved to "S" housing status, which is not a restrictive housing unit status.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.71</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard

## **Auditor Discussion**

### Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents
4. Administrative Directive 01.12.101 Employee Criminal Misconduct
5. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence
6. Administrative Directive 01.12.125 Uniform Investigative Reporting System
7. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignment
8. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police/Office of Executive Inspector General
9. Investigative Reports
10. Investigator Training Records

### Interviews:

1. Interview with Investigative Staff
2. Interview with the Warden
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
5. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

### Findings (By Provision):

115.71 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to

the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, page 1 states the CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident reported, if it is determined that further facts are required. Page 2 states that the facility investigation shall include, but not be limited to: obtaining statements from all involved individuals; obtaining statements from all known and any possible witnesses; securing and preserving all weapons; securing and preserving any other evidence; determining if all policies and procedures were followed; determining the quality of offender and staff supervision; conferring with local State Attorney to determine if criminal prosecution is warranted and referring individuals to the prosecuting authority for criminal prosecution, when warranted. 01.12.101, 01.12.105, 01.12.115, 01.12.112 and 01.12.125 all outline different elements to the investigative process for the agency. A review of the nine investigations indicated two did not rise to the level of PREA (inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment not repeated). The remaining seven investigations were investigated by facility investigators. Six of the seven were completed timely (within 30 days) while one was completed around the six month mark as it was waiting for DNA results to be returned. All seven were thorough and objective and included interviews with the victim, alleged suspect and witnesses, when applicable. Four of the seven involved evidence collection, including DNA, video and medical/mental health records). The interview with the administrative investigator indicated that an investigation is initiated immediately and the initial steps including making sure the individual are separated and interviewing the victim. The criminal investigator stated that investigations are initiated immediately after the allegation is received. Both investigators confirmed that third party and anonymous reports are investigated the same as first person reports. Direct and circumstantial evidence is collected, interviews are completed and prior reports are reviewed.

115.71 (b): 01.12.115, page 2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. A review of the training curriculum confirmed slides 67-118 include the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. A review of documentation indicated that five facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by three investigators, all of which had completed the specialized investigator training. The interviews with the investigators confirmed that the specialized investigator training included the topics required under this provision:

techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative case.

115.71 (c): 04.01.301, page 10 states that for reports of sexual abuse, the crime scene shall always be protected and investigators shall collect and tag evidence from the scene in accordance with established procedures. Evidence collected shall be submitted to the State Police within ten business days of receipt. 01.12.120, page 1 states the CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident reported, if it is determined that further facts are required. Page 2 states that the facility investigation shall include, but not be limited to: obtaining statements from all involved individuals; obtaining statements from all known and any possible witnesses; securing and preserving all weapons; securing and preserving any other evidence; determining if all policies and procedures were followed; determining the quality of offender and staff supervision; conferring with local State Attorney to determine if criminal prosecution is warranted and referring individuals to the prosecuting authority for criminal prosecution, when warranted. 01.12.101, 01.12.105, 01.12.115, 01.12.112 and 01.12.125 all outline different elements to the investigative process for the agency. A review of the nine investigations indicated two did not rise to the level of PREA (inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment not repeated). The remaining seven investigations were investigated by facility investigators. Six of the seven were completed timely (within 30 days) while one was completed around the six month mark as it was waiting for DNA results to be returned. All seven were thorough and objective and included interviews with the victim, alleged suspect and witnesses, when applicable. Four of the seven involved evidence collection, including DNA, video and medical/mental health records). The interview with the administrative investigator indicated his investigative process includes making sure all first responder duties were completed, collecting any necessary evidence, interviewing the victim, ensuring appropriate medical care is taken, including forensic examinations, if applicable, interviewing the alleged suspect and witnesses, gathering video evidence and any other evidence, analyzing the information obtain and writing a report with conclusion based on a preponderance of the evidence. The administrative investigator stated he would be responsible for collecting evidence such as DNA, physical and video monitoring. The criminal investigator stated the initial investigative step would be to gather and preserve any evidence. He further stated he would remain objective during the investigation and base credibility on a case-by-case basis. He stated he would conduct a complete and thorough investigation. This is done through gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including physical. DNA and any available video monitoring data. He confirmed he would interview the alleged victims, suspected perpetrators and any witnesses and he would review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the perpetrator.

115.71 (d): The interview with the administrative investigator indicated that he would consult with prosecutors before conducting any compelled interviews. He stated they have good rapport with the State Attorney. The criminal investigator confirmed that before they conduct any compelled interviews they would consult with prosecutors. A review of investigative reports confirmed none of the closed investigations involved compelled interviews.

115.71 (e): 04.01.301, page 10 states that alleged victims of sexual abuse shall not be required to submit to truth telling verification examinations such as voice stress analysis or polygraph exam as part of or as a condition of the investigation. The interviews with the administrative and criminal investigators confirmed that the agency does require inmate victims of sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph tests or any other truth-telling devices. Further the administrative investigator stated that credibility is not judged and that all allegations are taken seriously. The criminal investigator stated that credibility is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by an person's status. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse confirmed none were required to take a polygraph test or truth telling device test.

115.71 (f): 01.12.120, page 3 states that the supervisor of the internal investigation team shall submit an initial report, verbal or written, to the CAO within 48 hours of the incident and shall submit a final written report utilizing the Report of Investigation, DOC 0262, within ten working day from the conclusion of the investigation. A review of seven investigations indicated that all were closed and were documented in a written report with information related to the initial allegation, a description of statements/interviews with the alleged victim, perpetrator(s) and/or witnesses, if applicable, whether video was reviewed and investigatory facts and findings. The interview with administrative investigator confirmed that all administrative investigations are documented in a written report and include: rosters, shift supervisor's report, incident reports, the PREA checklist, description of evidence, interviews and description of all action taken. He stated there is a summary, body and conclusion. The criminal investigator further confirmed that they would review logbooks, video and interview all parties to determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse.

115.71 (g): 01.12.120, page 3 states that the supervisor of the internal investigation team shall submit an initial report, verbal or written, to the CAO within 48 hours of the incident and shall submit a final written report utilizing the Report of Investigation, DOC 0262, within ten working day from the conclusion of the investigation. There was one criminal investigation completed during the previous twelve months. It was

documented in a written report and included similar elements of an administrative report. The criminal investigation also included information on the submittal to the State Attorney. The interview with the criminal investigator confirmed that the criminal investigation would be documented on the DOC 0262 (Report of Investigation). The report would contain a thorough description of physical, interview and documentary evidence. He stated it would also include all attachments such as: statements, video, audio, physical, etc.

115.71 (h): The PAQ indicated that substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution. 04.01.301, page 11 states that upon conclusion of the investigation, if applicable, the case shall be reviewed with the appropriate State's Attorney for possible referral for prosecution. The PAQ noted there were zero allegations referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. A review of documentation confirmed there was one criminal investigation completed and based on the evidence it was not referred for prosecution. The interview with the investigators confirmed that criminal investigations are typically referred for prosecution, especially those that are substantiated. The administrative investigator stated they refer allegations that appear to have a criminal act.

115.71 (i): The PAQ indicated that the agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. A review of a sample of historic investigations confirmed retention is being met.

115.71 (j): The interview with the criminal investigator confirmed that an individual in custody being released or an employee separating from the agency has no bearing on the investigation and it would proceed accordingly. The administrative investigator stated an individual resigning or departing custody would not weight on whether the investigation continued. He stated that the investigation would be completed and that they may refer it to an external investigator such as the State Police.

115.71 (k): The auditor is not required to audit this standard.

115.71 (l): 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. The PREA Coordinator stated that cases which are referred to the Illinois State Police are assigned an external investigator



	<p>from IDOC’s Office of Investigations &amp; Intelligence. The IDOC external investigator would ensure updates are provided to the facility and PREA Coordinator, as appropriate. The Warden stated that Internal Affairs would keep in contact with the outside agency and the facility would be provided a copy of the report once the investigation was closed. The PCM stated that Internal Affairs communicates with the outside agency directly and that information is received at the facility when the investigation is completed. The administrative investigator indicated if an outside agency investigates he would assist with gathering information, setting up interviews and assist with any other actions that are necessary. The criminal investigator stated that he fully cooperates with the outside investigator and stays informed of the progress of their investigation.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.310, 01.12.101, 01.12.112, 01.12.115, 01.12.120, 01.12.125, the MOU with the State Police, investigative reports, investigative training records and information from interviews with the Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and investigator, indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.72</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) for Investigators Training Curriculum</li> <li>4. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with Investigative Staff</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.72 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the Department shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. Additionally, the PREA for Investigators Training Curriculum includes information on the elements to substantiate an investigation (preponderance of evidence). A review of nine investigations indicated two did not rise to the level of PREA (inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment not repeated). A review of the seven other investigative reports indicated that all findings were appropriate based on the evidence, and none should have been substantiated due to a preponderance of evidence. The interviews with the investigator confirmed that the standard of evidence to substantiate an administrative investigation is a preponderance of evidence.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, PREA Investigators Training Curriculum, investigative reports and information from the interview with the investigator, it is determined that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>4. Investigative Reports</li> <li>5. Victim Notification Memorandums</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>2. Interview with Investigative Staff</li> </ol>

### 3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

#### Findings (By Provision):

115.73 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy requiring that any inmate who makes an allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there was one completed sexual abuse investigation in the previous twelve months and it had a verbal or written victim notification. A review of seven sexual abuse investigations indicated all seven had a completed investigation and all seven included a written notification of the investigative outcome to the victim. The interviews with the Warden and the investigators confirm that inmates are informed of the outcome of the investigation into their allegation. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated three were aware that they were to be notified of the outcome of their investigation. Two inmates stated that they were notified of the outcome. One stated he was notified via letter three months after he reported and the second stated he was notified in writing 2 months after reporting.

115.73 (b): The PAQ indicate that if an outside entity conducts such investigations, the agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the inmate of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there as one investigations completed by an outside agency in the previous twelve months and the inmate was notified verbally or in writing of the results of the investigation. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. A review of investigations confirmed that none were completed by an outside agency and as such no notifications under this provision were required.

115.73 (c): The PAQ indicated following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the agency/facility subsequently informs the inmate (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that there has been a substantiated or unsubstantiated complaint (i.e., not unfounded) of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against an inmate in an agency facility in the

past 12 months and that in each case the agency subsequently informed the inmate whenever: the staff member was no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member was no longer employed at the facility; the staff member has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PREA Manual, page 40 states that following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the agency shall subsequently inform the inmate (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PREA Manual further states that an assessment shall be completed to determine if actions described above are warranted in accordance with section 115.65. The actions may not be appropriate in all cases. Offenders shall be notified only if there is a link between the listed actions in this section and an incident of sexual abuse. The timing of such notifications shall not interfere with any pending criminal or administrative investigations. A review of the seven sexual abuse investigations indicated that four were staff-on-inmate allegations. None of the allegations were substantiated or required the removal of the alleged staff member and as such no notification were required under this provision. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that one of the five had an allegation against staff. The inmate advised he was not informed anything about the staff member.

115.73 (d): The PAQ indicated following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PREA Manual, page 40 states that following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, the agency shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. A review of seven sexual abuse investigative reports indicated three were inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegations, however none were substantiated. As such there were zero notifications under this provision required. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that four were inmate-on-inmate allegations. All four stated that they had not been notified of anything related to the allegation.

115.73 (e): The PAQ indicated the agency has a policy that all notifications to inmates described under this standard are documented. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the

	<p>alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were zero notification made pursuant to this standard. A review of seven sexual abuse investigations indicated all seven had a completed investigation and all seven included a written notification of the investigative outcome to the victim.</p> <p>115.73 (f): This provision is not required to be audited.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, investigative reports, victim notification memos and information from interviews with the Warden and the investigator indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Administrative Directive 03.01.120 Employee Review Hearing</li> <li>4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>5. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.76 (a): The PAQ indicated that staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. 04.01.301, page 11 states that all terminations for violating the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. The PREA Manual, page 41 states that staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse and</p>

sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative reports confirmed that there were zero substantiated sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment allegations against a staff member and as such there was no documentation to review.

115.76 (b): The PREA Manual, page 41 states termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. It further states that administrative discipline shall be conducted using the Employee Review Hearing Process and the collective bargaining agreement. Any decision made on the proposal shall be in accordance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The PAQ indicated there were zero staff members who violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies in the previous twelve months and zero staff members who was terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative reports confirmed that there were zero substantiated sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment allegations against a staff member and as such there was no documentation to review.

115.76 (c): The PAQ indicated that the disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. The PAQ indicated there were zero staff that were disciplined short of termination for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. The PREA Manual, page 41 states that disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policy relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. 03.01.120 further describes the employee disciplinary review process. A review of investigative reports confirmed that there were zero substantiated sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment allegations against a staff member and as such there was no documentation to review.

115.76 (d): The PAQ indicated that all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to any relevant licensing bodies. 04.01.301, page 11 states that all terminations for violating the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated there were no staff members who were reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual or sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative

	<p>reports confirmed that there were zero substantiated sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment allegations against a staff member and as such there was no documentation to review.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.01.120, the PREA Manual and investigative reports, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.77</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>
---------------	---

	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.77 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to relevant licensing bodies and that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with inmates. 04.01.301, page 11 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated that there have been no contractors or volunteers who violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months and as such none were reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies. A review of investigative reports confirmed there were zero contractors or volunteers who violated the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p>

115.77 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. 04.01.301, page 11 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The interview with the Warden indicated that if a volunteer or contractor violated the sexual abuse and/or the sexual harassment policies the facility would conduct an investigation and the individual would not be permitted into the facility during the investigation. The Warden further stated that if the investigation was deemed substantiated they would turn the information over for prosecution.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.78</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Illinois Administrative Code 20.504</li> <li>4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>5. Investigative Reports</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff</li> </ol>



Findings (By Provision):

115.78 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding and/or a criminal finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse.

04.01.301, page 10 states that upon conclusion of the investigation disciplinary reports shall be completed, served and processed, where warranted. 20.504, page 2 states that no offender shall be found guilty of any violation without a hearing before the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit. 20.504 further describes the formal disciplinary process required. The PAQ stated there were zero administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse and zero criminal findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. A review of investigative reports confirmed there were zero substantiated inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment allegation.

115.78 (b): 20.507, pages 2-3 stated that in determining the appropriate sanctions, the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit, the CAO and the Director shall consider, among other matters, mitigating or aggravating factors including; the offenders age, medical and mental health state, if the offender was determined to be mentally ill, the extent and degree of participation in the commission of the offense and the offender's prior disciplinary record. The Warden confirmed that if an inmate perpetrator is found to have violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies he would be charged with discipline and could receive up to six months loss of good time, six months of segregation time and the investigation would be forwarded to the State Attorney for prosecution. The Warden confirmed that sanctions are consistent in the disciplinary process and that sanctions would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories.

115.78 (c): 20.507, pages 2-3 stated that in determining the appropriate sanctions, the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit, the CAO and the Director shall consider, among other matters, mitigating or aggravating factors including; the offenders age, medical and mental health state, if the offender was determined to be mentally ill, the extent and degree of participation in the commission of the offense and the offender's prior disciplinary record. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the disciplinary process considers whether the inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

115.78 (d): The PAQ indicated the facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. Further communication with the PC indicated that sex offender treatment is

only offered at Big Muddy River Correctional Center and Taylorville Correctional Center. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they would offer services to the alleged perpetrator. The mental health staff member stated that they have sex offender treatment at two other facilities that they could transfer the inmate to, but that they would not require the inmate to participate in order to gain access to other services.

115.78 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency disciplines inmates for sexual conduct with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. A review of investigative reports indicated there were zero substantiated staff-on-inmate sexual abuse allegations. Additionally, there was no documentation of discipline of any inmate related to the staff-on-inmate allegations.

115.78 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The PREA Manual, page 42 states that the maintenance of an effective sexual abuse prevention policy, and general secure and orderly running of a facility, requires that offenders be held responsible for manipulative behavior and intentionally making false allegations. Allegations of false reports shall be considered by staff in accordance with the procedures and standards found within Illinois Administrative Code 507, Administration of Discipline.

115.78 (g): The PAQ indicated that the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates. It further indicated that if the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and disciplines inmates for such activity, the agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced. A review of documentation confirmed the alleged inmate perpetrator in one investigation stated the contact was consensual and was given a disciplinary report. It should be noted that the investigation was deemed unsubstantiated because the DNA evidence contradicted the allegation and the polygraph indicated the alleged perpetrator was telling the truth.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 20.507, the PREA Manual, investigative reports and information from interviews with the Warden and medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to be compliant.

<b>115.81</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard

## **Auditor Discussion**

### Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Standard Operating Procedural (SOP) Manual for Mental Health
4. Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494)
5. Medical/Mental Health Documents

### Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Interviews with Inmates who Disclose Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

### Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
2. Observation of Inmate Medical and Classification Files

### Findings (By Provision):

115.81 (a): The PAQ indicated that all inmates at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to §115.41 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner and the follow-up meeting was offered within fourteen days. The PAQ further indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services. 04.01.301, page 6 states that if it is determined that the offender was previously a victim of sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify medical and mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. The PAQ noted that 100% of those inmates who reported prior victimization were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health. A review of mental health documents for seven inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening

indicated two were documented with being offered a follow-up with mental health. (both declined). The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are offered a follow-up with medical or mental health care staff within fourteen days. Interviews with four inmates who disclosed sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated three were offered a follow-up with medical or mental health care staff.

115.81 (b): The PAQ indicated that all prison inmates who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to § 115.41, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner and the follow-up meeting was offered within fourteen days. The PAQ further indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services. 04.01.301, page 7 states that if it is determined that the offender previously perpetrated sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. The PAQ noted that 100% of those inmates who reported prior perpetration were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health. During documentation review the auditor identified four inmates with prior sexual abusiveness. A review of documentation indicated that one of the four was offered a follow-up with mental health care staff. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates identified during the risk screening with prior sexual abusiveness would be offered a follow-up with mental health within fourteen days.

115.81 (c): The facility is not a jail and as such this provision is not applicable.

115.81 (d): The PAQ indicated that information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is not strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners, however it stated that the information is only shared with staff to assist with security and management decisions. 04.01.301, page 5 states that access to information related to sexual abuse occurring in an correctional setting shall be treated as confidential and limited to staff directly related to the assessment, treatment, placement or investigations of the offender to the extent possible when ensuring the safety and security of the offender. Medical records are all paper and the records are is staffed 7am-3pm Monday through Friday. The records door is locked at all times and only medical and mental health care staff have access. Medical and mental health care staff are the only ones with access to the records and approval must be obtained before records can be viewed/released. Investigative files are paper and electronic. Only Internal Affairs staff have access to the investigations. Risk screening information is maintained in the paper file and in the electronic 360 program. Paper files are scanned into 360 and then maintained in the inmate record. Records is staffed Monday through Friday 8am-5pm and is locked after hours. Leadership staff are the only ones with access to records after hours. The records room contains a list of staff that are able to view the inmate's file and they are not on

the list they can't review the file. Risk screening information was located on the left side of the inmate file. The 360 program also maintains the risk screening information. The auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the response of each inmate's risk assessment.

15.81 (e): The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of eighteen. 04.01.301, page 5 states that informed consent shall be required before utilizing information regarding a sexual victimization that occurred outside of a correctional setting. The SOP Manual for Mental Health, page 46 clinicians should clearly specify any limits of confidentiality. Offender disclosures are considered to be confidential and privileged with the following exceptions: threats to physically harm self-and/or others, threats to escape or otherwise disrupt or breach the security of the institution and information about an identifiable minor child or elderly/disabled person who has been the victim of physical or sexual abuse. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate that they obtain informed consent prior to reporting any sexual abuse that did not occur in an institutional setting. Both staff stated the facility does not house anyone under eighteen so they don't deal with reporting of that population.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, SOP Manual for Mental Health, DOC 0494, medical and mental health documents, information from interviews with staff who perform the risk screening, medical and mental health care staff and inmates who disclosed victimization during the risk screening indicate that this standard requires corrective action. A review of mental health documents for seven inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated two were documented with being offered a follow-up with mental health. (both declined). A review of documentation indicated that one of the four was offered a follow-up with mental health care staff. The 360 program also maintains the risk screening information. The auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the response of each inmate's risk assessment.

#### Corrective Action

The necessary documentation related to the three inmates with prior abusiveness and the five inmates with prior victimization will need to be provided. If it is not available then the facility will need to provide list of these inmates during the corrective action period and a sample of their associated mental health follow-ups.

	<p>Additionally, the agency will need to address the access by all staff to the risk screening information in the 360 program and provide appropriate documentation related to restrictions.</p> <p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mental Health Documents</li> <li>2. Offender 360 Restriction Documents</li> </ol> <p>On August 3, 2023 the facility provided documentation indicating that the agency implemented security roles for the Offender 360 risk screening information. The agency restricted access to only a few security roles and all other staff are required to request access to the risk screening information through the agency PC. The PC also provided screenshots of different staff's views in Offender 360. The auditor confirmed the staff did not have access to the risk screening.</p> <p>On August 24, 2023 the facility provided the originally requested documentation. All eight inmates were documented with mental health follow-ups within fourteen days.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.82</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> </ol>

2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Medical and Mental Health Documents

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
2. Interviews with First Responders
3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical and Mental Health Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.82 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services and that the nature of scope of services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. The PAQ further indicates that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. During the tour, the auditor observed that health services included a reception area, exam rooms and treatment rooms. Additionally, there was a housing unit with an infirmary and observation cells. Exam and treatment rooms had doors with small windows and a curtain that could be pulled around the treatment/exam tables for additional privacy. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that inmates receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention service. The staff stated they provide services as soon as they are made aware of the incident. The mental health staff member indicated there are a few officers and a

Sergeant who are crisis intervention trained that can provide services if mental health staff are not at the facility when it is reported. Both staff confirmed that services would be based on their professional judgment as well as guidelines and ethical standards. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that four were offered medical and/or mental health services. One inmate stated his allegation was verbal and he did not need medical or mental health services.

115.82 (b): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Page 9 (Paragraph II.G.5) further states that treatment shall be provided by a certified SAFE or SANE at a local emergency room and that the medical examination provided by Department facilities shall include a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse. The interview with the security staff first responder indicated he would separate the individuals, preserve any evidence, secure the crime scene, escort the victim to health services, determine if the victim needs to be provided a rape kit, notify the shift commander and ensure an investigation is started. The non-security first responder stated that she would make sure to keep the individuals separated, not leave the victim alone and immediately report the information to the Shift Commander.

115.82 (c): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. 04.01.301, page 9 states that a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse shall include, but not be limited to: a blood test (RPR for Syphilis); culture smears for seminal fluid, Gonorrhea, Chlamydia and other Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) as appropriate; Hepatitis C antibody test and Hepatitis B surface antigen and antibody blood test and an HIV test and counseling shall be offered. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. Two allegations involved penetration. One inmate was transported to the local hospital and provided information and access to testing and medication. The second inmate was documented with appropriate services by medical and mental health based on the timeframe of the penetration. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that inmates receive timely information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. The interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none involved penetration or touching that would require information and access to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

115.82 (d): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim



	<p>without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged a co-payment for medical treatment, including forensic medical examinations, obtained for alleged sexual abuse.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff indicate that this standard appears to require additional documentation to determine compliance.</p>
--	---

<b>115.83</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)</li> <li>4. Medical and Mental Health Documents</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff</li> <li>2. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse</li> </ol> <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Observations of Medical Treatment Areas</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.83 (a): The PAQ indicated the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Additionally, 04.01.301, page 6 states that if it is determined that the offender was previously a victim of sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify medical and mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. During the tour, the auditor observed that health services included a reception area, exam rooms and treatment rooms. Additionally, there was a housing unit with an infirmary and observation cells. Exam and treatment rooms had doors with small windows and a curtain that could be pulled around the treatment/exam tables for additional privacy. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. A review of mental health documents for seven inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated two were documented with being offered a follow-up with mental health. (both declined).

115.83 (b): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. A few of the inmates were documented with follow-up mental health service and the inmate who had the forensic medical examination was documented with follow-up medical and mental health services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that they provide on-going and follow-up services to inmate victims of sexual abuse. A few of the services include mental health referrals, follow-up testing and medication, trauma treatment and mental health treatment plans. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that two were provided follow-up services with mental health. One inmate stated he did not need follow-up services while two indicated they just had not received follow-up services.

115.83 (c): The facility provides access to medical and mental health staff on-site and also transports inmates to the local hospital for treatment that is not available at the facility. All medical and mental health care staff are required to have the appropriate licensure and credentials. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. One inmate was transported to the local hospital for services as well. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that the services they provide are consistent with the community level of care. One staff member stated that quality is good but they are

short staffed so they don't have as much time as they need.

115.83 (d): The PAQ indicated that female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated are offered pregnancy tests. Further communication with the PC indicated that this provision does not apply as the facility does not house female inmates. 04.10.301, page 10 states female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results from the sexual abuse, such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawfully pregnancy-related medical services. The facility does not house female inmates and as such this provision does not apply.

115.83 (e): The PAQ indicated that if pregnancy results from sexual abuse while incarcerated, victims receive timely and comprehensive information about, and timely access to, all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. Further communication with the PC indicated that this provision does not apply as the facility does not house female inmates. 04.10.301, page 10 states female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results from the sexual abuse, such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawfully pregnancy-related medical services. The PREA Manual, page 45 states that if pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph (d) of this section (sexually abusive vaginal penetration), such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. It further states that Department healthcare providers shall deliver comprehensive prenatal counseling and care for pregnant female offenders.

115.83 (f): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. 04.01.301, page 9 states that a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse shall include, but not be limited to: a blood test (RPR for Syphilis); culture smears for seminal fluid, Gonorrhea, Chlamydia and other Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) as appropriate; Hepatitis C antibody test and Hepatitis B surface antigen and antibody blood test and an HIV test and counseling shall be offered. A review of the seven sexual abuse allegations indicated all seven were provided medical and/or mental health services. Two allegations involved penetration. One inmate was transported to the local hospital and provided information and access to testing and medication. The second inmate was documented with appropriate services by medical and mental health based on the timeframe of the penetration. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none involved an allegation with penetration and as such services under this provision were not applicable.

115.83 (g): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged a co-payment for medical treatment, including forensic medical examinations, obtained for alleged sexual abuse. The interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that four were seen by medical and/or mental health and they were not required to pay for their services. One inmate stated he did not need medical or mental health services after the reported allegation.

115.83 (h): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. The PREA Manual, page 46 states that all prisons shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. There were zero inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegations that were deemed substantiated and as such there were no confirmed inmate-on-inmate abusers who required an evaluation under this provision. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate that mental health would attempt to do an evaluation immediately following an outcome of substantiated.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, medical and mental health documents, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to require corrective action.

#### Corrective Action

The necessary documentation related to the the five inmates with prior victimization will need to be provided. If it is not available then the facility will need to provide list of these inmates during the corrective action period and a sample of their associated mental health follow-ups.

#### Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this

	<p>standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mental Health Documents</li> </ol> <p>On August 24, 2023 the facility provided the originally requested documentation. All eight inmates were documented with mental health follow-ups within fourteen days.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.86</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Investigative Reports</li> <li>4. Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews (DOC 0593)</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Warden</li> <li>2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>3. Interview with Incident Review Team</li> </ol> <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.86 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. Such reviews shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were five criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility excluding only unfounded incidents. A review investigations indicated that six required a sexual abuse incident review (seven total sexual abuse and one was unfounded). Of the six, five had a sexual abuse review completed. The one that did not have a review completed was misclassified by the facility as sexual harassment.

115.86 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation. The PAQ further stated that in the past twelve months, there were seven sexual abuse incident reviews completed within 30 days. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. Such reviews shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. A review investigations indicated that six required a sexual abuse incident review. Of the six, five had a sexual abuse review completed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The one that did not have a review completed was misclassified by the facility as sexual harassment.

115.86 (c): The PAQ indicated that the sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the review team, at minimum, shall include: Assistant Chief Administrative Officer; Shift Commander or Lieutenant; a representative from Internal Affairs; the PCM, a representative from medical and a representative from mental health. The five completed sexual abuse incident reviews included upper level management, a supervisor, an investigator and medical and/or mental health care staff. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a sexual abuse incident review team and the team is made up the Assistant Warden, investigator, medical, mental health and a security Lieutenant.

115.86 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews including, but not necessarily limited to, determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the review, including any reports of findings or any recommendation for improvement, shall be documented on

the DOC 0593, Sexual Abuse Incident Review. A review of the five completed sexual abuse incident review indicated that they included the required elements under this provision. All reviews were completed using the DOC 0593, which has section for each element as well as recommendations. Interviews with the Warden, PCM and incident review team member confirmed that these reviews are being completed and they include all the required elements under this provision. The Warden stated that they use the information from the sexual abuse incident reviews to make any necessary changes and to implement appropriate discipline and transfers. The PCM confirmed that the facility completes sexual abuse incident reviews and prepares a report of their findings. She stated she is part of the team and that she has not noticed any major trends. She further indicated that once the report is submitted she would follow up on any recommendations, such as additional video monitoring technology.

115.86 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the DOC 0593 shall be forwarded to the Chief Administrative Officer so recommendations for improvement may be considered. Any recommendation not implemented shall be documented on the DOC 0593 including justification for not doing so. A review of the five completed sexual abuse incident review indicated that a section exists for recommendations and corrective action, however none included any recommendations.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative report, sexual abuse incident reviews (DOC 0593) and information from interviews with the Warden, the PCM and a member of the sexual abuse incident review team, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.87	Data collection
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. PREA Checklist</li> <li>4. Investigative Reports</li> </ol>

5. Annual PREA Report
6. Survey of Sexual Victimization

Findings (By Provision):

115.87 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of investigative reports and the PREA Checklist confirm that information/data related to each sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegation is reported and documented. The PREA Checklist is then forwarded to the PC to assist with compiling statistical data to identify trends.

115.87 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year.



115.87 (c): The PAQ indicated that the standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. A review of the agency's most recent Survey of Sexual Victimization (formerly known as Survey of Sexual Violence) confirms that the agency collects appropriate information using a standardized instrument and reports the appropriate information via the SSV.

115.87 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall.

115.87 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency obtains incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of inmates and that data from private facilities complies with SSV reporting regarding content. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a

	<p>written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year. The data included information from the contracted private facilities.</p> <p>115.87 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency provided the Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year upon request. 04.01.301, page 13 states that upon request, the report shall be submitted to the Department of Justice.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, the PREA Checklist, the Survey of Sexual Victimization and the Annual PREA Report this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

<b>115.88</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire</li> <li>2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program</li> <li>3. Annual PREA Report</li> </ol> <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interview with the Agency Head</li> <li>2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator</li> <li>3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> </ol>

Findings (By Provision):

115.88 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, response policies, and training, including: identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year. The interview with the Agency Head indicated that the agency collects data on a quarterly basis and they do trend analysis on the data. He stated that the data assist with identifying hot spots and other variables and they determine action plans for each facility and agency as whole. He further confirmed they utilize the data to determine measures to put in place to correct any issues. The PC confirmed that the agency reviews data that is collected in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of the sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies. He stated the Agency Head approves the report and that the information is published on the agency website. He further stated that trends are analyzed and appropriate corrective action is taken based off of this information. The interview with the PCM indicated that the facility data it utilized to identify any locations that the agency may be having issues and that it is used to determine any necessary corrective action.

115.88 (b): The PAQ indicated that the annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and that the annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the

facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year.

115.88 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website and that the annual reports are approved by the Agency Head. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the annual report shall be made available on the Department's website no later than June 30th of the year subsequent to the reporting period. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that he reviews and approves the annual report. A review of the website confirmed that the current annual report as well as prior annual reports are available for review.

115.88 (d): The PAQ indicated that when the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication, the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility and that the agency indicates the nature of material redacted. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the final report shall not contain any personal identifiers. The Department may redact information on the posted report if said information would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility or the Department. A review of Annual PREA Report confirmed there was no personal identifying information included nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information. The interview with the PC indicated that confidential and sensitive individual in custody or staff information is redacted. In consultation with the Legal Department, a determination would be made regarding what information would be redacted and justification for such redaction would be provided.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the Annual PREA Report, the website and information obtained from interviews with the Agency Head, PC and PCM, this standard appears to be compliant.

**115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.89 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. The PREA Manual, page 50 states that the agency shall ensure that data collected pursuant to 115.87 are securely retained. The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that all identifying information regarding PREA allegations is treated as confidential and maintained in secure databases and secured drives. Any hard-copy documents are maintained via double-locked storage.

115.89 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts be made readily available to the public at least annually through its website. 04.01.301, page 14 states the annual report shall be made available on the Department's website no later than June 30th of the year subsequent that of the

	<p>reporting period. A review of the website confirmed that the current annual report, which includes aggregated data, as well as prior annual reports are available for review.</p> <p>115.89 (c): The PAQ indicated that before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the final report shall not contain any personal identifiers. A review of the Annual PREA Report confirmed there was no personal identifying information included nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information.</p> <p>115.89 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to Standard 115.87 for at least ten years after the date of initial collection, unless federal, state or local law requires otherwise. 04.01.301, page 13 states that all reports and statistical data shall be retained for a period of no less than ten years. A review of prior Annual PREA Reports confirmed that data is available from 2014 to current.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the Annual PREA Report, the agency website and information obtained from the interview with the PREA Coordinator, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

<b>115.401</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.401 (a): The facility is part of the Illinois Department of Corrections. All facilities were audited in the previous three-year audit cycle and audit report are found on the agency's website.</p> <p>115.401 (b): The facility is part of the Illinois Department of Corrections. The Department has a schedule for all their facilities to be audited within the three-year cycle, with one third being audited in each cycle. The facility is being audited in the third year of the three-year cycle.</p>

	<p>115.401 (h) – (m): The auditor had access to all areas of the facility; was permitted to review any relevant policies, procedure or documents and was permitted to conduct private interviews.</p> <p>115.401 (n): The auditor observed the audit announcement on purple and green letter size paper. Most audit announcements were in housing units exit doors and common areas. The audit noticed advised the inmates that correspondence with the auditor would remain confidential unless the inmate reported information such as sexual abuse, harm to self or harm to others. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from inmates at the facility.</p>
--	---

<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.403 (f): The agency has audit reports published to their website for all audits completed during the previous three, three year audit cycles.</p>

<b>Appendix: Provision Findings</b>		
<b>115.11 (a)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.11 (b)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
<b>115.11 (c)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
<b>115.12 (a)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
<b>115.12 (b)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	yes



	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
<b>115.13 (a)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
<b>115.13 (b)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
<b>115.13 (c)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
<b>115.13 (d)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

<b>115.14 (a)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.14 (b)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.14 (c)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.15 (a)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
<b>115.15 (b)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	yes

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
<b>115.15 (c)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	yes
<b>115.15 (d)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
<b>115.15 (e)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
<b>115.15 (f)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

<b>115.16 (a)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication	yes

	with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
<b>115.16 (b)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
<b>115.16 (c)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
<b>115.17 (a)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who	yes

	may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
<b>115.17 (b)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
<b>115.17 (c)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.17 (d)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

<b>115.17 (e)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
<b>115.17 (f)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
<b>115.17 (g)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
<b>115.17 (h)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
<b>115.18 (a)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
<b>115.18 (b)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	



	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
<b>115.21 (a)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (b)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (c)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
<b>115.21 (d)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
<b>115.21 (e)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
<b>115.21 (f)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (h)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
<b>115.22 (a)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	

	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.22 (b)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
<b>115.22 (c)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.31 (a)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
<b>115.31 (b)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
<b>115.31 (c)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.31 (d)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.32 (a)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	

	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
<b>115.32 (b)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
<b>115.32 (c)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.33 (a)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.33 (b)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.33 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes

	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
<b>115.33 (d)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
<b>115.33 (e)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
<b>115.33 (f)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
<b>115.34 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.34 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and	yes

	Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.34 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.35 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or	yes

	suspicious of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
<b>115.35 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
<b>115.35 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
<b>115.35 (d)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
<b>115.41 (a)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
<b>115.41 (b)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
<b>115.41 (c)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective	yes



	screening instrument?	
<b>115.41 (d)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10)	yes

	Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	
<b>115.41 (e)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.41 (f)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
<b>115.41 (g)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
<b>115.41 (h)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
<b>115.41 (i)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive	yes

	information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	
<b>115.42 (a)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
<b>115.42 (b)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
<b>115.42 (c)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes

	present management or security problems?	
<b>115.42 (d)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
<b>115.42 (e)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
<b>115.42 (f)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
<b>115.42 (g)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing	yes

	solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	
<b>115.43 (a)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
<b>115.43 (b)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
<b>115.43 (c)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	

	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
<b>115.43 (d) Protective Custody</b>		
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
<b>115.43 (e) Protective Custody</b>		
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
<b>115.51 (a) Inmate reporting</b>		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.51 (b) Inmate reporting</b>		
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain	yes

	anonymous upon request?	
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
<b>115.51 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate reporting</b>	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.51 (d)</b>	<b>Inmate reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
<b>115.52 (a)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
<b>115.52 (b)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (c)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from	yes

	this standard.)	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (d)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (e)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (f)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	



	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (g)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.53 (a)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers,	na

	including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
<b>115.53 (b)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.53 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
<b>115.54 (a)</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
<b>115.61 (a)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual	yes

	abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
<b>115.61 (b)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
<b>115.61 (c)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
<b>115.61 (d)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.61 (e)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
<b>115.62 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
<b>115.63 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
<b>115.63 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

<b>115.63 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
<b>115.63 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
<b>115.64 (a)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
<b>115.64 (b)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
<b>115.65 (a)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in	yes

	response to an incident of sexual abuse?	
<b>115.66 (a)</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers</b>	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
<b>115.67 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
<b>115.67 (b)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
<b>115.67 (c)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of	yes

	sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
<b>115.67 (d)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
<b>115.67 (e)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
<b>115.68 (a)</b>	<b>Post-allegation protective custody</b>	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
<b>115.71 (a)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations	yes

	of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.71 (b)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
<b>115.71 (c)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
<b>115.71 (d)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
<b>115.71 (e)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
<b>115.71 (f)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes

	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
<b>115.71 (g)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
<b>115.71 (h)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
<b>115.71 (i)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
<b>115.71 (j)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
<b>115.71 (l)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.72 (a)</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
<b>115.73 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes



<b>115.73 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
<b>115.73 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
<b>115.73 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually	yes

	abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
<b>115.73 (e)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
<b>115.76 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.76 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.76 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.76 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.77 (a)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.77 (b)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
<b>115.78 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
<b>115.78 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.78 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
<b>115.78 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
<b>115.78 (e)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
<b>115.78 (f)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish	yes

	evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	
<b>115.78 (g)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
<b>115.81 (a)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
<b>115.81 (b)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
<b>115.81 (c)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
<b>115.81 (d)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
<b>115.81 (e)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior	yes

	sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	
<b>115.82 (a)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
<b>115.82 (b)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.82 (c)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.82 (d)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.83 (a)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
<b>115.83 (b)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
<b>115.83 (c)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse</b>	

	<b>victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
<b>115.83 (d)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
<b>115.83 (e)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
<b>115.83 (f)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.83 (g)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.83 (h)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes

<b>115.86 (a)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
<b>115.86 (b)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
<b>115.86 (c)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.86 (d)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
<b>115.86 (e)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

<b>115.87 (a)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
<b>115.87 (b)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
<b>115.87 (c)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
<b>115.87 (d)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
<b>115.87 (e)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
<b>115.87 (f)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
<b>115.88 (a)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant	yes



	to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	
<b>115.88 (b)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.88 (c)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.88 (d)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
<b>115.89 (a)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
<b>115.89 (b)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.89 (c)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
<b>115.89 (d)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
<b>115.401 (a)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	

	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
<b>115.401 (b)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
<b>115.401 (h)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
<b>115.401 (i)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
<b>115.401 (m)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
<b>115.401 (n)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>	

<b>(f)</b>		
	<p>The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)</p>	yes