

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Decatur Correctional Center

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: 07/04/2023

Date Final Report Submitted: 12/30/2023

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Kendra Prisk	Date of Signature: 12/30/ 2023

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Prisk, Kendra
Email:	2kconsultingllc@gmail.com
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	05/22/2023
End Date of On-Site Audit:	05/23/2023

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	Decatur Correctional Center
Facility physical address:	2310 East Mound Road, Decatur, Illinois - 62526
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact	
Name:	Ryan Nottingham
Email Address:	ryan.nottingham@illinois.gov
Telephone Number:	217-558-2200

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Trina Nerio
Email Address:	Trina.Nerio@illinois.gov
Telephone Number:	217-877-0353

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Lori Cowger
Email Address:	lori.cowger@illinois.gov
Telephone Number:	217-877-0353

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	715
Current population of facility:	353
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	285
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Females
Age range of population:	20-75
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum

Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	199
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	51
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	355

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Illinois Department of Corrections
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	1301 Concordia Court, Springfield, Illinois - 62794
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Ryan Nottingham	Email Address:	ryan.nottingham@illinois.gov

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS
Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

1

- 115.12 - Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

Number of standards met:

44

Number of standards not met:

0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-05-22
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-05-23

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	JDI and Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	715
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	285
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	8
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	310
38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	60

<p>44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>126</p>
<p>47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</p>	
<p>49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>199</p>
<p>50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</p>	<p>17</p>

<p>51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</p>	<p>27</p>
<p>52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>INTERVIEWS</p>	
<p>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p>	
<p>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p>	
<p>53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None </p>
<p>55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</p>	<p>The following inmates were selected from the housing units: three from B, eight from D, one from E, one from EN, five from G, four from H, one from healthcare and three from segregated housing.</p>

<p>56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</p>	<p>24 of the inmates interviewed were female and two were transgender male. Six of the inmates interviewed were black, fifteen were white, three were Hispanic, and two were another race/ethnicity. With regard to age, one was between eighteen and 25; nine were 26-35; seven were 36-45; seven were 46-55 and two were 56 or older. 20 of the inmates interviewed were at the facility less than a year, four were there between a year and five years, and two were there six to ten years.</p>
<p>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p>	
<p>58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
<p>60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>

<p>61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The auditor reviewed medical documents and spoke with medical. Additionally, one inmate is required to be interviewed from the overall category, not one from each subsection category of disabled inmates.</p>
<p>64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>

<p>65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The auditor reviewed housing assignments for high risk inmates and inmates who reported sexual abuse.</p>
<p>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</p>	
<p>Random Staff Interviews</p>	
<p>71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) <input type="checkbox"/> None </p>
<p>If "Other," describe:</p>	<p>Gender and Race</p>
<p>73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</p>	<p> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>

<p>74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</p>	<p>Security staff mainly make up three shifts, first shift works from 7am-3pm, second shift works from 3pm-11pm and third shift works from 11pm-7am. Five staff were interviewed from first shift, five were from second shift and three were from third shift. With regard to the demographics of the random staff interviewed; seven were male and six were female. Eleven were white and two were black. Eight were Correctional Officers, two were Sergeants, two were Lieutenants and one was a Major.</p>
---	---

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

<p>75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</p>	<p>25</p>
<p>76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)</p>

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:	Mailroom
81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	2
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other

83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No text provided.
---	-------------------

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:

85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?

Yes

No

89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).

The on-site portion of the audit was conducted on May 22-23, 2023. The auditor had an initial briefing with facility leadership and discussed the audit logistics. After the initial briefing, the auditor selected inmates and staff for interview as well as documentation to review. The auditor conducted a tour of the facility on May 22, 2023. The tour included all areas associated with the facility to include; housing units, laundry, warehouse, intake, visitation, education, vocation, maintenance, food service, health services, recreation, industries, clothing, commissary, auditorium, front entrance and administration. During the tour the auditor was cognizant of staffing levels, video monitoring placement, blind spots, posted PREA information, privacy for inmates in housing units and other factors as indicated in the appropriate standard findings.

The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper in English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in English and Spanish with information on reporting to staff and the PREA hotline. The auditor did not observe any information posted related to victim advocacy services. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA information has been posted for a while.

Third party reporting information was observed in visitation and the front entrance via the PREA Poster and the End The Silence Poster. The PREA Poster was letters size in

English and Spanish and included reporting through staff, the hotline, via note and through a grievance. The End the Silence Poster was on legal size paper and was in English only. The End the Silence Poster included reporting through the hotline.

During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows the staffing plan. There was at least one security staff member in each general population housing unit. Program, work and education areas included non-security staff and either a positioned or roving security staff member. In areas where security staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. The auditor confirmed that the physical plant of the housing units provided an adequate line of sight with the staffing and required rounds. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded. While the staffing plan was adequate, it should be noted that the facility was severely understaffed and the Warden and Assistant Wardens were serving as Shift Supervisors. The auditor observed that the facility was utilizing overtime as much as possible, however they did not have enough staff to fill the staffing plan with overtime. During the tour the auditor observed numerous blind spots in the warehouse, maintenance, food service, auditorium, clothing, personal property, industries and commissary. Informal conversation with staff confirmed that the staffing during the audit was typical and housing units are not overcrowded. Staff stated they make rounds every 20 minutes and supervisors make rounds every hour or so. Informal conversation with inmates indicated staff make rounds every 20 to 30 minutes and they see the supervisor at least once a day to sign the book. During the tour the auditor confirmed there were cameras in housing units and in a few of the work, program and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras are monitored

by internal affairs and administrative level staff.

During the tour the auditor observed that general population housing units provided privacy through doors and curtains. The general population housing units had solid doors for the toilets and shower curtains at the communal shower entrance. Additionally, a few rooms had showers, which provided privacy through a solid door. The healthcare unit provided privacy for the toilet and shower through a solid door. A few of the rooms had the toilet inside, however the position of the toilet was out of sights from the windows. The segregated housing unit provided privacy through cell doors with small windows and a door with a shower curtain. The auditor viewed the strip search area in visitation and intake and confirmed privacy was provided through solid doors. Strip searches in segregated housing are done in the shower and provide privacy through the door with the curtain. The auditor viewed the video monitoring technology and confirmed there were no issues with cross gender viewing. Additionally, there were zero cross gender viewing issues identified with mirror placement. With regard to the opposite gender announcement, the auditor heard the announcement made verbally upon entry into most of the general population housing units. Announcements were not made upon entry into the health services unit and the segregated housing unit. The announcement was made verbally in an audible tone. The agency also has a paging system for the deaf and hard of hearing inmates where the announcement can be sent out to their watches. Informal conversation with staff indicated that male staff make an announcement when entering housing units and individuals in custody have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing their clothes. Informal conversation with inmates indicated that they have privacy when showering, using the restroom and

changing their clothes, but the curtain barely covers the doorway. Inmates also advised that male staff announce prior to entering the housing units.

During the tour the auditor observed that all inmate medical and mental health files were electronic. Access to medical and mental health records is through an electronic system that only medical and mental health staff have access. The records staff indicated that security staff do not have access to medical and mental health records and that they would have to request access through the Warden. Risk screening information is maintained in the electronic Offender 360 program. During the auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses on each inmate's risk assessment.

Investigative files are paper and electronic. Only Internal Affairs (IA) staff have access to the investigations in the electronic database. Paper files are also maintained in IA's locked office.

During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the locked boxes around the facility, including in the housing units. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. Inmates in the health services unit and segregated housing unit are able to provide mail to any staff member who can place it in the boxes for them. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is collected from the housing units and brought to the mail room in bags. Regular mail is spot checked to ensure it meets the guidelines and is skimmed over to ensure there is nothing in it that should not be sent out. If there is an issue the mail is provided to Internal Affairs. If the mail is okay, the mailroom staff seal the envelopes and sent it out. The mailroom staff stated that legal mail is treated differently.

Legal mail comes to them sealed and is logged. The mailroom staff stated they do not open any legal mail. For incoming mail, the mailroom staff stated that the mail is picked up from the Post Office and sorted. All regular mail is opened and scanned over/read to ensure it meets the guidelines and there is not any contraband or security issues. Legal incoming mail is treated differently in that it is sent to Internal Affairs staff unopened. Internal Affairs then delivers the mail to the inmate and the inmate opens it in front of the staff. The mailroom staff stated that mail to and from JHA is treated like legal/privileged mail. The mailroom staff was unsure how mail to the local rape crisis center would be treated. The staff stated there has not been any mail to or from the organization and she would reach out to the supervisor on how to handle the mail.

The auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Inmates are provided PREA information within seven days of arrival at the facility. All inmates are received from Logan Correctional Center, which is the female intake facility for IDOC. All inmates are provided PREA information at intake there as well as comprehensive PREA education. Orientation is completed within seven days in one of the rooms in a program building. Inmates are provided a Handbook, which is available in English and Spanish. If the room has a television inmates are shown the PREA What You Need to Know video, which is in English only. If the room does not have a television the staff will verbally go over information including, what is PREA, how to report, zero tolerance, that sexual abuse is taken seriously, not to report fake PREA allegations, who inmates can tell and where the PREA hotline number is located. The auditor observed that the television is adequate size. The video was observed only in English and did not have subtitles. Further, the staff were unsure exactly how to provide accommodations for LEP inmates during

orientation. Staff stated they had never had an LEP inmate go through orientation and that they would probably utilize staff or the number for translation. The staff stated for disabled inmates they would complete the orientation one-on-one, get an ASL interpreter or utilize pen and paper for communication.

The auditor was provided a demonstration of the initial risk assessment. The initial risk screening is completed in a confidential setting one-on-one in an office. The staff complete the risk screening electronically in Offender 360. Staff ask every question on the DOC 0494. The staff indicated they do not have all the information available at intake so they complete the form based on what the individual in custody says. Additionally, the auditor was provided a demonstration of the reassessment process. Staff complete the reassessment in person on the housing units in a counselor's office. Staff complete the DOC 0494 via paper and then enter it into Offender 360. Staff go through all the questions on the DOC 0494 and if prior victimization is reported the staff refer the individual to mental health. The staff indicated that they complete the risk assessment based on what the individual in custody says, regardless of what information in the file indicates.

The auditor tested the internal reporting mechanisms during the tour. The auditor called the internal PREA hotline on May 22, 2023 and left a message to test functionality. Inmates are advised to select English or Spanish upon contact with the hotline. Initial phone instructions are provided in English and Spanish, however the hotline instructions/directions are only available in English. The auditor received confirmation from the PC on May 22, 2023 that the call was received and forwarded to him. Inmates have access to the phones most of the day. The internal PREA hotline is accessible on all phones but does require an individual in custody number. The

auditor also tested the internal written reporting process. The auditor had an individual in custody assist with submitting a grievance on May 22, 2023. The individual requested a grievance from staff and assisted with filling out the appropriate sections of the grievance. The grievance was submitted via the locked box on the housing unit. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received confirmation that the grievance was received.

The auditor also tested the outside reporting mechanism via a letter to the John Howard Association at a prior IDOC audit. The auditor obtained an envelope and sent a letter to the John Howard Association on January 10, 2023. The auditor obtained assistance from an inmate to utilize his name and number on the return address. The letter was placed in the outgoing US mail box by the inmate. While a return name and number is required, the mail staff do not open this mail and as such inmates are able to remain anonymous within the letter. The John Howard Association is utilized for numerous services and they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor received confirmation on January 20, 2023 that the letter was received by the John Howard Association. A copy of the letter that was mailed was forwarded back to the auditor as well as the confirmation from John Howard Association staff that the inmate can remain anonymous.

Additionally during the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they submit a written report. Staff indicated if they received a verbal report they would complete a written report via a 434 (Incident Report). The staff stated that 434s are in each housing unit and the document is filled out by hand. The staff stated the report is given to the supervisor once complete. The staff further confirmed that if the supervisor was part of the incident or they wanted it to remain private they could bypass that individual and give the incident

report to the next level supervisor.

The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism on January 22, 2023. The auditor called the PREA hotline as outlined on the agency website. The hotline is the same hotline utilized for the inmate population. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on January 23, 2023 that the message was received and forwarded to him to handle. He indicated he would provide the information to the facility for investigation if it was a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The auditor was unable to test the access to victim advocacy services during the on-site portion of the audit. Individuals in custody can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phones, which are monitored and/or recorded. Individuals in custody are also able to set up a legal call or visit through the counselor. The individual in custody would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal call area. While this is a more confidential method for speaking to the victim advocate than in the housing unit with numerous other individuals in custody surrounding them, the process is not known by staff or individuals in custody. Additionally, individuals in custody can correspond with the local rape crisis center through mail.

During inmate interviews the auditor utilized Propio for language translation for the LEP inmate interview. The auditor was provided a telephone number and access code to obtain services. The office that was utilized for the interview did not have a speaker phone and as such the PC provided his cell phone for use. Additionally during a prior IDOC audit the auditor utilized Propio for American Sign Language translation. The auditor was provided a website and access code and had

American Sign Language provided over the computer.

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?

Yes

No

91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

During the audit the auditor requested personnel and training files of staff, inmate files, medical and mental health records, grievances, incident reports and investigative files for review. A more detailed description of the documentation review is as follows:

Personnel and Training Files. The auditor reviewed a 36 personnel and/or training files that included five staff hired within the past twelve months, three contractors hired within the previous twelve months and three staff promoted within the previous twelve months. The sample included eight contractors, five volunteers and seven medical and mental health care staff.

Inmate Files. A total of 34 inmate files were reviewed. 27 inmate files were of those that arrived within the previous twelve months, one was an LEP inmate, three were disabled inmates, two were transgender or intersex inmates and fourteen were identified with prior sexual victimization and/or a history of prior abusiveness.

Medical and Mental Health Records. The auditor reviewed medical and mental health documentation for nine victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as well as mental health documents for fourteen inmates who disclosed victimization during the risk screening and/or were identified with prior sexual abusiveness.

Grievances. The auditor reviewed the identified sexual abuse grievances as well as the grievance log and a sample of additional grievances.

Incident Reports. The auditor reviewed incident reports associated with the sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations as well as the incident report log and additional incident reports.

Investigation Files. There were nine

allegations reported during the previous twelve months. The auditor reviewed all nine investigations. All nine were administrative investigations and none were referred for prosecution.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	3	0	3	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	3	0	3	0

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	4	0	4	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	2	0	2	0
Total	6	0	6	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	2	1
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	2	1

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	4	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	2	0
Total	0	0	6	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:

3

<p>99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p>105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</p>	
<p>106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</p>	
<p>108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	The auditor reviewed all investigations from the previous twelve months.
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	
115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Non-certified Support Staff

116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

Yes

No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

The audited facility or its parent agency

My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)

A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)

Other

Standards	
Auditor Overall Determination Definitions	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) • Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) • Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions) 	
Auditor Discussion Instructions	
<p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>	

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive (AD) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Decatur Correctional Center Institutional Directive (ID) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention 4. Administrative Directive 01.02.103 Duty Administrative Officer, Back-up Duty Administrative Officer and Required Inspection Tours 5. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders 6. Administrative Directive 05.01.113 Searches of Offenders

7. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation
8. Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations
9. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process
10. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents
11. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence
12. Administrative Directive 01.12.101 Employee Criminal Misconduct
13. Administrative Directive 01.12.125 Uniform Investigative Reporting System
14. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignment
15. Administrative Directives 01.01.101 Administrative Directives
16. Administrative Directive 01.02.101 Staff Meeting
17. Administrative Directive 04.01.122 Volunteer Services
18. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training
19. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing
20. Administrative Directive 04.01.114 Local Offender Grievance Procedures
21. Administrative Directive 03.01.120 Employee Review Hearing
22. Standard Operating Procedural (SOP) Manual for Mental Health
23. Illinois Administrative Code 20.504
24. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
25. Agency Organizational Chart
26. Facility Organizational Chart

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.11 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. The PAQ also stated that the facility has a policy outlining how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that the policy includes definitions on prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The PAQ further stated that the policy includes a description of agency strategies and response to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The agency policy, AD 04.01.301 outlines the agency's strategies on preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and include definitions of prohibited behavior. Page 1 states that the agency has a zero tolerance policy. In addition ID 04.01.301, outlines the facility specific procedures on preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Page 2 (both policies) provide the definitions of prohibited behaviors and page 12 outlines sanctions for those who have participated in prohibited behaviors. In addition to AD and ID 04.01.301, the agency has numerous other policies that address portions of sexual abuse prevention, detection and response strategies. The policies include: 01.02.103, 04.03.104, 05.01.113, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, 01.12.101, 01.12.115, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, 05.15.100, 04.01.114, 03.01.120, SOP Manual for Mental Health and Illinois Administrative Code 20.504. The policies address "preventing" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designation of a PC and PCM, training (staff, volunteers and contractors), staffing, intake/risk screening, inmate education and posting of signage (PREA posters, etc.). The policies address "detecting" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through training (staff, volunteers, and contractors) and intake/risk screening. The policies address "responding" to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through reporting, victim services, medical and mental health services, employee and inmate discipline, incident reviews and data collection. The policies are consistent with the PREA standards and outline the agency's approach to sexual safety. Additionally, the agency has the PREA Manual which addresses each provision of each standard and has corresponding direction, if applicable, related to the provision/standard. The PREA Manual is utilized by agency staff as a road map for PREA compliance.

115.11 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. AD 04.01.301, page 3 states that the Director shall designate an Agency PREA Coordinator who shall develop, implement and oversee the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program. The agency's organizational chart reflects that the PC position is an upper-level, agency-wide position. The position is the Senior Public Service Administrator who reports to the Chief Compliance Officer who reports to the Director. The interview with the PC indicated that the work gets done, but often requires that he work long hours. He stated the Department is currently in the process of restructuring the PREA Unit to

incorporate additional staff. Eventually, the IDOC PREA Compliance Unit will consist of one Senior Public Service Administrator (Agency PREA Coordinator), two Administrative Assistant II positions, and three Internal Security Investigator II positions. The PC stated there are a total of 31 PREA Compliance Managers and 31 Backup PREA Compliance Managers. Collaboration with the individuals occurs using in-person and WebEx meetings, SharePoint and an email distribution list in Outlook. Additionally, he stated that site visits are made to all facilities, and he is always available via email/phone. The interview with the PC indicated that if he identifies an issue complying with a PREA standard he would contact the specific Department Head and notify them of a concern and develop corrective action collectively. He indicated If the issue requires a policy change, the Department's Policy and Directive Unit as well as the Legal Department are utilized. Additionally, he stated that he can also utilize the National PREA Resource Center and networking with other states if necessary. It should be noted that the agency PC is very knowledgeable of policy, procedure and the PREA standards. He is directly involved in compliance across all facilities and provides annual training to agency staff, including PREA Compliance Managers. He also conducts trainings for investigators. The PC is very involved in all compliance and consistently works at adapting policy and procedure with the updates provided by the PREA Resource Center. The time, authority and knowledge of the PC exceeds the requirement of this standard.

115.11 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility has designated a PREA Compliance Manager that has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA standards. The PAQ stated the position of PCM at the facility is the Casework Supervisor and the position reports to the Warden. AD 04.01.301, page 4 states that the Chief Administrative Officer of each correctional facility shall designate a facility PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA standards and who is trained in sexual abuse crisis issues and has the knowledge, skills and abilities for program implementation and evaluation. The facility's organizational chart indicates that the PCM (Casework Supervisor) reports directly to the Warden. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated she has enough time to manage all of her PREA related responsibilities She stated she assists with PREA compliance through quarterly and yearly reports as well as ensuring all steps are followed once a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is reported. She further stated she makes sure the sexual abuse incident reviews are completed and that victims receive the investigative outcome notification. Additionally, she stated she also makes sure monitoring for retaliation is completed. The PCM stated if she identifies an issue complying with a PREA standard she would communicate with the person or area that had the issue and discuss policy and procedures and the correct process. She indicated she then follows up to make sure it is being completed appropriately. The PCM also stated she would discuss the issue with the Warden and train anyone that needs retrained.

Based on a review of the PAQ, AD 04.01.301, ID 04.01.301, 01.02.103, 04.03.104, 05.01.113, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, 01.12.101,

	01.12.115, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, 05.15.100, 04.01.114, 03.01.120, SOP Manual for Mental Health, Illinois Administrative Code 20.504, the PREA Manual, the agency organizational chart, the facility organizational chart and information from interviews with the PC and PCM, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Contracts for Confinement of Inmates <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency’s Contract Administrator <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.12 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has entered into or renewed two contracts for the confinement of inmates since the last PREA audit and both contracts require the contractor to adopt and comply with PREA standards. A review of the two contracts confirmed that language is included in each contract that states that the “vendor shall comply with all applicable fiscal, operational and program policies of the IDOC contained in Administrative Directives, Administrative Rules and applicable memoranda. IDOC shall provide at least one complete set to the Center”. It also states that the “vendor shall grant open access, at all times, to the IDOC for inspection, audits, routine IDOC business and any other purposes relating to this program as determined by the IDOC”.</p> <p>115.12 (b): The PAQ indicated that the two contracts do not require the agency to monitor the contractor’s compliance with PREA standards. Further communication with the PC indicated this was an error and that both contract require the agency to monitor the contractor’s compliance with PREA standards. The interview with the Agency Contract Administrator indicated that individual correctional facilities do not contract for confinement services on their own. The IDOC does contract with Safer</p>

	<p>Foundations for the confinement of offenders in a Community Confinement setting. The two facilities are stand-alone facilities and both facilities undergo their own PREA audit every three years just like the facilities operated by the State. The Agency Contract Administrator confirmed that both contracts require full compliance with the PREA standards and both PREA audit reports are available on the IDOC website. A review of the agency website confirmed that both contracted facilities had a PREA audit completed during each of the previous three audit cycles. All reports are available for review on the website.</p> <p>Based on the review of the PAQ, the language within the contracts and information from the interview with the Agency Contract Administrator, the agency appears to exceed this standard. The agency has two contracts, both which have language that require the contractor (Safer Foundations) to comply with PREA standards. In addition to the language, the agency goes above and beyond by coordinating and paying for the PREA audits for the contracted agency. The agency does this to ensure that the contractor complies with the PREA standards and is fully compliant. The PC schedules these audits and includes them in his PREA audit three year cycle.</p>
--	--

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 01.02.103 Duty Administrative Officer, Back-up Duty Administrative Officer and Required Inspection Tours 4. Staffing Plan 5. Staffing Plan Review 6. Deviations from the Staffing Plan (Daily Rosters) 7. Documentation of Unannounced Rounds <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Warden

2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interviews with Intermediate-Level or Higher-Level Facility Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Staffing Levels
2. Video Monitoring Technology or Other Monitoring Materials

Findings (By Provision):

115.13 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against abuse. AD 04.01.301 pages 4-5 address the agency's staffing plan development. Specifically, it states that the Chief Administrative Officer of each correctional facility shall ensure the facility develops, documents and makes its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse and sexual harassment. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities shall take into consideration: generally accepted correctional practices, any judicial findings of inadequacy, any finding of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies, any finding of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies, all components of the facility's physical plant including blind-spots or areas where staff or offenders may be isolated, the composition of the offender population, the number and placement of supervisory staff, institutional programs occurring on a particular shift, any applicable State or local laws, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of abuse and any other relevant factors. The PAQ indicated that the staffing plan is based on 715 inmates and the average daily population over the previous twelve months has been 285. The facility employs 199 staff. Security staff mainly make up three shifts; 7:00am-3:00pm, 3:00pm-11:00pm and 11:00pm-7:00am. Each shift has a Shift Commander as well as Correctional Officers. Supervisors and Officers are assigned to housing units as well as other program and common areas. Additionally, medical and mental health care staff and administrative staff have their own varied scheduled work hours. A review of the staffing plan narrative confirms that the elements under this provision are included in the staffing plan development and review process. During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows the staffing plan. There was at least one security staff member in each general population housing unit. Program, work and education areas included non-security staff and either a positioned or roving security staff member. In areas where

security staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. The auditor confirmed that the physical plant of the housing units provided an adequate line of sight with the staffing and required rounds. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded. While the staffing plan was adequate, it should be noted that the facility was severely understaffed and the Warden and Assistant Wardens were serving as Shift Supervisors. The auditor observed that the facility was utilizing overtime as much as possible, however they did not have enough staff to fill the staffing plan with overtime. During the tour the auditor observed numerous blind spots in the warehouse, maintenance, food service, auditorium, clothing, personal property, industries and commissary. Because of staffing levels and limited video monitoring technology the auditor determined mirrors and/or cameras were needed. Informal conversation with staff confirmed that the staffing during the audit was typical and housing units are not overcrowded. Staff stated they make rounds every 20 minutes and supervisors make rounds every hour or so. Informal conversation with inmates indicated staff make rounds every 20 to 30 minutes and they see the supervisor at least once a day to sign the book. During the tour the auditor confirmed there were cameras in housing units and in a few of the work, program and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras are monitored by internal affairs and administrative level staff. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a staffing plan that includes adequate levels to protect inmates from sexual abuse. She stated their current staffing plan allows for a security staff member in each housing unit as well as emergency response teams. She stated the staffing also allows for Internal Affairs and Supervisors on each shift. The Warden confirmed the staffing plan incorporates video monitoring technology and she further confirmed that the staffing plan is documented. She indicated that the staffing plan takes into consideration the elements under this provision. The Warden stated that there are enough staff to respond to emergencies and to take care of the individual in custody needs. Staffing levels are based on capacity, physical plant and programming. She reiterated that each housing unit has a staff member and there are additional staff when programming and other areas are in use. She further stated there are more staff on day shift when movement is occurring. The interview with the Warden indicated that both she and the Human Resource staff member keep an eye on staffing levels and when they need to post job announcements. She stated they also check for compliance with the staffing plan through the daily rosters. She indicated they start working the daily roster two days in advance and they hire overtime as needed to fill the roster. The interview with the PCM indicated that the staffing plan is more a security function and not really her area. She stated in her area they make sure offenders and staff are not one-on-one. The PCM confirmed the staffing at the facility is based on minimum custody and that all housing units are staffed the same as the physical plant is identical. She stated each shift has a supervisor and that there are additional staff on the day shift to assist with programs.

115.13 (b): The PAQ indicated that each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan. The PAQ did

not notate the common reasons for deviating from the staffing plan. AD 04.01.301, page 5 states that if circumstances arise where the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility shall document and justify all deviation from the plan on the Daily Roster review, DOC 0531, in accordance with 05.01.101. The interview with the Warden confirmed that any deviations from the staffing plan would be documented on the daily roster. The auditor requested documentation related to deviation from the staffing plan, however the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the documentation.

115.13 (c): The PAQ indicated that at least once a year the facility in collaboration with the PC, reviews the staffing plan to see where adjustments are needed. AD 04.01.301, page 5 states that whenever necessary, but no less frequent than once per year, the facility, in consultation with the Agency PREA Coordinator, shall assess, determine and document whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established herein, the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies, and the resources the facility has available to ensure adherence to staffing plan. The staffing plan was most recently reviewed on January 30, 2023. The plan was reviewed to assess, determine and document whether any adjustments were needed to the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitoring technologies and/or the resources available to commit to ensuring adherence to the staffing plan. The staffing plan review included documentation on the facility staffing allocations, cameras and all the required components under provision (a) of this standard, including physical plant, finding of inadequacy, composition of inmate population, programs occurring on each shift, incidents of sexual abuse and other relevant factors. The auditor requested the prior annual staffing review, however at the issuance of the interim report he auditor had not yet received the documentation. The PC confirmed that he is consulted regarding each facility's staffing plan. He stated staffing assessments are reviewed consistently by Operations (Roster Review Team, Security Review Team, etc.) and updates to the Staffing Plan are signed off on by the facility Warden and PREA Coordinator on an annual basis.

115.13 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ further states that the facility documents the unannounced rounds and the rounds cover all shifts. Additionally, the PAQ stated that the facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds. 01.02.103, page 3 states that the Back-up Duty Administrative Officer (BUDAO) or Duty Administrative Officer (DAO) shall at least every two days, excluding weekends and holidays, inspect activity areas of the facility, housing units, including restrictive housing, kitchens and dining rooms, health care units, recreation areas and educational, vocational, maintenance and industry buildings. Page 4 states that the BUDAO shall conduct unscheduled inspections of random areas within the facility for all major holidays, during back shift, each weekend and at satellite facilities. The policy states that the unscheduled inspection of random areas within the facility on

the DOC 0481. The policy further states that staff shall be prohibited from alerting other staff member that supervisory rounds are occurring unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational function of the facility. Interviews with intermediate-level or higher-level staff confirmed that they make unannounced rounds and that they document the unannounced rounds. Both staff stated the unannounced rounds are documented in the log books. Both staff stated they try to deter staff from notifying one another about the unannounced rounds through bouncing around from side to side, not following a pattern and not going at any set times. The auditor requested documentation from five specific days over the previous twelve months to determine if unannounced rounds were being made in all housing units across all shifts. The auditor confirmed that Shift and/or Zone Supervisors make unannounced rounds in each housing unit on all three shifts.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.02.103, the facility staffing plan, the staffing plan review, documentation of unannounced rounds, deviations from the staffing plan, observations made during the tour and interviews with the PC, PCM, Warden and intermediate-level or higher-level staff, this standard appears to require corrective action. During the tour the auditor observed numerous blind spots in the warehouse, maintenance, food service, auditorium, clothing, personal property, industries and commissary. Because of staffing levels and limited video monitoring technology the auditor determined mirrors and/or cameras were needed. The auditor requested documentation related to deviation from the staffing plan, however the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the documentation. The auditor requested the prior annual staffing review, however at the issuance of the interim report he auditor had not yet received the documentation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to make modification and alleviate blind spots. Photos of the modifications will need to be provided. The facility will also need to provide the requested documentation related to deviations from the staffing plan and the prior annual staffing plan review.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

	<p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Photos of Modifications 2. Deviations From the Staffing Plan 3. Annual Staffing Plan Review <p>The facility provided photos of mirrors that were placed in each of the areas identified with a blind spot. The photos confirmed that the mirrors alleviated the blind spots.</p> <p>The facility provided examples of deviations from the staffing plan. The daily rosters confirmed that deviations are documented through the number of staff in each category (i.e. call ins, training, military, etc.) as well the posts that are closed due to the deviations. The documentation further notes the number of staff working overtime as well as staff that were not available for numerous reasons.</p> <p>The facility provided the originally requested prior annual staffing plan review which was completed in August 2021.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Public Act 99-628 3. Memorandum from Legal Counsel <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.14 (a): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Decatur Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

115.14 (b): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Decatur Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

115.14 (c): The PAQ and the memo from Legal Counsel indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at Decatur Correctional Center and as such this standard is not applicable. Public Act 99-628 (effective January 1, 2017) states that all offenders under eighteen years of age when sentenced to imprisonment shall be committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice and the court in its order of commitment shall set a definite term. As of January 1, 2017, newly sentenced seventeen year old offenders are to be admitted into the penitentiary system at an Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice facility and later subject to permanent transfer to IDOC pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-10-7€ after attaining the age of eighteen.

Based on a review of the PAQ, the memo from Legal Counsel and Public Act 99-628, this standard appears to be not applicable and as such, compliant.

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders
4. Administrative Directive 05.01.113 Searches of Offenders
5. Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care for Transgender People in Correctional Settings Curriculum
6. Personal Searches Curriculum
7. Personal Search Card
8. Staff Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interviews with Transgender and/or Intersex Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Privacy Barriers
2. Observation of Cross Gender Announcement

Findings (By Provision):

115.15 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not conduct cross gender strip or cross gender visual body cavity searches of inmates. The PAQ stated zero searches of this kind were conducted at the facility over the past twelve months. 05.01.113, page 2 states that cross-gender strip searches shall be prohibited. A review of the Personal Searches Curriculum confirmed that page 4 discusses the prohibition under 05.01.113.

115.15 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not permit cross-gender pat-down

searches of female inmates, absent exigent circumstances and the facility does not restrict female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision. The PAQ stated there have been zero pat-down searches of female inmate by male staff. The Personal Searches Curriculum, page 4 indicates that staff are trained that only female correctional employees, who are properly trained, are authorized to conduct pat down or clothed body searches of female offenders. Interviews with 24 female individuals in custody indicated 22 were never restricted from going somewhere in order to comply with this provision. Four individuals stated that they are restricted from yard and gym sometimes because they are short staffed and don't have female staff. Eleven of the thirteen staff indicated they were unaware of a time where a female individual in custody was restricted from going somewhere because they did not have a female to search. Two staff stated they were aware of times when they were short staffed and could not send an individual in custody to their job assignment. While individuals in custody and staff stated that there are times where individuals in custody are restricted from certain jobs and/or recreation, this is due to the staffing levels at the facility, not the inability of having females for searches.

115.15 (c): The PAQ indicated that facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches and cross gender visual body cavity searches be documented. The PAQ also stated policy requires that all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates be documented. 01.12.105, page 3 states that notification of serious and significant unusual incidents shall be in accordance with the provisions of this directive. Page 3 states that following initial notification of the respective Deputy Director or Chief, the Chief Administrative Officer shall ensure electronic notification of the incident is provided and the notification includes the date and time, offenders involved, staff involved and narrative of the incident. The Personal Search Manual, page 4 states that in exigent or emergency circumstances, a male correctional employee, who is properly trained, may conduct a search if a properly trained, female correctional employee is not available. An exigent or emergency situation is one in which a reasonable suspicion exists that a weapon, or another item of serious contraband, is present and it presents an immediate danger to the offender(s), facility security, or the public which cannot be safety averted either by securing, escorting or isolating the offender.

115.15 (d): The PAQ stated that the facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Additionally, the PAQ stated that policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. 04.01.301, page 7 indicates that offenders shall be able to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except when such viewing is incidental to

routine cell checks. Page 7 further notates that staff of the opposite gender, whether assigned to the unit or not, shall make the following verbal announcement upon their arrival in a housing unit "Male/Female in the housing unit". During the tour the auditor observed that general population housing units provided privacy through doors and curtains. The general population housing units had solid doors for the toilets and shower curtains at the communal shower entrance. Additionally, a few rooms had showers, which provided privacy through a solid door. The healthcare unit provided privacy for the toilet and shower through a solid door. A few of the rooms had the toilet inside, however the position of the toilet was out of sights from the windows. The segregated housing unit provided privacy through cell doors with small windows and a door with a shower curtain. The auditor viewed the strip search area in visitation and intake an confirmed privacy was provided through solid doors. Strip searches in segregated housing are done in the shower and provide privacy through the door with the curtain. The auditor viewed the video monitoring technology and confirmed there were no issues with cross gender viewing. Additionally, there were zero cross gender viewing issues identified with mirror placement. With regard to the opposite gender announcement, the auditor heard the announcement made verbally upon entry into most of the general population housing units. Announcements were not made upon entry into the health services unit and the segregated housing unit. The announcement was made verbally in an audible tone. The agency also has a paging system for the deaf and hard of hearing inmates where the announcement can be sent out to their watches. Informal conversation with staff indicated that male staff make an announcement when entering housing units and individuals in custody have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing their clothes. Informal conversation with inmates indicated that they have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing their clothes, but the curtain barely covers the doorway. Inmates also advised that male staff announce prior to entering the housing units. All thirteen random staff interviewed stated that individuals in custody have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing clothes through curtains. 25 of the 26 individuals in custody indicated they had never been naked in front of a staff member of the opposite gender and have privacy when using the restroom, showering and changing their clothes. 21 of the 26 individuals in custody stated that staff of the opposite gender announce when they enter inmate housing units. All thirteen staff stated that opposite gender staff announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit.

115.15 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status and no searches of this nature occurred in the past twelve months. 05.01.113, page 2 states that staff shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. If the offender's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversation with the offender, reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. Interviews with

thirteens random staff indicated that two were aware of an agency policy that prohibits strip searching a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmates' genital status. Interviews with two transgender individuals in custody indicated one believed he was searched for the sole purpose of determining his genital status. He stated he was searched upon arrival at the facility and felt this was the purpose. The auditor was unable to confirm this as all individuals are stripped searched upon arrival to the facility.

115.15 (f): 05.01.113, page 2 states that the Office of Staff Development and Training shall ensure security staff are trained in conducting searches of offenders in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Page 11 further states that offenders designated as transgender non-conforming shall be designated as such in Offender 360 and provided an offender identification card specifying the gender of staff that will perform strip searches of that offender as determined by the Transgender Administrative Committee in consultation with the offender. If a strip search is to be performed, the transgender or gender non-conforming offender shall be searched by the gender of the staff designated on their offender identification card. 04.03.104, pages 8-9 also outline the same information described in 05.01.113. The Personal Search Curriculum pages 3-4 outline the basic guidelines for conducting searches including being systematic, thorough, objective and consistent. Page 5 states that when conducting searches of a transgender or intersex offender, the searches should be conducted in a professional and respectful manner, consistent with the type of search being conducted, and security needs. Searches should be complete in accordance with applicable Administrative Directives or Institutional Directives based on the gender of the facility, unless otherwise directed by the CAO. The training further states that if an offender has been confirmed and identified in Offender 360 or on their identification badge to be transgender or gender non-conforming, the offender may express preferences to be searched by a male or female staff of their gender identify rather than the gender staff above, that request will be considered and if possible, honored, if staff are available to do so. Staff are also provided training titled Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care for Transgender People in Correctional Settings. A review of the training confirmed that staff are provided information on definitions and terminology, appropriate language, bias, gender informed professional skills including appropriate language and misgendering, statistics and policy and procedure related to transgender care. Staff are also provided a personal search card that outlines the steps for offender pat-searches and offender strip searches. The PAQ indicated that 100% of staff have received this training. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated eight had received training on how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches and searches of a transgender and intersex inmates. A review of thirteen staff training records indicated ten had received the Personal Search training during cycle training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.03.104, 05.01.113, Rehabilitation, Safety

Management and Care for Transgender People in Correctional Settings Curriculum, Personal Searches Curriculum, Personal Search Card, Staff Training Records, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with random staff and random inmates indicates this standard appears to corrective action. With regard to the opposite gender announcement, the auditor heard the announcement made verbally upon entry into most of the general population housing units. Announcements were not made upon entry into the health services unit and the segregated housing unit. Interviews with thirteens random staff indicated that two were aware of an agency policy that prohibits strip searching a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmates' genital status. A review of thirteen staff training records indicated ten had received the Personal Search training during cycle training. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated eight had received training on how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches and searches of a transgender and intersex inmates.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to train staff on the opposite gender announcement procedure as well as the prohibition of searching transgender individuals for the sole purpose of determining genital status. A copy of the training will need to be provided to the auditor. Additionally, the facility will need to provide the requested staff training documents related to cross gender searches and searches of transgender individuals.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Staff Training

The facility provided a training memorandum related to the opposite gender announcement that was read for five consecutive days during roll call. The form was initialed by supervisors confirming they went over the information during roll call.

	<p>The facility provided a training memorandum related to the policy prohibiting searching a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining their genital status that was read for five consecutive days during roll call.</p> <p>The facility provided the originally requested cross gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmate staff training records. All staff were documented with the training during annual cycle training.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation 4. Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations 5. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process 6. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 7. Video Remote Interpreting Information 8. Language Interpretation Procedure - Propio Language Services, LLC. 9. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook) 10. PREA Posters <p>Interviews:</p>

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interviews with Inmates with Disabilities
3. Interviews with LEP Inmates
4. Interviews with Random Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of PREA Posters

Findings (By Provision):

115.16 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has established procedures to provide disabled inmates equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.111, pages 3-4 indicate that the CAO shall ensure offenders are provide with information regarding ADA disability accommodations and shall establish procedures for offender access to teletypewriter (TTY) and Video Remote Interpreting (VRS) equipment. The policy also indicates that the CAO shall find alternative notification methods for auditory announcements (tactile paging system). 05.07.101, page 2 states that all videos used during orientation shall include closed captioning subtitles and closed captioning utilizing American Sign Language which has been reviewed for accuracy of the interpretation by the Illinois Deaf and Hard of Hearing Commissioner or a qualified interpreter. The policy further states that the department shall reserve the first row of seats during orientation for offenders who are disabled. A review of PREA Posters, Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information can be provided in large font and bright colors and can be read to inmates in terminology that they understand. Additionally, pages 46-47 of the Handbook provide information on Americans with Disabilities (ADA) including requesting accommodations, telecommunication equipment and sign language information. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper on English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed by on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in English and Spanish with information on reporting to staff and the PREA hotline. The interview with the Agency Head

confirmed that the agency has an Administrative Directive, 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations and Propio Language Service Contact that establishes procedures to provide inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English Proficient equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Agency Head stated that orientation/educational materials are available in Spanish and that orientation is also available via video with the use of American Sign Language and Spanish translation. The interview further indicated that offenders have the ability to participate in interactive dialogue with staff if further clarification is warranted. Interviews with three disabled inmates and one LEP inmate indicated the three disabled inmates were provided information in a format that they could understand. Two of the three stated they only know of the Posters but that they could read and understand those. The one LEP individual in custody stated she was not provided information in a format she could understand. During a prior IDOC audit the auditor utilized Propio for American Sign Language translation. The auditor was provided a website and access code and had American Sign Language provided over the computer.

115.16 (b): The PAQ indicates that the agency has established procedures to provide inmates with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.105, page 2 states that for a non-English speaking offender, reasonable efforts shall be made for the orientation to be explained to him or her in a language her or she understands. It further states that offenders shall receive written orientation material and/or translation in their own language and when a literacy problem exists, a staff member shall assist the offender in understanding the materials. The facility also has a contract with Propio Language Services, LLC. This company provides the facility a phone number that they can call that connects the staff member with a translator who can will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The company has interpretation services for over 600 languages. A review of PREA Posters, Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information is available in both English and Spanish and can be translated into other languages, as needed. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper on English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed by on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in English and Spanish with information on reporting to staff and the PREA hotline. During inmate interviews the auditor utilized Propio for language translation for the LEP inmate interview. The

auditor was provided a telephone number and access code to obtain services. The office that was utilized for the interview did not have a speaker phone and as such the PC provided his cell phone for use. Interviews with three disabled inmates and one LEP inmate indicated the three disabled inmates were provided information in a format that they could understand. Two of the three stated they only know of the Posters but that they could read and understand those. The one LEP individual in custody stated she was not provided information in a format she could understand.

115.16 (c): The PAQ indicated that agency policy prohibits use of inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other type of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation of the inmate's allegation. The PAQ further stated the agency/facility documents the limited circumstances and that there were zero instances where an inmate was utilized to interpret, read or provide other types of assistance. 04.01.301, page 9 states staff shall not rely on individuals in custody to act as interpreters when reporting or investigating allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for other individuals in custody who do not speak English, or who may speak very limited English; except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the safety of the individual. Use of such interpreters shall be documented. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated six were aware of a policy that prohibits utilizing inmate interpreters, readers or other types of inmate assistants for sexual abuse allegations. Interviews with three disabled inmates and one LEP inmate indicated the three disabled inmates were provided information in a format that they could understand. Two of the three stated they only know of the Posters but that they could read and understand those. The one LEP individual in custody stated she was not provided information in a format she could understand. None of the individuals in custody stated another individual in custody was utilized to translate, interpret, read or provide assistance.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, the PREA Manual, VRS/TTY information, Propio Language Services LLC information, PREA Posters, the Handbook, observations made during the tour to include the PREA Posters as well as interviews with the Agency Head, random staff, inmates with disabilities and LEP inmates indicates that this standard requires corrective action. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated six were aware of a policy that prohibits utilizing inmate interpreters, readers or other types of inmate assistants for sexual abuse allegations. It should be noted that the LEP inmate advised she was not provided information in a format she could understand. The facility has available resources, they just may not have been utilized. This is addressed under PREA Standard 115.33 in this report.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide training to staff related to the policy under provision (c). A copy of the training will need to be provided to the auditor.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Staff Training

The facility provided a training memorandum related to the policy prohibiting the use of inmate interpreters, readers or assistants and the available resources to utilize for LEP and disabled inmates, that was read for five consecutive days during roll call.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire2. Administrative Directive 01.02.107 Background Investigations3. Administrative Directive 03.02.100 Administrative Review of Personnel or Service Issues4. Administrative Directive 03.02.108 Standards of Conduct5. PREA Preemployment Self Report DOC 0450

6. PREA Questionnaire for Institutional Employers DOC 0589
7. Arrest Tracking Process Memorandum
8. Personnel Files of Staff
9. Contractor Background Files

Interviews:

1. Interview with Human Resource Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.17 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may come in contact with inmates, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates if they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution; been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion. 03.02.100, page 3 states that the Department shall not hire, promote or enlist the services of any employee, contractual or otherwise, who may have contact with offenders and: has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility or other institution as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997; has been convicted of engaging in or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats or force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above. A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that all five had a criminal background records check completed. All five also completed the DOC 0450 Prison Rape Elimination Act Pre-Employment Self-Report, which contains the PREA questions outlined under this standard. The auditor requested documentation for three contractors hired in the previous twelve months, however at the issuance of the interim report the appropriate documentation had not yet been received.

115.17 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote any staff or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with an inmate. 03.02.100, page 3 states that the Department shall consider any incident of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or enlist the services of any contractual employee, who may have contact with offenders. The interview with Human Resource

staff indicated that the Background Investigation Unit (BIU) reports any incidents that are uncovered while conducting the background check relating to sexual harassment and include these incidents in an Administrative Review (AR) that is forwarded on to the IDOC Executive Staff for their review. This also include contractual employees.

115.17 (c): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with inmates, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks, and (b) consistent with federal, state, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. 01.02.107, pages 2-3 state that background investigations shall be completed on person prior to employment or prior to placement in safety sensitive position and on person who provide services for the Department. There shall be two levels of background investigations: a computer criminal history check which include a check of an individual's criminal history through the Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS) and a complete background investigation which includes a check of LEADS and nine other database queries. Policy indicates a complete background investigation is required for all applicants prior to employment, employees, contractual employees and interns. The policy also indicates that the DOC 0450 is also required for the background investigation. A review of the DOC 0589 confirms that the PREA Questionnaire for Institutional Employers is sent to all prior institutional employers and contains four questions including if the individual was involved in a substantiated sexual abuse allegation and/or a sexual harassment allegation and/or if the individual resigned during a pending investigation of sexual abuse and/or a pending investigation of sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated there were eleven people hired in the past twelve months that may have contact with inmates and all eleven had a criminal background records check completed. A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that all five had a criminal background records check completed. One of the five had prior institutional employment, however the facility did not provide documentation that the prior institutional employer was contacted. The interview with Human Resource staff confirmed that the Background Investigation Unit (BIU) performs a background check on all request for background investigations sent by facilities. In addition, the BIU performs a check of IDOC Intel, work discipline and any PREA related incidents for all employees promoting to Shift Supervisor or a promotion in a Merit Compensation position. The Human Resource staff also stated that they check IDOC Intel and work discipline for employees that have answered "Yes" on the DOC 0450 (PREA self-disclosure).

115.17 (d): The PAQ stated that agency policy requires that a criminal background record check be completed before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. The PAQ indicated that there have been three contracts at the facility within the past twelve months where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered under the contract. 01.02.107, pages 2-3 state

that background investigations shall be completed on person prior to employment or prior to placement in safety sensitive position and on person who provide services for the Department. There shall be two levels of background investigations: a computer criminal history check which include a check of an individual's criminal history through the Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS) and a complete background investigation which includes a check of LEADS and nine other database queries. Policy indicates a complete background investigation is required for all applicants prior to employment, employees, contractual employees and interns. The policy also indicates that the DOC 0450 is also required for the background investigation. The auditor requested documentation for three contractors hired in the previous twelve months, however at the issuance of the interim report the appropriate documentation had not yet been received. The Human Resource staff confirmed that all contractors who have routine access to individuals in custody go through the background process.

115.17 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that either criminal background record checks be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates, or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. 03.02.108, page 2 states that employees are required to verbally report as soon as possible but within five working days a written report and final disposition to the Background Investigations Unit any arrest, indictment or conviction for a felony or misdemeanor, other than minor traffic offenses such as a parking ticket. The memo from the Background Investigations Unit staff indicated that every applicant processed by the IDOC had fingerprints submitted through the Illinois State Police LEADS/NCIC system. When fingerprints are submitted, a permanent marker is indicated on the entry which enables arrest tracking. If the individual is ever arrested, the nationwide system generates a direct response to the IDOC Background Investigations Unit which is immediately notified of the arrest. The BIU then contacts the CAO of the facility or program site where the employee/contractor is assigned. The facility provided the auditor examples of employee fingerprint submissions and employee arrest notifications, confirming that the IDOC is notified of any arrests. The interview with Human Resource staff indicated that every applicant processed by the Illinois Department of Corrections Background Investigations Unit has, as part of the background investigations process and as a condition of their employment, fingerprints submitted through the Illinois State Police LEADS/NCIC system. When fingerprints are submitted, a permanent marker is indicated on the entry which enables Arrest Tracking. If the individual is ever arrested, the nationwide system generates a direct response to the Illinois Department of Corrections Background Investigations Unit which is immediately notified of the arrest. The notification includes the individual's name, date of birth, and other pertinent identifying information, as well as the Agency which effected the arrest and the charge(s).

115.17 (f): A review of the DOC 0450 Prison Rape Elimination Act Pre-Employment

Self-Report confirms that all staff (new applicant and promotion) are required to fill out the form which contains the following questions: have you engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or other correctional facility, a pretrial detention facility, a juvenile facility, a facility for persons who are mentally ill or disabled or have intellectual disabilities or are chronically ill or handicapped, a facility providing skilled nursing intermediate or long-term care custodial or residential care or other institution as defined in the Civil Rights Institutionalized Persons Act (42 U.S.C. 1997)?; have you been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community that was facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?; and have you been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; and has there ever been any allegation, complaint or finding made against you regarding any incidents of sexual harassment? A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all five had completed the DOC 0450, which contains the questions under this provision. A review of three staff promoted in the previous twelve months indicated one completed the DOC 0450 prior to promotion, one completed it after promotion and one did not complete it at all. The Human Resource staff stated that when an individual applies for employment with IDOC they are required to fill out the DOC 0031, Applicant Information Sheet (AIS). There are numerous questions within the AIS that asks about visiting, corresponding with and living with IDOC offenders. Additionally, the applicant is asked about any contact with Law Enforcement. Applicants and promoting employees are also required to complete the DOC 0450 (PREA self-report). The Human Resource staff member confirmed that staff have a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any previous misconduct. The staff indicated that policy of Standards of Conduct require the employee to disclose misconduct.

115.17 (g): The PAQ indicates that agency policy states that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct or the provision of materially false information is grounds for termination. 03.02.108, page 7 states that any employee who knowingly provides false information, including, but not limited to, false information provided in statements, incident reports, correspondence or an interview shall be subject to disciplinary action, including termination. Additionally, DOC 0450 has a section indicating that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for ineligibility or termination from employment.

115.17 (h): The interview with the Human Resource staff indicated that IDOC routinely provides this information upon request with a signed release of information.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 01.02.107, 03.02.100, 03.02.108, DOC 0450, DOC

0589, the Arrest Tracking Process Memorandum, a review of personnel files for staff and contractors and information obtained from the Human Resource staff interview indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested documentation for three contractors hired in the previous twelve months, however at the issuance of the interim report the appropriate documentation had not yet been received. A review of personnel files for five staff who were hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that all five had a criminal background records check completed. One of the five had prior institutional employment, however the facility did not provide documentation that the prior institutional employer was contacted. A review of three staff promoted in the previous twelve months indicated one completed the DOC 0450 prior to promotion, one completed it after promotion and one did not complete it at all.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide any of the requested documentation available. If prior institutional checks were not completed, the facility will need to develop a process to ensure these are completed. A process memo as well as training with applicable staff will need to be provided to the auditor. Additionally, examples during the corrective action period will need to be provided. If the DOC 0450s are not available, the facility will need to ensure staff are trained on the requirement of completion prior to promotion. The facility will need to provide a list of all staff promoted during the corrective action period and all DOC 0450s.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Staff Personnel Documents
2. Contractor Criminal Background Record Checks
3. DOC 0450 for Hired and Promoted Staff

The facility provided the originally requested documentation. All staff and contractors

	<p>were documented with a criminal background records check prior to hire/enlisting their services. The facility also provided the missing prior institutional check which was completed via the DOC 0589.</p> <p>Six examples of the DOC 0450 were provided for staff promoted during the corrective action period. All six had answered the PREA questions prior to promotion.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency Head 2. Interview with the Warden <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Observations of Modification to the Physical Plant/New Unit 2. Observations of Video Monitoring Technology <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.18 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. During the tour the auditor confirmed that there were no modifications to the existing facility. The interview with</p>

the Agency Head indicated that the agency has a zero tolerance and that PREA is taken seriously. He stated that they take safety into consideration when planning or making any substantial modifications. The Agency Head indicated they utilize a multi-facet approach to ensure that everyone at the table is able to discuss any issues or items related to building and modification. He further stated they the agency looks at housing for vulnerable populations to ensure safety. The interview with the Warden confirmed there were no substantial expansions or modifications to the existing facility since the last PREA audit.

115.18 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housings units and common areas. The auditor verified that the cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras are monitored by internal affairs and administrative level staff. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that any use of newly updated or installed monitoring technology would be utilized to assist in enhancing the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. He stated that the agency has increased their video monitoring technology and has updated older technology. He indicated that video monitoring is utilized to review and investigate and also to assist with monitoring. He further stated that they review video after an allegation but they also use video monitoring in a proactive approach. The Warden confirmed that when installing or updating video monitoring technology they consider how that technology will protect inmates from sexual abuse. She stated they look at areas that may need cameras and they attempt to make sure blind spots are covered. She indicated they would install video monitoring technology in areas that may have potential for PREA complaints and areas that they have recommended adding cameras in the past due to incidents.

Based on a review of the PAQ, camera location listing, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with the Agency Head and Warden indicates that this standard appears be compliant.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents:
	1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents
4. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence
5. Memorandum of Understanding with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center
6. Investigative Reports
7. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police
8. Correspondence with the Illinois State Police

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
3. Interview with SAFE/SANE
4. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.21 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations. Additionally, the PAQ stated that the Illinois State Police is also responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The PAQ indicated that when conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the agency investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, pages 1-2 state that The CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident report, if it is determined that further facts are required. The Director or the respective Deputy Director or Chief may request that the Chief of Operations initiate a Department investigation of any other major incident. Department investigations shall be conducted by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit. 01.12.112 pages 1-2 describe the uniform evidence protocol including preservation and collection.

Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated eight were aware of and understood the protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence. Additionally, ten of the thirteen staff stated they knew who was responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations.

115.21 (b): The PAQ indicated that the evidence protocol is not developmentally appropriate for youth as the agency does not house youthful inmates. It further stated that the protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office of Violence Against Women publication "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescents". Further clarification with the PCM indicated that it was not developed for youth as they do not house youth, however it was developed based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's publication. 01.12.112 indicates that prior to evidence collection the scene shall be secured; evidence shall be collected subsequent of searches, sketches and photographs; evidence shall be handled as little as possible and evidence shall be marked and tagged. The memo from the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence also indicated that all Sexual Assault Evidence Kits will be completed by an outside hospital or outside hospital emergency room with trained medical staff and the hospital completing the kit will be responsible for submitting the kit to the Illinois State Police Division of Forensic Services.

115.21 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility offers all inmates who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations at an outside medical facility. The PAQ stated that forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. It further indicated forensic medical examinations are always conducted by SAFE or SANE. The PAQ confirmed that state statute (Illinois Compiled Statutes ILCS) requires forensic medical examination to be performed by SANE/SAFE. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged for co-payments for medical treatment, including a forensic medical examination, obtained for alleged sexual abuse. Treatment shall be provided by a certified Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) at a local emergency room as determined by the local facility. The memo from the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence also indicated that all Sexual Assault Evidence Kits will be completed by an outside hospital or outside hospital emergency room with trained medical staff. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months there were zero forensic medical examinations conducted by a SANE/SAFE and/or qualified medical practitioner. A review of investigations confirmed none involved a forensic medical examination. The auditor contacted Decatur Memorial Hospital related to forensic medical examinations. The staff confirmed that the hospital offers forensic medical examinations through SANE. The staff further confirmed that a SANE is available 24 hours a day to perform any and all examinations.

115.21 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to make available to the

victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center and the efforts are documented. The PAQ further indicated that if a rape crisis center is not available a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member, however a rape crisis center advocate is always provided. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Page 8 further states that all response efforts, including efforts to secure advocacy services from a rape crisis center, shall be documented. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center, which was signed on September 2, 2021. The MOU states the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish a joint effort between IDOC and Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling. The MOU further states that it is understood that face-to-face emotional support will be provided in as confidential a manner as possible or emotional support would be provided through confidential, unmonitored, unrecorded phone calls and shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated none were provided access to a victim advocate. The interview with the PCM confirmed that if requested by the victim, a victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. The PCM stated that victim advocates are provided through the MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none were asked if they wanted to contact anyone after they reported the sexual abuse.

115.21 (e): The PAQ indicated that as requested by the victim, the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Page 8 further states that all response efforts, including efforts to secure advocacy services from a rape crisis center, shall be documented. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center which was signed on September 2, 2021. The MOU states IDOC will follow the Sexual Assault Survivors Emergency Treatment Act (SASETA) when a forensic medical examination is determined by IDOC to be medically and evidentiarily appropriate in accordance with 115.21, and the examination will be provided at no cost to the inmate. It is expected that the treatment hospital will contact a local rape crisis center as may be specified within a memorandum of understanding or other agreement between the treatment

hospital's local rape crisis center pursuant to SASETA. IDOC will allow an inmate transported to a treatment hospital for medical forensic services to access crisis intervention and medical advocacy while at the treatment hospital. If the PCM is on duty, and as time and circumstances allow, the PCM shall provide notice to the appropriate rape crisis center of an inmate being transported to a treatment hospital for medical forensic services to allow for an advocate to be dispatched earlier than when the hospital calls upon arrival. The MOU further states that after the forensic services is performed, IDOC will inform the inmate how to contact the rape crisis center so that the inmate can independently decide whether to avail himself or herself of additional rape crisis services. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated none were provided access to a victim advocate. The PCM stated that victim advocacy services are provided through the local rape crisis center, Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none were asked if they wanted to contact anyone after they reported the sexual abuse.

115.21 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility is not responsible for investigating administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse. The agency/facility does conduct sexual abuse investigations, however there are certain criminal investigations that are conducted by the Illinois State Police. The MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. A review of documentation confirmed that the PC has annual correspondence with the Illinois State Police related to the Survey of Sexual Victimization. During that correspondence the Illinois State Police confirm that they follow a uniform evidence protocol and the requirements under this standard.

115.21 (g): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h): The facility has an MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center which is the local rape crisis center with trained/certified victim advocates.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.120, 01.12.112, the MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center, investigative reports, the MOU with the Illinois State Police, the correspondence with the Illinois State Police and information from interviews with the random staff, the PREA Compliance Manager, SAFE/SANE and inmates who reported sexual abuse indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated none were provided access to a victim advocate. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none were asked if they wanted to contact anyone after they reported the sexual abuse.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to develop a process to ensure that all victims of sexual abuse are afforded access to a victim advocate after a report of sexual abuse, during a forensic medical examination and during any investigatory interviews. The facility will need to train appropriate staff on the requirements and process. A copy of the training will need to be provided. The facility will need to provide a list of sexual abuse allegations during the CAP as well as documentation confirming victim advocates were afforded to the victim.

Recommendation

The auditor highly recommends that the facility emphasize those responsible for conducting the sexual abuse investigations and the protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence during upcoming training.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Staff Training
2. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During the Corrective Action Period
3. Victim Advocacy Documentation

The facility provided staff training on the victim advocacy process. The training memo was issued from the PREA Coordinator and described the process. Electronic staff signatures were provided confirming completion and understanding of the training.

The facility provided the list of sexual abuse allegations reported during the corrective

	<p>action period. One sexual abuse allegation was reported and documentation indicated the victim was offered access to a victim advocate but declined the services.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents 4. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police/Office of Executive Inspector General 5. Investigative Reports <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency Head 2. Interview with Investigative Staff <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.22 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, pages</p>

1-2 state that The CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident report, if it is determined that further facts are required. The Director or the respective Deputy Director or Chief may request that the Chief of Operations initiate a Department investigation of any other major incident. Department investigations shall be conducted by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit. The PAQ noted there were seven allegations reported within the previous twelve months and none had an administrative or criminal investigation. Further communication with the PC indicated all allegations had an administrative completed. The PAQ also stated that all allegations received during the previous twelve months had an administrative and/or criminal investigation completed. A review of documentation indicated there were nine allegations reported during the previous twelve months, three were sexual abuse and six were sexual harassment. Two of the allegations were reported after the facility submitted the PAQ. All nine allegations were referred for an administrative investigation. At the time of the on-site portion of the audit, all nine allegations had a completed administrative investigation. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that the agency ensures an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. He stated the agency has a policy/manual and that all allegation are investigated. He indicated that when an allegation is reported through any of the available channels it is reported to the PCM who then reports it to intel staff. Intel staff complete an investigation and if deemed substantiated it is forwarded for criminal charges. The Agency Head stated that the agency takes all allegations seriously and they prosecute to the fullest extent.

115.22 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The PAQ further stated that the policy is published on the agency's website and all referrals for criminal investigations are documented. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. A review of the agency website indicates that it states that IDOC investigates all allegations of offender on offender sexual abuse and staff sexual misconduct. It further states that investigations are initiated by the Investigations Unit at IDOC Headquarters. The interviews with the facility and agency investigators confirmed that agency policy requires allegations of sexual abuse be referred for investigation to an agency with legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the

allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. A review of the nine allegations indicated that all nine were investigated by IDOC investigators and none were investigated by the State Police.

115.22 (c): The agency/facility has the authority to conduct both administrative and criminal investigations. The Illinois State Police also have the authority to conduct criminal investigations. 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate.

115.22 (d): The PAQ stated that if the agency is not responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations of alleged sexual abuse, and another state entity has that responsibility, this other entity has a policy governing how such investigations are conducted. The agency/facility has the authority to conduct both administrative and criminal investigations. The Illinois State Police also has the authority to conduct criminal investigations. 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate.

115.22 (e): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.120, the MOU with the Illinois State Police, investigative reports, the agency's website and information obtained via interviews with the Agency Head and the investigators indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training
4. Administrative Directives 01.01.101 Administrative Directives
5. Administrative Directive 01.02.101 Staff Meeting
6. PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum
7. PREA – Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum
8. Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting – A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care
9. Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women’s Division
10. Sample of Staff Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.31 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency trains all employees who may have contact with inmates on the requirements under this provision. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department’s zero tolerance policy; the Department’s Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender’s right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. 03.03.102, page 1 states that the Department shall ensure all new employees receive orientation and pre-service training and all employees receive in-service training on a fiscal year basis. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA –Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that both trainings includes information on: the agency’s zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the inmates’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the inmate to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of

threatened and actual sexual abuse how to avoid inappropriate relationship with inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. With regard to how to communicate effectively and professionally with lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex inmates, staff are required to complete the Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting – A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care video. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed all thirteen had received PREA training. Staff stated they receive training annually during cycle training. All thirteen staff confirmed that the required components under this provision are discussed during the PREA training. The auditor requested training documents for thirteen staff, at the issuance of the interim report ten were provided.

115.31 (b): The PAQ indicated that training is tailored to the gender of inmate at the facility and that employees who are reassigned to facilities with opposite gender are given additional training. 03.03.102, page 4 states that all employees employed at a women's facility shall receive an additional 40 hours of gender responsive and trauma informed training onsite upon hire. Each employee shall then be provided a gender responsive and trauma informed refresher each subsequent year of employment. A review of the Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women's Division training curriculum confirms the training includes 83 slides related to trauma informed practices, gender specific programs and services, different level of value of communication for women and health boundaries and professional distance. Additionally, the agency has the Gender Responsibility and Supervising the Female Offender training. The auditor requested training documents related to the Gender Responsibility and Supervision of the Female Offender training for thirteen staff, at the issuance of the interim report ten were provided.

115.31 (c): The PAQ indicated that between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with inmates with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that staff are provided training annually. The PAQ further stated that information is provided during daily roll calls where pertinent policy changes and Warden's Bulletins are provided. 03.03.102, page 4 states that employees shall receive an additional 40 hours of training each subsequent year of employment. 01.01.101, page 7 states that the Policy and Directives Unit shall provide monthly notice of, and make available via the Department Intranet any new or revised directives, rescission notices, or provide a notice of no change. Additionally, 01.02.101 states that administrative and supervisory staff meeting shall be held at least once a month to ensure that lines of two-way communication are established between all levels of supervision and that the meeting will be used for discussing policy and program changes and topics which are of general interest to the group. The auditor requested training documents for thirteen staff, at the issuance of the interim report the facility provided documentation indicating seven had received PREA training at least every two years.

115.31 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency documents that employees who may have contact with inmates understand the training they have received through employee signatures or electronic verification. 03.03.102, page 6 states that certificates or other verification of training received shall be provided to the Training Coordinator. The certificates or verification of training shall include all information required on the DOC 0200. Additionally, all newly hired staff are required to complete the Acknowledgement of Participation which indicates that the staff has read and understood 04.01.301. A review of provided staff training records indicated that staff sign the annual cycle training each day confirming receipt and understanding of the days training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.03.102, 01.01.101, 01.02.101, PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum, PREA – Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum, Transgender and Non-Binary Individuals in Custody Setting – A Guide to Rehabilitation, Safety Management and Care training, Supervising Individuals in Custody in the IDOC Women’s Division, a review of a sample of staff training records as well as interviews with random staff indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested training documents for thirteen staff, at the issuance of the interim report ten were provided. The auditor requested training documents for thirteen staff, at the issuance of the interim report the facility provided documentation indicating seven had received PREA training at least every two years.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested training documents.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Staff Training

	<p>The facility provided the originally requested staff training documents. All staff were documented with PREA training at least every two years.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided, the facility has corrected this standard and it appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 04.01.122 Volunteer Services 4. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training 5. Volunteer Services Handbook 6. PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum 7. PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum 8. Contractor Training Records <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interviews with Volunteers or Contractors who have Contact with Inmates <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.32 (a): The PAQ indicated that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection</p>

and response. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. Page 2 states that the term staff for the purpose of this directive shall mean any Department employee, contracted employee, employee of a vendor or volunteer. 04.01.122, page 3 states that the Facility Volunteer Coordinator shall ensure volunteers receive orientation and training appropriate to the type of volunteer assignment at the facility or program site prior to service. Training shall include, but not be limited to, preparation of an incident report, volunteer rules of conduct and the Department's zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that 406 volunteers and contractors had received PREA training, which is equivalent to 100% of the total volunteers and contractors. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that the trainings discuss responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy. A review of the Volunteer Handbook confirms that page 19 includes information on the zero tolerance, how to report and red flags. Interviews with the two contractors and a volunteer confirmed that they all had received information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and five volunteers. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor received training documents for four volunteers. It should be noted a fifth volunteer training was provided, however it did not include PREA training.

115.32 (b): The PAQ indicated that the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates. The PAQ stated that all volunteers and contractors with inmate contact are required to complete orientation. Additionally, the PAQ indicates that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. Page 2 states that the term staff for the purpose of this directive shall mean

any Department employee, contracted employee, employee of a vendor or volunteer. 04.01.122, page 3 states that the Facility Volunteer Coordinator shall ensure volunteers receive orientation and training appropriate to the type of volunteer assignment at the facility or program site prior to service. Training shall include, but not be limited to, preparation of an incident report, volunteer rules of conduct and the Department's zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirms that the trainings discuss responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy. A review of the Volunteer Services Handbook confirms that page 19 includes information on the zero tolerance, how to report and red flags. Interviews with contractors and the volunteer confirmed that the training they received went over the zero tolerance policy and how and who to report information. The two contractors stated they go through the annual IDOC cycle training. One contractor stated they also get it in new hire orientation training. The volunteer stated that she was a volunteer and a staff member at the same time so she had the annual cycle training as well. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and five volunteers. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor received training documents for four volunteers. It should be noted a fifth volunteer training was provided, however it did not include PREA training.

115.32 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received. 03.03.102, page 6 states that certificates or other verification of training received shall be provided to the Training Coordinator. The certificates or verification of training shall include all information required on the DOC 0200. Additionally, all newly hired staff are required to complete the Acknowledgement of Participation which indicates that the staff has read and understood 04.01.301. Additionally, 04.01.122, page 3 states that individual volunteer files shall include training documentation including documented orientation and any additional training. Training documentation shall be signed and dated by the volunteer along with the Volunteer Coordinator. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and five volunteers. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor received training documents for four volunteers. It should be noted a fifth volunteer training was provided, however it did not include PREA training. The volunteer training documentation confirmed that volunteers sign that they receive and understand PREA information.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.122, 03.03.102, the Volunteer Services Handbook, PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum, PREA - Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum, a review of a sample of contractor training records as well as the interviews with contractors indicate that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested training documents for eight contractors and five volunteers. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor received training documents for four volunteers. It should

be noted a fifth volunteer training was provided, however it did not include PREA training.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Contractor Training
2. Volunteer Training
3. List of Current Contractors and Volunteers

The facility provided the originally requested contractor and volunteer training documents. One contractor training document was unable to be provided as the contractor no longer provided services and was hired by a different state agency and the facility indicated they could no longer access the contractors electronic training record. One volunteer training record was not provided and staff advised the volunteer has not been at the facility since 2020. The documentation provided during the corrective action period illustrated that two contractors had completed the PREA training during the corrective action period. As such, the auditor requested that the facility provide a list of current contractors and volunteers with confirmation that they all completed PREA training.

The facility provided the requested list of current volunteers and contractors and associated information on completed PREA training.

Based on the documentation provided, the facility has corrected this standard and it appears to be compliant.

115.33 Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 04.01.105 Facility Orientation
4. Administrative Directive Administrative Directive 04.01.111 ADA Accommodations
5. Administrative Directive 05.07.101 Reception and Classification Process
6. Video Remote Interpreting Information
7. Language Interpretation Procedure - Propio Language Services, LLC.
8. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
9. PREA Poster
10. End the Silence Poster
11. PREA Reporting Posters
12. Inmate Training Records (Offender Orientation Receipt)

Interviews:

1. Interview with Intake Staff
2. Interviews with Random Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Intake Area
2. Observations of PREA Posters

Findings (By Provision):

115.33 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmates receive information at the time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that 640 inmates received information on the zero-tolerance policy and how to report at intake, which is equivalent to 100% of the inmates who arrived in the previous twelve months.

04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. A review of the Handbook confirmed that pages 35-37 include information on PREA. The information includes: zero tolerance, definitions of sexual abuse, how to prevent sexual abuse, what to do if the inmate has been sexually assaulted, medical information, investigation information, ways to report (including the outside reporting entity) and victim advocacy information. During the tour the auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Inmates are provided PREA information within seven days of arrival at the facility. All inmates are received from Logan Correctional Center, which is the female intake facility for IDOC. All inmates are provided PREA information at intake there as well as comprehensive PREA education. Orientation is completed within seven days in one of the rooms in a program building. Inmates are provided a Handbook, which is available in English and Spanish. If the room has a television inmates are shown the PREA What You Need to Know video, which is in English only. If the room does not have a tv the staff will verbally go over information including, what is PREA, how to report, zero tolerance, that sexual abuse is taken seriously, not to report fake PREA allegations, who inmates can tell and where the PREA hotline number is located. The auditor observed that the television is adequate size. The video was observed only in English and did not have subtitles. Further, the staff were unsure exactly how to provide accommodations for LEP inmates during orientation. Staff stated they had never had an LEP inmate go through orientation and that they would probably utilize staff or the number for translation. The staff stated for disabled inmates they would complete the orientation one-on-one, get an ASL interpreter or utilize pen and paper for communication. The interview with intake staff confirmed that inmates are provided information related to the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including the zero tolerance policy and methods to report, during intake. The staff stated that information is provided at orientation, which is completed within seven day. Each individual in custody receives the Handbook and they either go over PREA in person or they watch the video (if there is a tv in the room being utilized). It should be noted that Decatur is not an intake facility and as such individuals in custody have been provided information at a prior IDOC facility. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody indicated that 21 were provided information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. A review of 27 inmate files of those received in the previous twelve months indicated 24 were provided information at intake via the Handbook.

115.33 (b): 04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. The PAQ indicated that 531 inmates received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. This is equivalent 100% of those received in the previous twelve months whose length of stay was for 30 days or more. During the tour, the auditor observed the comprehensive PREA education process through a demonstration. Inmates are provided PREA information within seven days of arrival at the facility. All inmates are received from Logan Correctional Center, which is the female intake facility for IDOC. All inmates are provided PREA information at intake there as well as comprehensive PREA education. Orientation is completed within seven days in one of the rooms in a program building. Inmates are provided a Handbook, which is available in English and Spanish. If the room has a television inmates are shown the PREA What You Need to Know video, which is in English only. If the room does not have a television the staff will verbally go over information including, what is PREA, how to report, zero tolerance, that sexual abuse is taken seriously, not to report fake PREA allegations, who inmates can tell and where the PREA hotline number is located. The auditor observed that the television is adequate size. The video was observed only in English and did not have subtitles. Further, the staff were unsure exactly how to provide accommodations for LEP inmates during orientation. Staff stated they had never had an LEP inmate go through orientation and that they would probably utilize staff or the number for translation. The staff stated for disabled inmates they would complete the orientation one-on-one, get an ASL interpreter or utilize pen and paper for communication. The interview with intake staff indicated that inmates are provided information on their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation and policies and procedures after a report of sexual abuse. The staff stated that information is provided at orientation, which is completed within seven day. Each individual in custody receives the Handbook and they either go over PREA in person or they watch the video (if there is a tv in the room being utilized). It should be noted that Decatur is not an intake facility and as such individuals in custody have been provided comprehensive PREA education at a prior IDOC facility. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody indicated seventeen were provided information on their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation and policies and procedures after a report of sexual abuse. Most of the individuals in custody stated they were provided the information ranging from when they first arrived to within a few months of arrival. A review of 27 inmate files of those received in the previous twelve months indicated 24 were provided comprehensive PREA education during the orientation process.

115.33 (c): The PAQ indicated that all current inmates at the facility had been educated on PREA within 30 days or were educated by June 30, 2014. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that inmates who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights to be free from both sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents, to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility. 04.01.301, page 7 states that during the admission and orientation process, offenders shall be provided with a presentation regarding the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program, including reporting procedures and available services and the zero tolerance policy. Offenders shall be informed that victims need not name their attacker to receive medical and mental health services. The policy further states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. The interview with intake staff indicated that inmates are provided information on their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation and policies and procedures after a report of sexual abuse. The staff stated that information is provided at orientation, which is completed within seven day. Each individual in custody receives the Handbook and they either go over PREA in person or they watch the video (if there is a tv in the room being utilized). A review of 34 total inmate files indicated that 27 had received comprehensive PREA education. Three of the 34 had documentation they received the Handbook but not comprehensive PREA education.

115.33 (d): The PAQ indicated that inmate PREA education is available in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are disabled or limited English proficient. 04.01.301, pages 7-8 state that the Department shall provide offender education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to offender who have limited reading skills. 04.01.111, pages 3-4 indicate that the CAO shall ensure offenders are provide with information regarding ADA disability accommodations and shall establish procedures for offender access to teletypewriter (TTY) and Video Remote Interpreting (VRS) equipment. The policy also indicates that the CAO shall find alternative notification methods for auditory announcements (tactile paging system). 05.07.101, page 2 states that all videos used during orientation shall include closed captioning subtitles and closed captioning utilizing American Sign Language which has been reviewed for accuracy of the interpretation by the Illinois Deaf and Hard of Hearing Commissioner or a qualified interpreter. The policy further states that he department shall reserve the first row of seats during orientation for offenders who are disabled. 04.01.105, page 2 states that for a non-English speaking offender, reasonable efforts shall be made for the orientation to be explained to him or her in a language her or she understands. It further states that offenders shall receive written orientation material and/or translation in their own language and when a literacy problem exists, a staff member shall assist the offender in understanding the materials. The facility also has a contract with Propio Language

Services, LLC. This company provides the facility a phone number that they can call that connects the staff member with a translator who can will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The company has interpretation services for over 600 languages. A review of PREA Posters, the Handbook and distributed information confirmed that information can be provided in large font, bright colors, can be read to inmates in terminology that they understand and is available in Spanish. Additionally, pages 46-47 of the Handbook provides information on Americans with Disabilities (ADA) including requesting accommodations, telecommunication equipment and sign language information. A review of three disabled inmate files and one LEP inmate file indicated one received comprehensive PREA education in a format they could understand. Two were documented with receiving the Handbook only. The LEP inmate signed an acknowledgment for the Handbook in English.

115.33 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation of inmate participation in PREA education sessions. 04.01.105, page 2 states that at the conclusion of the orientation program, each offender shall be requested to sign an Offender Orientation Receipt, DOC 0291, indicating he or she has participated in the orientation program and has obtained a copy of the manual. A review of 34 total inmate files indicated that 27 were documented with receiving information at intake and comprehensive PREA education. Inmates signed an acknowledgment confirming they received the Handbook and they received PREA education during orientation.

115.33 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, inmate handbooks or other written formats. 04.01.301, page 7 states that the offender handbook shall include an explanation of reporting procedures and programs and services available to victims or predators of sexual abuse and harassment. A review of the Handbook and PREA Posters confirmed information is accessible to inmates through these avenues. During the tour the auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper on English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed by on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in English and Spanish with information on reporting to staff and the PREA hotline. The auditor did not observe any information posted related to victim advocacy services. Informal conversation with staff and inmates confirmed that the PREA information has been posted for a while.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.01.105, 04.01.111, 05.07.101, Propio

Language Services, LLC. information, Video Remote Interpreting information, the Handbook, PREA Posters, a sample of inmate training documents, observations made during the tour as well as information obtained during interviews with intake staff and random inmates indicates that this standard requires corrective action. A review of 27 inmate files of those received in the previous twelve months indicated 24 were provided information at intake and comprehensive PREA education. A review of 34 total inmate files indicated that 27 had received comprehensive PREA education. Three of the 34 had documentation they received the Handbook but not comprehensive PREA education. The intake staff were unsure exactly how to provide accommodations for LEP inmates during orientation. Staff stated they had never had an LEP inmate go through orientation and that they would probably utilize staff or the number for translation. The staff stated for disabled inmates they would complete the orientation one-on-one, get an ASL interpreter or utilize pen and paper for communication. A review of three disabled inmate files and one LEP inmate file indicated one received comprehensive PREA education in a format they could understand. Two were documented with receiving the Handbook only. The LEP inmate signed an acknowledgment for the Handbook in English.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested inmate education documents. If they are not available, the facility will need to ensure all current inmates are provided PREA education and provide confirmation of the education. All LEP and disabled inmates will need to be provided education in an accessible format. Staff should be trained on the process for accommodations and a copy of the training will need to be provided. The facility will need to provide the list of LEP and disabled inmates and confirmation of updated accessible training. Further the facility will need to provide a list of inmates that arrived during the previous twelve months and corresponding education documents for every tenth inmate on the list.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Inmate Education Document

2. List of Inmates Received During the Corrective Action Period
3. Process/Training Memorandum on LEP/Disabled Inmate Education Process
4. LEP and Disabled Inmate Education Documents

The originally requested inmate education documents were provided and indicated that all inmates received PREA education. Additionally, the facility provided a list of inmates that were received at the facility during the corrective action period and associated inmate education documents for a systematic sample. Seven documents were provided and confirmed that all seven received PREA education within 30 days of arrival.

A process/training memo was provided that indicated LEP and disabled inmates would receive education through the use of Propio and through providing the Spanish Handbook. Staff signatures were provided confirming they received and understood the training on the updated inmate education process.

The facility provided two LEP inmate education documents confirming they were re-educated in an accessible format.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignments 4. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) for Investigators Training Curriculum 5. Investigator Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interview with Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.34 (a): The PAQ indicates that agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. 01.12.115, page 2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. The interview with the facility investigator confirmed he received specialized training regarding conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement setting. He stated he completed the NIC training online and also took the agency specific PREA training and the 40 hour investigator training that the PREA Coordinator put on for investigative staff. The criminal investigator confirmed he received specialized training and that he attended the 40 hour institutional investigator training, which covers the specialized training for PREA. A review of documentation indicated that four facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training.

115.34 (b): 01.12.115, page 2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. A review of the training curriculum confirmed slides 67-118 include the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. The

	<p>interviews with the investigators confirmed that the specialized investigator training included the topics required under this provision: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative case. A review of documentation indicated that four facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training.</p> <p>115.34 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation showing that investigators have completed the required training and that four investigators have completed the specialized training. A review of documentation indicated that four facility staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by two investigators. One investigator was documented with the training and was the investigators that completed all three sexual abuse investigations. The staff who the auditor did not have records for completed two sexual harassment investigations.</p> <p>115.34 (d): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.115, PREA for Investigators Training Curriculum, a review of investigator training records as well as the interview with the investigator, indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 03.03.102 Employee Training 4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 5. Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know Training Curriculum

6. Wexford Health Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation Training Curriculum

7. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.35 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. 04.01.301, page 4 states that PC shall develop or approve specialized training modules for all full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in the facilities. Training shall include: detecting and assessing signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; preserving physical evidence of sexual abuse; responding effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The training is conducted via the Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know and the Wexford Health Overview of the 2003 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation. A review of the training curriculums confirmed that they include the following topics: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and whom to report allegations or suspicion of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that the facility has 28 medical and mental health staff and that 100% of these staff received the specialized training. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that they received specialized training. Staff stated they receive the training during the annual cycle training. One staff member stated they also get the training through Wexford and when they are first hired. Staff stated the training goes over what PREA is, how to handle an allegation, knock and announce, reporting information, detection signs, mandatory reporting, first responder duties, behavior factors, victim advocacy services and psychological factors. Both staff confirmed the required elements under this provision were included in the training. The auditor requested training documents for seven medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report, the auditor had not received the documentation.

115.35 (b): The PAQ indicated that this provision does not apply as agency medical and mental health care staff do not perform forensic medical examinations.

Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that they do not perform forensic medical examinations.

115.35 (c): The PAQ indicated that documentation showing the completion of the training is maintained by the agency. The auditor requested training documents for seven medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report, the auditor had not received the documentation.

115.35 (d): 04.01.301, pages 3-4 state that the PC shall develop or approve standardized modules for training staff. Training shall include, but may not be limited to: the Department's zero tolerance policy; the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Policy; an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment; common signs of sexually abusive or harassing behavior; common signs of being a victim of sexual abuse or harassment; protocol for initial response, including identification and separation of offenders; reporting procedures and preservation of physical evidence of sexual abuse. 03.03.102, page 1 states that the Department shall ensure all new employees receive orientation and pre-service training and all employees receive in-service training on a fiscal year basis. A review of the PREA Pre-Service Orientation Training Curriculum and the PREA -Individual in Custody Sexual Assault Prevention and Intervention Curriculum confirm that both trainings includes information on responsibilities in prevention, detection and response as well as the zero tolerance policy and how to report allegations of sexual abuse. The auditor requested training documents for seven medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report, the auditor had not received the documentation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.03.102, Prison Rape Elimination Act: What Healthcare and Mental Health Providers Need to Know training curriculum, the Wexford Health Overview of the 2003 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Implementation training curriculum, a review of medical and mental health care staff training records as well as interviews with medical and mental health care staff indicate this standard requires corrective action. The auditor requested training documents for seven medical and mental health care staff. At the issuance of the interim report, the auditor had not received the documentation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested training documents.

	<p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training 2. List of Current Medical and Mental Health Care Staff <p>The facility provided the originally requested specialized training documents. Two of the medical and mental health care staff completed the specialized training during the corrective action period. Additionally, the originally requested staff and/or contractor training was also provided. Two of the contractors completed the training required under 115.32 during the corrective action period. Thus, the auditor requested that the facility provide a list of all current medical and mental health care staff and confirmation that all staff had completed the specialized medical and mental health care training and contractor training.</p> <p>The facility provided the requested list of medical and mental health care staff and associated information on the completed trainings. All medical and mental health care staff who work regularly within the facility had completed the specialized training and all had training as required under 115.31 or 115.32.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided, the facility has corrected this standard and it appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
4. Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494)
5. Inmate Assessment and Reassessment Documents

Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
2. Observations of Where Inmate Files are Located

Findings (By Provision):

115.41 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other inmates. 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted in consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. Screening and assessment shall be documented on the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse, DOC 0494, or an electronic equivalent and shall occur ordinarily within 24 hours of admission or transfer to any facility by staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer who shall screen each offender for sexually abusive behavior or victimization. Policy further states that the ordinarily within 72 hours of admission or transfer to any facility clinical services staff review the pre-sentence report, statement of facts and other materials in the master file for sexually abusive behavior and victimization. Concerns shall be forwarded to the PCM. Mental health professionals will also inquire whether the offender has been a victim of sexual

abuse in the past. During the tour, the auditor was provided a demonstration of the initial risk assessment. The initial risk screening is completed in a confidential setting one-on-one in an office. The staff complete the risk screening electronically in Offender 360. Staff ask every question on the DOC 0494. The staff indicated they do not have all the information available at intake so they complete the form based on what the individual in custody says. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are screened for their risk of victimization and abusiveness upon arrival at the facility. Interviews with 22 individuals in custody that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 21 were asked questions related to risk of victimization and abusiveness. The auditor reviewed 34 total inmate files, 30 of the 34 were documented with a risk assessment.

115.41 (b): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that inmates be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other inmates within 72 hours of their intake. 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted in consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. Screening and assessment shall be documented on the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse, DOC 0494, or an electronic equivalent and shall occur ordinarily within 24 hours of admission or transfer to any facility by staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer who shall screen each offender for sexually abusive behavior or victimization. Policy further states that the ordinarily within 72 hours of admission or transfer to any facility clinical services staff review the pre-sentence report, statement of facts and other materials in the master file for sexually abusive behavior and victimization. Concerns shall be forwarded to the PCM. Mental health professionals will also inquire whether the offender has been a victim of sexual abuse in the past. The PAQ noted that 604 inmates were screened within 72 hours over the previous twelve months. This indicates that 100% of those whose length of stay was for 72 hours or more received a risk screening within 72 hours. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are screened for their risk of victimization and abusiveness within 72 hours. Interviews with 22 individuals in custody that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 21 were asked questions related to risk of victimization and abusiveness when they first arrived. A review of 27 inmate files of those that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 25 had an initial risk screening completed. 23 of the 25 were completed within 72 hours.

115.41 (c): The PAQ indicated that the risk screening is conducted using an objective screening instrument. A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that inmates are asked about identified or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity and history or sexual victimization. Additionally, the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that general information such as age, height, weight, number of incarcerations, non-violent or violent criminal history, disabilities, education level, socioeconomic status, immigrant status/language, history or sexual abusive behavior,

criminal history of sexual abuse in the community, history of domestic violence, security threat group affiliation and history or institutional assaultive/violent behavior are factored into the risk screening assessment tool. Each question has appropriate responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the victimization section and predatory section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for both vulnerable and predatory. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official vulnerable or predatory designation. The DOC 0949 also states that the evaluator may refer an individual in custody on the continuum, but if the individual falls into the likely or not likely range, a rationale for the referral should be documented.

115.41 (d): A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates that the tool has two sections; vulnerability and predatory. The vulnerability section includes general information such as age, height, weight, number of incarcerations, non-violent or violent criminal history, disabilities (developmental, mental illness and physical), education level, socioeconomic status and immigrant status/language. Inmates are also asked about identified or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity and history or sexual victimization. The inmate is also asked about his/her own perception of their safety at the time of the screening. Each question has appropriate responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the victimization section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for vulnerability. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official vulnerable designation. The interview with the staff who conduct the risk screening indicated that the risk assessment format is yes and no questions and that she asks all the question on the form. She stated the initial risk screening considers: social class; education background; history of violence; height; weight; any disabilities; mental health diagnosis and prior sexual victimization. She confirmed that the required elements under this provision are included in the initial risk screening.

115.41 (e): A review of the Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) indicates information including, history or sexual abusive behavior, criminal history of sexual abuse in the community, history of domestic violence, security threat group affiliation and history or institutional assaultive/violent behavior are factored into the risk screening assessment tool. Each question has appropriate responses that correspond to a number. The numbers are added up at the end of the predatory section and the total number determines where the inmate falls on the continuum. The continuum ranges from not likely, likely, moderately likely to highly likely for predatory. The inmates who fall in the highly likely or moderately likely range are then reviewed for the official predatory designation. The interview with the staff who conduct the risk screening indicated that the risk assessment format is yes and no questions and that she asks all the question on the form. She stated the initial

risk screening considers: social class; education background; history of violence; height; weight; any disabilities; mental health diagnosis and prior sexual victimization. She confirmed that the required elements under this provision are included in the initial risk screening.

115.41 (f): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that the facility reassess each inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the inmate's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. 04.01.301, page 6 states that within 30 days of admission or transfer to the facility, each offender, including any offender returned to Reception and Classification as a parole or mandatory supervised release violator, shall be screened again for sexually abusive behavior or victimization and potentially predator or vulnerable offender identification based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. The PAQ noted that 531 inmates were reassessed within 30 days, which is equivalent to 100% of the inmates who arrived and stayed longer than 30 days. During the tour the auditor was provided a demonstration of the reassessment process. Staff complete the reassessment in person on the housing units in a counselor's office. Staff complete the DOC 0494 via paper and then enter it into Offender 360. Staff go through all the questions on the DOC 0494 and if prior victimization is reported the staff refer the individual to mental health. The staff indicated that they complete the risk assessment based on what the individual in custody says, regardless of what information in the file indicates. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are reassessed by clinical services. The clinical services staff stated reassessments are completed within 30 days. Interviews with 22 individuals in custody that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated eleven had been asked questions related to their risk of victimization and abusiveness more than once. The individuals in custody stated they were asked again a few weeks after they arrived. The auditor requested documentation related to 27 inmate reassessments. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received any documentation.

115.41 (g): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that an inmate's risk level be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. 04.01.301, page 6 states screening and assessment shall occur when warranted due to referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. The interview with staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that inmates are reassessed when warranted due to referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information. Interviews with 22 individuals in custody that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated eleven had been asked questions related to their risk of victimization and abusiveness more than once. The individuals in custody stated they were asked again

a few weeks after they arrived. The auditor requested documentation related to 27 inmate reassessments. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received any documentation. A review of investigations indicated that three sexual abuse allegations were reported, however none involved an allegation that would necessitate a change on the risk screening.

115.41 (h): The PAQ indicated that policy prohibits disciplining inmates for refusing to answer (or for not disclosing complete information related to) questions regarding: (a) whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (b) whether or not the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming; (c) whether or not the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (d) the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The 04.01.301, page 7 states individuals in custody shall not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or not disclosing complete information in response to questions asked during the screening for potential sexual victimization or sexual abuse. The interview with the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer or for not fully disclosing information any of the risk screening questions.

115.41 (i): 04.01.301, page 6 states that staff shall make a reasonable effort to ensure the screening and assessment is conducted with consideration of sound confidentiality and sensitivity to the offender. The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that the agency has outlined who should have access to an inmate's risk assessment within the facility in order to protect sensitive information from exploitation. He stated screening information is provided on a need-to-know basis to make informed placement decisions as well as predator/vulnerable designations. Risk screening information is maintained in the electronic Offender 360 program. During the auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses on each inmate's risk assessment. The interview with the PCM indicated that information from the risk screening is accessible to anyone with Offender 360 access. The staff responsible for risk screening indicated she was unsure who all had access to the information but that they do not document too much personal information as the Offender 360 program is accessible to others.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, DOC 0494, a review of inmate files and information from interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting the risk screenings and random inmates indicate that this standard requires corrective action. During the tour, the auditor was provided a demonstration of the initial risk assessment. The initial risk screening is completed in a confidential setting one-on-one in an office. The staff complete the risk screening electronically in Offender 360. Staff ask every question on the DOC 0494. The staff indicated they do not have all the information available at

intake so they complete the form based on what the individual in custody says. The auditor reviewed 34 total inmate files, 30 of the 34 were documented with a risk assessment. A review of 27 inmate files of those that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 25 had an initial risk screening completed. 23 of the 25 were completed within 72 hours. During the tour the auditor was provided a demonstration of the reassessment process. Staff complete the reassessment in person on the housing units in a counselor's office. Staff complete the DOC 0494 via paper and then enter it into Offender 360. Staff go through all the questions on the DOC 0494 and if prior victimization is reported the staff refer the individual to mental health. The staff indicated that they complete the risk assessment based on what the individual in custody says, regardless of what information in the file indicates. The auditor requested documentation related to 27 inmate reassessments. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received any documentation. During the auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses on each inmate's risk assessment.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation related to initial and reassessments. Once received the auditor will determine if additional corrective action is needed. The facility will need to train all risk screening staff on the process for risk assessments, to include indicating correct information located in the file if the inmate indicates different information. The agency will need to modify the access to the risk screening information and provide confirmation of the limited access.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. List of Inmates Received During the Corrective Action Period
2. Inmate Risk Assessments
3. Staff Training
4. Assurance Memorandum

5. Offender 360 Restricted Access Documentation

The facility provided the originally requested risk assessment documentation. All had an initial risk assessment, one was past the 72 hour timeframe. All but two had a reassessment completed and all were within the 30 day timeframe.

The facility provided a training documentation that outlined the appropriate initial risk assessments process and reassessment process, including timeframes and the need for a file review to confirm information. Staff signatures were provided confirming they received and understood the training.

The facility provided a list of inmates received at the facility during the corrective action period. A systematic sample of initial risk assessments and reassessments were provided. All seven had an initial risk assessment completed within 72 hours and all seven had a reassessment completed within 30 days.

An assurance memo was provided confirming that the facility reviewed all current risk assessments to confirm that the information contained in the risk screenings were adequate based on information contained in inmate files.

The facility provided documentation indicating that the agency implemented security roles for the Offender 360 risk screening information. The agency restricted access to only a few security roles and all other staff are required to request access to the risk screening information through the agency PC. The PC also provided screenshots of different staff's views in Offender 360. The auditor confirmed the staff did not have access to the risk screening.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 04.03.104 Evaluation, Treatment and Correctional Management of Transgender Offenders
4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
5. Housing Assignments of Inmates at Risk of Sexual Victimization and/or Sexual Abusiveness
6. Transgender/Intersex Housing Determination Documents
7. Transgender/Intersex Biannual Reassessments
8. LGBTI Housing Assignments

Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interview with PREA Coordinator
3. Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
4. Interviews with Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Inmates
5. Interviews with Transgender Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Location of Inmate Records
2. Shower Area in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.42 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility uses information from the risk screening required by §115.41 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. 04.01.301, page 6 states that any indication of sexually abusive behavior, victimization or potential

victimization in a correctional setting identified at a Reception and Classification Center or any assigned facility shall be referred to the facility PREA Compliance Manager. The PCM shall promptly review any referrals to assess whether an offender should be identified as a predator or vulnerable offender using the DOC 0494 and make recommendations regarding safety consideration and treatment or counseling needs. Page 7 further states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed with an offender identified as a predator. Prior to housing an offender identified as vulnerable or an offender identified as a predator, the proposed housing assignment shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in consultation with the facility PCM. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that information from the risk screening is used to ensure vulnerable inmates are not housed with predators. She further stated it would also be utilized for other assignments (i.e. jobs and programs). The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that information from the risk screening is utilized to make sure individuals in custody understand what services are available to them. The staff stated if an individual in custody scores high on the risk screening she notifies her supervisor and she was unsure what was done with the information after that. She indicated she assumed that the supervisor notified placements staff of the information. The auditor probed the staff who indicated she believed it was used to house individuals in custody and that they would not house a predator and a vulnerable in the same room. She confirmed the information is used on an individualized basis. A review of documentation indicated there were zero inmates at high risk of victimization or high risk of abusiveness. The documentation indicated that the housing risk scores are utilized by staff when assigning housing, program and work.

115.42 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. 04.01.301, page 6 states that any indication of sexually abusive behavior, victimization or potential victimization in a correctional setting identified at a Reception and Classification Center or any assigned facility shall be referred to the facility PREA Compliance Manager. The PCM shall promptly review any referrals to assess whether an offender should be identified as a predator or vulnerable offender using the DOC 0494 and make recommendations regarding safety consideration and treatment or counseling needs. Page 7 further states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed with an offender identified as a predator. Prior to housing an offender identified as vulnerable or an offender identified as a predator, the proposed housing assignment shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in consultation with the facility PCM. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that information from the risk screening is utilized to make sure individuals in custody understand what services are available to them. The staff stated if an individual in custody scores high on the risk screening she notifies her supervisor and she was unsure what was done with the information after that. She indicated she assumed that the supervisor notified placements staff of the information. The auditor probed the staff who indicated she believed it was used to

house individuals in custody and that they would not house a predator and a venerable in the same room. She confirmed the information is used on an individualized basis.

115.42 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates in the facility on a case-by-case basis. 04.03.104, page 7 indicates that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall not be assigned to gender-specific facilities based solely on their external genital anatomy. The Transgender Administrative Committee (TAC) shall make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender including considering transfer from one gender-specific facility to an opposite gender facility and specifically the gender of staff which will perform searches of the offender. The determination shall consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether specific placement ensure the offender's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security concerns. The agency as a whole houses approximately 150 transgender inmates. Currently the agency houses nine transgender female inmates at female facilities and zero transgender male inmates at male facilities. The review of meeting minutes for four TAC meetings confirms that housing is reviewed on a case-by-case basis for each inmate. The interview with the PCM indicated that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are not part of her prevue. She stated she believed the Warden decides the housing and that housing and programming is based on behavior. The PCM confirmed that placement would take into consideration the safety of the inmate and the presentation of any security or management problems. Interviews with two transgender inmates indicated one was asked how he felt about his safety. Both stated that they did not believe LGBTI inmates are placed in one facility, housing unit or wing. It should be noted that male/female housing determinations are made by the agency TAC, not at the facility level.

115.42 (d): 04.03.104, page 7 states that a review of each transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offender's placement and programming assignments shall be conducted by the facility twice annually to review any threats to safety experienced or posed by the offender. The agency as a whole houses approximately 150 transgender inmates. The facility did not house any transgender inmates, however the auditor has reviewed biannual assessments across numerous facilities within the IDOC during the audit cycle. A review of documentation for eleven transgender inmates confirmed that all eleven were documented with biannual assessments via DOC 0700. These were completed by the TAC. The auditor requested additional documentation for two transgender inmates at Decatur, however at the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the documentation. The PCM stated transgender and intersex individuals in custody are reviewed every 90 days. The staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates would be reassessed at least twice per year.

115.42 (e): 04.03.104, page 7 states that decisions shall be made by the TAC on a case-by-case basis with serious consideration given to circumstances including, but not limited to, the following: the offender's perception of whether a male or female facility is safest for him or her, as well as the preferred gender of staff to perform searches. The interviews with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates' views with respect to their safety are given serious consideration. Interviews with two transgender inmates indicated one was asked how he felt about his safety.

115.42 (f): 04.03.104, page 9 states that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall be allowed the same frequency of showers in accordance with his or her classification. Showers shall be separated and private from other offenders. During the tour the auditor observed that showers provided privacy through doors and curtains. The interview with the PCM and the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates are afforded the opportunity to shower separately. The PCM stated that transgender and intersex individuals in custody are given rooms with a single shower in the bathroom and they are also offered showers in healthcare. Interviews with two transgender inmates indicated that both have been afforded the opportunity to shower separately.

115.42 (g): 04.03.104, page 7 states that transgender, intersex and gender incongruent offenders shall not be assigned to gender specific facilities based solely on their external genital anatomy. Additionally, the PREA Manual, pages 27-28 indicate that the agency shall not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status, unless such placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such inmates. The interview with the PC confirmed that the agency is not subject to a consent decree and that there is not a dedicated facility for LGBTI inmates. He further stated placement decisions are made to ensure the safety and security of all individuals in custody. Facility, housing unit and cell assignments are made on a case by case basis and housing individuals strictly by gender identity or sexual orientation is prohibited. The PCM confirmed that the agency does not have a consent decree and that LGBTI inmates are not placed in dedicated facilities, units or wings solely because of their identification or status. Interviews with two LGB inmates and two transgender inmates indicated that all four did not feel the facility places LGBTI inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status. A review of housing assignments for LGBTI inmates confirmed that they were spread across numerous different housing units at the facility, confirming that LGBTI inmates were not placed in one dedicated unit or wing at Decatur.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 04.03.104, the PREA Manual, inmates at risk of sexual abusiveness and sexual victimization housing determinations, transgender or intersex inmate house determinations, transgender or intersex biannual assessments, LGBTI inmate housing assignments, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with the PC, PCM, staff responsible for conducting the risk screening and LGBTI inmates, indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested additional documentation for two transgender inmates at Decatur, however at the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received the documentation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Biannual Risk Assessments

The facility provided the originally requested transgender inmate biannual assessments. Both inmates were documented with biannual assessments during 2023.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing
4. Inmates at High Risk of Victimization Housing Assignments

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interview with Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations in the Segregated Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.43 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ noted that there were zero inmates at high risk of victimization that were placed in involuntary segregated housing in the past twelve months. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The interview with the Warden confirmed that agency policy prohibits placing inmates at high risk of sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and it is determined that there are not alternative means of separation form likely abusers. A review of housing documentation indicated there were zero inmates at high risk of victimization and as such none were involuntarily segregated.

115.43 (b): During the tour the auditor observed that the segregated housing unit contained cells, a shower and separate outdoor recreation area. Out of cell time is daily through showers and recreation. Inmates in segregated housing do not have access to the phone. Grievances are requested through staff and are turned into a locked box in the unit. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates at high risk of sexual victimization who are involuntary segregated would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff member confirmed that if anything was restricted they would document the restriction and the duration of the restriction. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted.

115.43 (c): The PAQ indicated there were zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were assigned to involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The interview with the Warden confirmed that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abuser(s) could be arranged. She stated they have never had to involuntarily segregate someone, but if they did it would be less than 24 hours until they could find alternative housing. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until they could find an alternative means of separation. She stated that if involuntary segregated housing was used they could find alternative means of separation within a week. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted.

115.43 (d): The PAQ indicated there were zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were held in involuntary segregated housing in the past twelve months who had both a statement of the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why alternative means of separation could not be arranged. A review of housing documentation indicated there were zero inmates at high risk of victimization and as such none were involuntarily segregated.

115.43 (e): The PAQ indicate that if an inmate was placed in segregation due to risk of victimization, they would be reviewed every 30 days to determine if there was a continued need for the inmate to be separated from the general population. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no

other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Additionally, 05.15.100, page 6 states that a Restrictive Housing Review Committee (RHRC) shall be established at each facility with restrictive housing. The committee shall review the status of each individual in custody's placement into restrictive housing every seven days for the first 60 days and at least every 30 days thereafter, unless more frequently if clinically indicated. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates would be reviewed at least every 30 days for their continued need for placement in involuntary segregated housing. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 05.15.100, high risk inmate housing assignments, observations from the facility tour as well as information from the interviews with the Warden and staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicates this standard appears to be compliant.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 3. Memorandum of Understanding with the John Howard Association 4. TRUST Act Memorandum 5. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook) 6. PREA Poster 7. PREA Reporting Poster <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interviews with Random Staff

2. Interviews with Random Inmates
3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of Posted PREA Reporting Information
2. Testing of Internal Reporting Hotline
3. Testing of the External Reporting Entity

Findings (By Provision):

115.51 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for inmates to report privately to agency officials about: (a) sexual abuse or sexual harassment; (b) retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (c) staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. The PREA Manual, page 29 states that offenders shall be encouraged to report allegations to staff at all levels. Offenders shall be provided with avenues of internal reporting, including, but not limited to, a telephone hotline maintained by the Investigations and Intelligence Unit, or by mail to an outside entity (e.g. John Howard Association). Offenders shall be provided information on reporting mechanisms as noted in section 115.33. A review of additional documentation to include the Handbook and PREA Posters indicated that they outline methods for reporting. These methods include: telling any staff member; calling the hotline, writing to the PC, to the John Howard Association (outside reporting entity) and/or sending a note, grievance or request slip. During the tour the auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper on English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed by on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in English and Spanish with information on reporting to staff and the PREA hotline. During the tour the auditor tested the internal reporting mechanisms during the tour. The auditor called the internal PREA hotline on May 22, 2023 and left a message to test functionality. Inmates are advised to select English or Spanish upon contact with the hotline. Initial phone instructions are provided in English and Spanish, however the hotline instructions/directions are only available in English. The auditor received confirmation from the PC on May 22, 2023 that the call was received and forwarded to him. Inmates have access to the phones most of the day. The internal PREA hotline is accessible on all phones but does require an individual in custody number. The

auditor also tested the internal written reporting process. The auditor had an individual in custody assist with submitting a grievance on May 22, 2023. The individual requested a grievance from staff and assisted with filing out the appropriate sections of the grievance. The grievance was submitted via the locked box on the housing unit. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received confirmation that the grievance was received. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody confirmed that all 26 were aware of at least one method to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Most stated they would report through an officer, via the hotline, by writing a request or by telling their family to report for them. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated that inmates can report through the hotline, a request slip or through any staff member.

115.51 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency provides at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that the facility does not house inmates solely for civil immigration purposes. The agency has an MOU with the John Howard Association. The MOU states John Howard Association will allow IDOC to identify JHA within inmate orientation materials and prison posting as one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to an entity that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive and forward inmate reports of sexual abuse or harassment to Agency official for investigation, allowing the inmate to remain anonymous, upon request. The MOU further provides additional responsibilities for JHA and IDOC. The PREA Manual, page 29 indicates that offenders shall be provided contact information to the John Howard Association to make such reports. This information shall be available in Handbook. A review of the Handbook and PREA Posters confirmed that inmates can report externally to the John Howard Association. The Handbook (page 37) states that inmates can report through an independent outside entity, the John Howard Association of Illinois (JHA). The Handbook indicates that JHA has privileged mail status. It also states that inmates can remain anonymous and provides direction to state in the letter that the inmate does not want his/her name to be included. Additionally, page 36 of the Handbook indicate that privileged mail, goes sealed and unopened and notes that the John Howard Association is privileged mail (incoming and outgoing). The PREA Poster states that inmates can report via privileged mail to the John Howard Association through the PO Box in Chicago, Illinois. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in housing units and common areas. Housing units had a PREA Poster on pink paper on English and Spanish. The PREA Poster was on letter size paper and contained information on reporting via staff, the hotline, via a note and through a grievance. The PREA Posters were observed by on the bulletin boards and entrance walls of the housing units. The auditor also observed the End the Silence PREA Posters in hallways and common areas on legal size paper. The auditor did not observe the PREA Reporting Posters or any posted information on the outside reporting entity. The auditor previously tested the outside reporting mechanism via a letter to the John Howard Association at a prior IDOC audit. Because the process and mail process is the same across all IDOC facilities the auditor did not send another letter. The auditor obtained an envelope

and sent a letter to the John Howard Association on January 10, 2023. The auditor obtained assistance from an inmate to utilize his name and number on the return address. The letter was placed in the outgoing US mail box by the inmate. While a return name and number is required, the mail staff do not open this mail and as such inmates are able to remain anonymous within the letter. The John Howard Association is utilized for numerous services and they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor received confirmation on January 20, 2023 that the letter was received by the John Howard Association. A copy of the letter that was mailed was forwarded back to the auditor as well as the confirmation from John Howard Association staff that the inmate can remain anonymous. During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the locked boxes around the facility, including in the housing units. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. Inmates in the health services unit and segregated housing unit are able to provide mail to any staff member who can place it in the boxes for them. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is collected from the housing units and brought to the mail room in bags. Regular mail is spot checked to ensure it meets the guidelines and is skimmed over to ensure there is nothing in it that should not be sent out. If there is an issue the mail is provided to Internal Affairs. If the mail is okay, the mailroom staff seal the envelopes and sent it out. The mailroom staff stated that legal mail is treated differently. Legal mail comes to them sealed and is logged. The mailroom staff stated they do not open any legal mail. For incoming mail, the mailroom staff stated that the mail is picked up from the Post Office and sorted. All regular mail is opened and scanned over/read to ensure it meets the guidelines and there is not any contraband or security issues. Legal incoming mail is treated differently in that it is sent to Internal Affairs staff unopened. Internal Affairs then delivers the mail to the inmate and the inmate opens it in front of the staff. The mailroom staff stated that mail to and from JHA is treated like legal/privileged mail. The interview with the PCM indicated individuals in custody can report to an outside entity through the John Howard Association. She stated there is a process for the information to be reported back to the agency/facility, however she was not familiar with the details of the process. Interviews with 26 inmates indicated twelve were aware of the outside reporting entity (JHA) and fourteen were aware they could anonymously report. The facility does not house inmates detained solely for immigration services and as such this part of the provision is not applicable.

115.51 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. It further indicated that staff are required to document verbal reports immediately. 04.01.301, page 10 states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. The PREA Manual, page 29 further states that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports. Interviews with 26 inmates indicate all 26 knew they could report verbally and/or in writing to staff and

23 knew they could report through a third party. Interviews with thirteen staff indicate that inmates can report verbally, in writing, anonymously and through a third party. The staff stated if an inmate reported verbally they would document it immediately or as soon as possible. A review of investigations indicated eight were reported verbally and one was reported in writing. All nine included incident reports from staff involved to ensure the information was documented and referred for investigation. During the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they submit a written report. Staff indicated if they received a verbal report they would complete a written report via a 434 (Incident Report). The staff stated that 434s are in each housing unit and the document is filled out by hand. The staff stated the report is given to the supervisor once complete. The staff further confirmed that if the supervisor was part of the incident or they wanted it to remain private they could bypass that individual and give the incident report to the next level supervisor.

115.51 (d): The PAQ indicates the agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The PAQ indicated that staff are informed of this method through training, the IDOC website and facility posters. The PREA Manual, page 29 states that the agency shall provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. Interviews with thirteen staff indicated all thirteen were aware that they could privately report sexual abuse of an inmate.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PREA Manual, memo related to John Howard Association, TRUST Act memo, the Handbook, the PREA Poster, observations during the tour, information from interviews with the PCM, random inmates and random staff, and the documentation provided related to the auditors test of the outside entity reporting and the internal reporting line, this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor had an individual in custody assist with submitting a grievance on May 22, 2023. The individual requested a grievance from staff and assisted with filing out the appropriate sections of the grievance. The grievance was submitted via the locked box on the housing unit. At the issuance of the interim report the auditor had not received confirmation that the grievance was received. The auditor did not observe the PREA Reporting Posters or any posted information on the outside reporting entity. Interviews with 26 inmates indicated twelve were aware of the outside reporting entity (JHA) and fourteen were aware they could anonymously report.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide confirmation that the grievance was received. If it was not, the facility will need to test the process again internally to ensure that it is

functionable. The facility will need to post the PREA Reporting Poster and provide photos. Additionally, the facility will need to ensure all inmates are aware of the outside reporting mechanism and the ability to remain anonymous. Confirmation should be provided to the auditor.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Confirmation of Grievance Test
2. Photos of PREA Reporting Poster
3. Updated Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)

The facility provided confirmation that the test grievance submitted by the auditor was received by the facility. The response on the grievance indicated that it was received on May 23, 2023, the day after it was submitted by the auditor.

The facility provided photos confirming that the PREA Reporting Posters (which contain the external reporting entity information) were placed throughout the facility, including in each housing unit. The PREA Reporting Posters were placed around the facility in both English and Spanish on bright colored paper.

Inmates are advised of JHA through the Handbook. The Handbook advises that JHA is the external reporting entity and inmates can remain anonymous when reporting but must indicate that they want to remain anonymous in the body of the letter.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.114 Local Offender Grievance Procedures
3. Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
4. Grievance Log
5. Sample Grievances

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.52 (a): 04.01.114 is the policy related to grievance procedures for inmates. The PAQ indicated that the agency is not exempt from this standard.

115.52 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy or procedure allows an inmate to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time, regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. The PAQ further indicated that inmates are required to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. Further communication with the PC indicated that this was an error and that inmate are not required to use the informal grievance process. 04.01.114, page 2 states that offender grievances related to allegations of sexual abuse shall not be subject to any filing time frame. Policy further states that offender grievances involving alleged incidents of sexual abuse shall be exempt from any informal grievance process. A review of Handbook confirmed that information on grievances is provided to inmates on page 18.

115.52 (c): The PAQ stated that agency policy and procedure allow an inmate to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. It further stated that agency policy and procedure requires that an inmate grievance alleging sexual abuse not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. 04.01.114, page 6 indicates an offender

may submit the grievance without submitting it to any staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Policy further states that no grievance shall be referred to any staff member who is the subject of the complaint. A review of Handbook confirmed that information on grievances is provided to inmates on page 18.

115.52 (d): The PAQ stated that agency policy and procedure requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The PAQ indicated that there were three sexual abuse grievances filed in the previous twelve months, all three of which had a response within 90 days. The PAQ also stated that zero grievances that involved an extension. The PAQ further indicated that the agency always notifies an inmate in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made. 04.01.114, page 6 states that the Department shall issue a final decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance. Computation of the 90 day time period shall not include time consumed by the offender in preparing any administrative appeal. Policy further states that the Department may claim an extension of time to respond, of up to 70 days, if the normal time period for response is insufficient to. make an appropriate decision. The offender shall be notified, in writing, of such extension and provided with a date by which a decision will be made. Page 6 also states that at any level of the grievance process, if the offender does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, the offender may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level. A review of the grievance log and sample grievances confirmed there were zero sexual abuse grievances. There was one allegation reported via grievance (sexual harassment). The allegation was immediately forwarded for investigation and a victim notification was provided upon completion. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were provided the outcome of the investigation into their allegation. Two were provided in writing and one was told verbally. All three indicated they did not report via a grievance.

115.52 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy and procedure permits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such requests on behalf of inmates. The PAQ further indicated that agency policy and procedure requires that if an inmate declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the inmate's decision to decline. 04.01.114, page 6 states that third parties, including other offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, etc., shall be permitted to assist offenders in filing grievances relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of the offender. Policy further states that the Department shall require, as a condition of processing the grievance, the alleged victim to agree to have the grievance filed on his or her behalf. If the alleged victim declines, the decision shall be documented. The

PAQ stated that there were zero grievances alleging sexual abuse by inmates in the past twelve months in which the inmate declined third-party assistance and which contained documentation of the inmate's decision to decline. A review of the grievance log and sample grievances confirmed there were zero sexual abuse grievances.

115.52 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy and established procedures for filing an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. It further indicated that the agency's policy and procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires an initial response within 48 hours. The PAQ also indicated that the agency's policy and procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires that a final agency decision be issued within five days. 04.01.114, page 7 states that for emergency grievances alleging an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the Department shall provide an initial response within 48 hours, and shall have a final decision provided within five calendar days. The initial response and the final decision shall document the Department's determination whether the offender is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance. The PAQ stated there was one emergency grievance alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse in the previous twelve months and it was determined not to be an emergency. The PAQ stated grievance had a final response within five days. A review of the grievance log and sample grievances confirmed there were zero sexual abuse grievances.

115.52 (g): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline an inmate for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. 04.01.114, page 2 states that staff shall be prohibited from imposing discipline due to use of the grievance process. The PAQ indicated that zero inmates were disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith in the previous twelve months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.114, the Handbook, the grievance log and a sample of grievances indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Memorandum of Understanding with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center
4. Individuals in Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)
5. PREA Reporting Poster

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Inmates
2. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse
3. Interview with Victim Advocate

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of Victim Advocacy Information

Findings (By Provision):

115.53 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility provides inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. It further stated that the facility provides inmates with access to such services by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers for local, state or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations and that the facility provides inmates with access to such services by enabling reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations in a confidential a manner as possible. The PAQ stated that IDOC does not hold individuals strictly for immigration purposes. 04.01.301, page 5 states that the PCM shall identify community agencies, including advocacy and crisis organizations, where reports can be made or that provide assistance or support services to staff or offenders in the prevention or intervention of sexual abuse and harassment. Contact information such as mailing addresses shall be provided via offender handbook, bulletins, etc. The MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center indicates that the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish a joint effort between IDOC and Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling, to aid IDOC

in fulfilling compliance with 115.53 while inmates are incarcerated at Decatur Correctional Center and within the IDOC prison. The MOU further states that it understood face-to-face emotional support provided in a confidential a manner as possible or emotional support through confidential unmonitored, unrecorded phone call(s) shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". A review of the Handbook and PREA Reporting Poster confirmed that inmates are provided the mailing address and telephone number to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center. The Handbook, page 37 states "The next steps after reporting is to request and utilize comprehensive victim services if needed. If you are a victim of sexual abuse, individuals in custody may contact victim advocate for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. If you would like additional information regarding emotional support services, you may contact your Counselor, Mental Health, Facility PREA Compliance Manager or write to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center" (address and phone number then provided). The Handbook further states "calls made from the Individual in Custody Phone System may be monitored and recorded. Allegations provided to victim advocates may be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. During the tour the auditor did not observe the PREA Reporting Posters or any information posted information related to victim advocacy services. Further the information reviewed and observations made during the tour did not illustrate how individuals can contact the rape crisis center confidentially and did not indicate how mail would be treated to the organization. Further the information did not advise of the limited ability for victim advocates to report allegations of sexual abuse (requires consent). The auditor was unable to test the access to victim advocacy services during the on-site portion of the audit. Individuals in custody can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phones, which are monitored and/or recorded. Individuals in custody are also able to set up a legal call or visit through the counselor. The individual in custody would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal call area. While this is a more confidential method for speaking to the victim advocate than in the housing unit with numerous other individuals in custody surrounding them, the process is not known by staff or individuals in custody. Additionally, individuals in custody can correspond with the local rape crisis center through mail. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated nine were aware of outside victim advocacy services and five were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center.

115.53 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. It further stated that the facility informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant federal, state, or local law. The MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center indicates that the purpose and scope of the MOU is to establish a joint effort

between IDOC and Growing Strong Sexual Center to make available to inmates access to an outside entity to provide emotional support services related to sexual abuse, including crisis intervention and sexual assault counseling, to aid IDOC in fulfilling compliance with 115.53 while inmates are incarcerated at Decatur Correctional Center and within the IDOC prison. The MOU further states that it understood face-to-face emotional support provided in a confidential a manner as possible or emotional support through confidential unmonitored, unrecorded phone call(s) shall comply with 735 ILCS 5/8-802.1 "Confidentiality of Statements Made to Rape Crisis Personnel". The Handbook, page 37 states "The next steps after reporting is to request and utilize comprehensive victim services if needed. If you are a victim of sexual abuse, individuals in custody may contact victim advocate for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. If you would like additional information regarding emotional support services, you may contact your Counselor, Mental Health, Facility PREA Compliance Manager or write to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center" (address and phone number then provided). The Handbook further states "calls made from the Individual in Custody Phone System may be monitored and recorded. Allegations provided to victim advocates may be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. Pages 58-60 of the Handbook outlines the mail process while page 19 indicates privileged and legal mail. It should be noted that the local rape crisis center is not outlined as privileged mail in the Handbook. Pages 52-54 outline the telephone process, including information about telephone calls from individual in custody phones being subject to monitoring and recording. During the tour the auditor did not observe any information posted related to victim advocacy services. Further the information reviewed and observations made during the tour did not illustrate how individuals can contact the rape crisis center confidentially and did not indicate how mail would be treated to the organization. Further the information did not advise of the limited ability for victim advocates to report allegations of sexual abuse (requires consent). During the tour the auditor observed that inmates are able to place outgoing mail in any of the locked boxes around the facility, including in the housing units. None of the drop boxes were specific to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations or information. Inmates in the health services unit and segregated housing unit are able to provide mail to any staff member who can place it in the boxes for them. The interview with the mailroom staff indicated that outgoing mail is collected from the housing units and brought to the mail room in bags. Regular mail is spot checked to ensure it meets the guidelines and is skimmed over to ensure there is nothing in it that should not be sent out. If there is an issue the mail is provided to Internal Affairs. If the mail is okay, the mailroom staff seal the envelopes and sent it out. The mailroom staff stated that legal mail is treated differently. Legal mail comes to them sealed and is logged. The mailroom staff stated they do not open any legal mail. For incoming mail, the mailroom staff stated that the mail is picked up from the Post Office and sorted. All regular mail is opened and scanned over/read to ensure it meets the guidelines and there is not any contraband or security issues. Legal incoming mail is treated differently in that it is sent to Internal Affairs staff unopened. Internal Affairs then delivers the mail to the inmate and the inmate opens it in front of the staff. The mailroom staff was unsure how mail to the local rape crisis center would be treated. The staff stated there has not been any mail to or from the organization and she

would reach out to the supervisor on how to handle the mail. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated nine were aware of outside victim advocacy services and five were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center. A few of those who stated they received information on the rape crisis center advised they believed it was free and confidential and could be contacted anytime. A few stated they did not know about the organization, they just received the contact information.

115.53 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility maintains a memorandum of understanding or other agreement with a community service provider that is able to provide inmates with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The PAQ also indicated that the facility maintains copies of the agreement. A review of documentation confirms that the facility has an MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center. The MOU was signed September 2, 2021 and the facility maintains a copy of the MOU.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the MOU with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center, the Handbook, the PREA Poster and interviews with random inmates and inmates who reported sexual abuse this standard appears to require corrective action. During the tour the auditor did not observe the PREA Reporting Posters or any information posted information related to victim advocacy services. Further the information reviewed and observations made during the tour did not illustrate how individuals can contact the rape crisis center confidentially and did not indicate how mail would be treated to the organization. Further the information did not advise of the limited ability for victim advocates to report allegations of sexual abuse (requires consent). The auditor was unable to test the access to victim advocacy services during the on-site portion of the audit. Individuals in custody can add the victim advocacy number to their call list and call through the inmate phones, which are monitored and/or recorded. Individuals in custody are also able to set up a legal call or visit through the counselor. The individual in custody would request the confidential call and the staff member would set up the call. The advocate would call the facility and the call would be transferred to the legal call area. While this is a more confidential method for speaking to the victim advocate than in the housing unit with numerous other individuals in custody surrounding them, the process is not known by staff or individuals in custody. Interviews with 26 individuals in custody, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated nine were aware of outside victim advocacy services and five were provided a phone number and mailing address to a local rape crisis center. Additionally, the mailroom staff was unsure how mail to the local rape crisis center would be treated. The staff stated there has not been any mail to or from the organization and she would reach out to the supervisor on how to handle the mail. It should be noted that the local rape crisis center is not outlined as privileged mail in the Handbook.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to update the Handbook to include how mail to the rape crisis center is treated, how to contact them and inability to report to them without consent. A copy of the update Handbook will need to be provided. The facility will need to educate inmates on this information and provide confirmation. Additionally, mailroom staff will need to be trained on how mail to the local rape crisis center is treated. The facility will need to post the PREA Reporting Poster around the facility and provide photos confirming the placement. Further the facility will need to train/educate staff and inmates on how to contact the organization for confidential emotional support services (requesting through staff via legal call). Confirmation of the education/training will need to be provided.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Photos of PREA Reporting Posters
2. Staff Training
3. Updated Individuals In Custody Orientation Manual (Handbook)

The facility provided a training memo from the agency PC to mailroom staff advising that mail to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center is treated as privileged mail. Staff signatures were provided confirming mailroom staff received and understood the training. Additionally, staff were trained on the process of providing inmates access to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center via a confidential legal call/visit. Staff signatures were provided confirming staff received and understood the training.

The facility updated the Handbook and included contact information to Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center, the level of confidentiality, to include that mail sent to them is treated as privileged mail and calls from the inmate phones are monitored and recorded, and mandatory reporting laws.

The facility provided photos of the PREA Reporting Posters, which include contact information for Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center, placed throughout the facility (including in each housing unit). The information was in English and Spanish and was on bright pink paper.

During the corrective action period the agency worked on a process to provide inmates with access to local rape crisis centers across the state through a speed dial number (999). The speed dial is facility specific and dials to the local rape crisis center in the area (agency that has an MOU with the facility). The information for the speed dial was added to the PREA Reporting Poster and included that calls to this number were not monitored and recorded. The 999 speed dial was implemented another IDOC facility to allow the agency to test the process. The auditor received confirmation of the test from the inmate phone system. While the process was implemented and was tested to confirm functionality, the organizations asked the agency not to implement the speed dial until after the holidays. Thus the facility updated the distributed information but did not post the updated information with the speed dial. They indicated this would be posted and redistributed after the New Year.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 3. PREA Posters <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.54 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a method to receive third-party</p>

	<p>reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and the agency publicly distributes that information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate. The PREA Manual, page 32 states that the Department shall post publicly, and maintain, the third-party reporting avenue on its public website. A review of the agency's website confirms that there is information on how to report sexual abuse and/or staff sexual misconduct. Individuals can call the IDOC Headquarters number (217-558-4013) and leave a message. Additionally, the PREA Posters state that individuals can write to the IDOC PREA Coordinator and/or to the John Howard Association. During the tour third party reporting information was observed in visitation and the front entrance via the PREA Poster and the End The Silence Poster. The PREA Poster was letters size in English and Spanish and included reporting through staff, the hotline, via note and through a grievance. The End the Silence Poster was on legal size paper and was in English only. The End the Silence Poster included reporting through the hotline. The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism on January 22, 2023. The auditor called the PREA hotline as outlined on the agency website. The hotline is the same hotline utilized for the inmate population. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on January 23, 2023 that the message was received and forwarded to him to handle. He indicated he would provide the information to the facility for investigation if it was a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, the PREA Manual, the PREA Posters and the agency's website this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Administrative Directive 01.12.105 Reporting of Unusual Incidents 4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 5. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Interview with the Warden
4. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.61 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency; any retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and/or any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. Interviews with thirteen staff confirmed that policy requires that they report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, any retaliation related to reporting sexual abuse and/or information related to any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that contributed to the sexual abuse or retaliation. Staff stated they would report the information immediately to their supervisor.

115.61 (b): The PAQ indicated that apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated state or local services agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of

command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. The PREA Manual, page 32 further states that the information concerning the identity of the alleged offender victim and the specific facts of the case shall be limited to staff who need to know because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and the investigation of the incident. This is important to not only preserve the victim's privacy but to preserve maximum flexibility to investigate the allegation. Interviews with thirteen staff confirmed that policy requires that they report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, any retaliation related to reporting sexual abuse and/or information related to any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that contributed to the sexual abuse or retaliation. Staff stated they would report the information immediately to their supervisor.

115.61 (c): Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that at the initiation of services with an inmate they disclose limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report. Both staff stated they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information related an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Neither of the staff members stated they ever became aware of such information (as the first person being reported to). A review of documentation indicated eight were reported verbally to staff and one was reported via a grievance. None were reported to medical or mental health care staff.

115.61 (d): The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that while State law (730 ILCS 5/5-8-6) specifically prohibits anyone under the age of eighteen to be confined to the Illinois Department of Corrections; if an allegation was made regarding a youth (such as a minor child visiting an individual in custody housed at the facility), the Illinois State Police and/or the Department of Children & Family Services, as appropriate, would be contacted to notify the agency of the allegation so they may properly investigate. For allegations made by a vulnerable adult in custody, the agency would ensure access to mental health is available for immediate assessment (per our PREA protocol) as well as long-term services. Additionally, access to community confidential support services would be available and offered. The Warden stated any reports of sexual abuse by youthful inmates or vulnerable adults would require them to call Springfield and the State Police. She further stated they may need to call Department of Human Services.

115.61 (e): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any verbal report or observance of sexual activity shall be treated as possible sexual abuse. Any report or observance of sexual abuse or harassment shall be documented on an Incident Report, DOC 0434, and reported to the facility PCM in accordance with Paragraph II.G.6. All reports shall be

	<p>investigated accordingly. Page 10 (Paragraph II.G.6) states that any alleged sexual abuse or harassment shall be reported through chain of command as an unusual incident in accordance with 01.12.105. All staff who observe the alleged abuse or harassment or to whom the initial report was made shall complete a DOC 0434 and may be required to be interviewed by an investigator or other staff designated by the Chief Administrative Officer prior to leaving the facility at the end of their shift. The interview with the Warden confirmed that all allegations are reported to the designated facility investigators. A review of documentation indicated eight were reported verbally to staff and one was reported via a grievance. All nine, including the eight verbal reports were documented in an incident report from the staff receiving the allegation.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 01.12.105, the PREA Manual, investigative reports and information from interviews with random staff, medical and mental health care staff, the PREA Coordinator and the Warden indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 4. Incident Reports 5. Investigative Reports <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency Head 2. Interview with the Warden 3. Interviews with Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.62 (a): The PAQ indicated that when the agency or facility learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the inmate (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay). 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The PREA Manual, page 33 states that in cases where the alleged perpetrator is another offender, the Shift Supervisor shall be notified immediately. The Shift Supervisor shall ensure appropriate and immediate safeguards to protect the offender are taken. Depending on the severity, safeguards may include monitoring the situation, changing housing assignments, changing work assignments, placing the alleged victim and perpetrator in Special Housing, etc. The PREA Manual further states that if the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, all options for safeguarding the offender shall be considered as described above. Options may include reassignment to another unit or post, or other measures that will effectively separate the staff member from the offender. The PAQ stated that there were zero determinations made in the past twelve months that an inmate was at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. A review of incident reports confirmed there were zero inmates deemed at imminent risk of sexual abuse. A review of the investigative reports indicated six were sexual harassment and while not deemed imminent risk of sexual abuse, the alleged perpetrators were moved from the housing unit and an investigation was initiated. The Agency Head stated that the agency has many actions, including removing the individual from harm's way, removing the perpetrator and placing the staff member on administrative leave. He further stated that the risk would be investigated and the individual would be provided medical and mental health services. The interview with the Warden indicated if an individual in custody was at imminent risk of sexual abuse they would remove that individual and take her to health care. She stated they always start with healthcare just to make sure there wasn't any sexual abuse. She stated they would have IA investigate the issue and may move the other person from the housing unit. Interviews with random staff indicated almost all would contact their supervisor to determine action that needs to be taken.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, PREA Manual and information from interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and random staff indicates that this standard appears to require corrective action. Interviews with random staff indicated almost all would contact their supervisor to determine action that needs to be taken.

Corrective Action

	<p>The facility will need to train staff on actions to take when an inmate is deemed at imminent risk of sexual abuse. While, contacting a supervisor is necessary, staff should be aware of actions to take as well. A copy of the training will need to be provided to the auditor.</p> <p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff Training <p>The facility provided a memo that was read for five consecutive days during roll call related to actions to take when an inmate is deemed at imminent risk for sexual abuse. The memo outlined first responder duties, including separating the individual and reporting the information to a supervisor. The PC advised that these duties would be the same if the inmate reported sexual abuse or they were deemed at imminent risk. He further stated security staff would not be involved in housing changes, rather after the information was reported, it would be relayed to supervisors who would work with appropriate staff on housing changes or facility transfers. Staff signatures accompanied the memo confirming staff received and understood the information.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
4. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.63 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. The PAQ stated there were nine allegations received that an inmate was abused while confined at another facility. The PAQ indicated the response to the allegation included notification of the facility and offering the victim access to medical, mental health and outside support services. The auditor requested documentation related to the nine Warden to Warden notifications, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation had not yet been received.

115.63 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that the facility head provide such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. The auditor requested documentation related to the nine Warden to Warden

notifications, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation had not yet been received.

115.63 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. 04.01.301, page 9 states that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurring while an offender was housed within a different jurisdiction, such as a municipal lockup, county jail, or correctional center in another state, shall be documented on a DOC 0434 and reported to the Chief Administrative Officer of the facility that received the allegation the allegation to the Chief Administrative Officer of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours. The auditor requested documentation related to the nine Warden to Warden notifications, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation had not yet been received.

115.63 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility policy requires that allegations received from other facilities and agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. 04.01.301, page 9 states reports of sexual abuse or harassment occurring while an individual in custody was housed at a different facility shall be reported to the CAO of the facility where the incident occurred as soon as possible, but not later than 72 hours after the initial report was received. The CAO that receives such notification shall ensure the allegation is investigated in accordance with the procedures herein. The PREA Manual, pages 33-34 state that in cases where there is an allegation that sexual abuse occurred at another Department facility, the Chief Administrative Officer of the victim's current facility shall report the allegation to the Chief Administrative of the identified facility. In cases alleging sexual abuse by staff at another facility, the Chief Administrative Officer of the offender's current facility shall refer the matter directly to Internal Affairs. The PAQ stated there were zero allegations reported to the facility from another facility in the previous twelve months. A review of documentation indicated there were zero allegations received through a Warden to Warden notification during the previous twelve months. The Agency Head stated that when notified by another agency of an allegation within an IDOC facility, the point of contact is the PC. He stated the PC would then forward it to the appropriate facility to investigate. The Agency Head indicated that the agency/facility would reach out to the other agency to obtain any follow-up information. He confirmed that they had a recent example from South Dakota and that it was forwarded from the PC to the facility for investigation. The interview with the Warden indicated if they received an allegation from another agency/facility that an individual in custody alleged they were sexually abused while housed at Decatur they would start an investigation into the information. The Warden stated they had not received any notifications from other agencies/facilities over the previous twelve months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, investigative reports, Warden to Warden notifications and interviews with the Agency Head and Warden,

this standard appears to require corrective action. The auditor requested documentation related to the nine Warden to Warden notifications, however at the issuance of the interim report the documentation had not yet been received.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation. If not available, the facility will need to train staff on the requirements under this standard. A copy of the training will need to be provided. All nine allegations will need to be forwarded to the appropriate agency, confirmation on the notification will need to be provided. Further, the facility will need to provide all allegations and Warden to Warden notification during the corrective action period.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. Memorandum From Warden

The facility provided a memo from the Warden indicating the information entered into the PAQ was in error and they did not have any inmates report sexual abuse that occurred at another agency/facility.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Checklist
4. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interview with First Responders
2. Interviews with Random Staff
3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.64 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse and that the policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report to separate the alleged victim and abuser. It further states that the policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report to preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence and if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report request that the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged perpetrator not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The victim shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and be evaluated by mental health or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Policy further states that staff responding to any allegation of sexual abuse shall take steps to ensure preservation of the area in which the alleged abuse occurred, including requesting the alleged victim and abuser not to take any action that may destroy physical evidence, including changing clothes, bathing, brushing teeth, urinating, defecating drinking or eating, etc. The PREA Checklist also provides staff with a checklist of duties to ensure is completed post sexual abuse allegation. The PREA Checklist includes the required first responder

duties. The PAQ stated there were three allegations of sexual abuse in the previous twelve months and two involved the immediate separation of the alleged victim and abuser. Two occurred within a time period to collect physical evidence and both of the allegations involved the collection of physical evidence by securing of the crime scene. Both involved requesting the victim and ensuring the abuser not take any action to destroy any evidence. The interview with the security first responder indicated that if there was an incident of sexual abuse she would separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator; instruct them not to shower, urinate, eat, etc.; escort the victim to medical; place the alleged perpetrator in a holding area; report to the PREA investigator and Warden; secure the crime scene; secure any witnesses; notify on duty medical and offer the victim a victim advocate. The non-security first responder stated she would first separate the two individuals and then notify the Shift Commander. She stated she would make sure the person was safe and she would also write an incident report. Interviews with three inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were reported verbally to staff. Staff took them to either medical or Internal Affairs and the alleged perpetrator was moved to another housing unit. A review of nine investigations indicated three were sexual abuse. None involved any first responder duties, however all three involved the alleged perpetrator being moved to a different housing unit.

115.64 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence. It further indicated that agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to notify security staff. 04.01.301, page 8 states that a member of the security staff shall be promptly notified if the staff responding is other than security staff. The PREA Checklist also provides staff with a checklist of duties to ensure is completed post sexual abuse allegation. The PREA Checklist includes the required first responder duties. The PAQ stated there was one allegation of sexual abuse that involved a non-security staff first responder. The interview with the security first responder indicated that if there was an incident of sexual abuse she would separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator; instruct them not to shower, urinate, eat, etc.; escort the victim to medical; place the alleged perpetrator in a holding area; report to the PREA investigator and Warden; secure the crime scene; secure any witnesses; notify on duty medical and offer the victim a victim advocate. The non-security first responder stated she would first separate the two individuals and then notify the Shift Commander. She stated she would make sure the person was safe and she would also write an incident report. Interviews with three inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were reported verbally to staff. Staff took them to either medical or Internal Affairs and the alleged perpetrator was moved to another housing unit. Interviews with random staff confirmed that most were very knowledgeable on first responder duties and had a card that they referred to that listed first responder duties step by step. A review of nine investigations indicated three were sexual abuse. None involved any first responder duties, however all three involved the alleged

	<p>perpetrator being moved to a different housing unit. None involved any non-security first responders.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, the PREA Checklist and interviews with random staff and first responders, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.65	Coordinated response
---------------	-----------------------------

	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Decatur Correctional Center Institutional Directive (ID) 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Warden <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.65 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. ID 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be immediately provided protection from the alleged abuser and the incident shall be investigated. The victim shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5. The decision to collect evidence shall be made on a case-by-case basis in accordance with standard investigative procedures. The victim will be evaluated by mental health or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Policy further states that staff responding to any allegation of sexual abuse shall take steps to ensure preservation of the area in</p>
--	--

	<p>which the alleged abuse occurred, including requesting the alleged victim and abuser not to take any action that. may destroy physical evidence, including changing clothes, bathing, brushing teeth, urinating, defecating drinking or eating, etc. Pages 10-11 describe medical and mental health treatment for victims of sexual abuse, pages 11-12 describes the investigative process for allegations of sexual abuse and pages 3-8 and 12-14 describe the facility leaderships responsibilities. The Warden confirmed that the facility has an institutional plan that coordinates actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership. She stated they have the PREA Checklist that outlines everyone’s duties.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, ID 04.01.301 and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Collective Bargaining Agreements <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency Head <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.66 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency, facility, or any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf has entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. A review of a sample of the agency’s collective bargaining agreements confirm that those reviewed allowed for the removal of the alleged staff abuser. Most of the agreements indicated that a written reason for the removal, discipline or termination should be provided to the</p>

	<p>union. The interview with the Agency Head confirmed that the agency has entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreements or other agreements since August 20, 2012. He stated that depending on the severity, the agreements allow staff to be removed from contact and/or placed on administrative leave.</p> <p>115.66 (b): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, a sample of collective bargaining agreements and the interview with the Agency Head, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. PREA Retaliation Monitor - Staff (DOC 0499) 4. PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender (DOC 0498) 5. Investigative Reports <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Agency Head 2. Interview with the Warden 3. Interview with Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation 4. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.67 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff. 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Policy further states that the Department shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The PAQ indicated that the agency designates staff members charged with monitoring for retaliation.

115.67 (b): 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. Staff conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Staff, DOC 0499. The review shall include, but not be limited to, negative performance reviews and reassignments. A review of investigative reports indicated that there were no reported allegations of retaliation nor any reported fear of retaliation. In seven investigative reports, the perpetrator was documented with being moved to a different housing unit as the victim. Interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that protective measures would be taken if an inmate or staff member expressed fear of retaliation. The Agency Head stated that the agency has options to protect individual, including transferring an individual to another facility, removing the abuser from contact with the individual and moving staff to another post or facility. The interview with the Warden indicated they monitor for retaliation by tracking and speaking to the individual. She stated protective measures would include separating the individuals through housing changes and/or facility transfers. She further confirmed they can remove staff abusers and they can offer emotional support services. The interview with the staff responsible for monitoring for retaliation indicated that it is her job to follow-up with the individual who reports the sexual abuse within 24 hours. She stated she meets with them privately and she has a paper that she asks about concerns related to housing and programming and if they are having any issues with staff. She stated she also asks them if there have been any changes since the reported sexual abuse. Additionally, she stated she asks them if they were offered additional services, such as medical and mental health. The staff responsible for monitoring indicated protective measures can be taken to prevent retaliation including housing unit changes, removal of contact with staff abusers and change of work or program assignments. She further confirmed they could transfer facilities. The staff stated she

conducts periodic status checks during the monitoring period every 30 days. Interviews with three inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that all three felt safe at the facility and one felt protected against retaliation. One inmate stated she did not feel protected because she gets bullied and the other stated she did not feel protected because staff advised her that they would get her. The auditor could not verify any information related to the second inmate. There were no inmates in segregated housing for risk of victimization or for reporting sexual abuse and as such no interviews were conducted.

115.67 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility monitors the conduct or treatment of inmates or staff who reported sexual abuse and of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff. The PAQ stated that monitoring is completed for a minimum of 90 days. The PAQ further stated that the agency/facility acts promptly to remedy any relation and that the agency/facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. Policy further states that the Department shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The PAQ noted there were zero incidents of retaliation reported in the previous twelve months. The interview with the Warden indicated that if retaliation is suspected or reported they would move whoever was retaliating (individual in custody or staff), to protect the individual and they would initiate an investigation. The interview with the staff responsible for monitoring for retaliation indicated that she has a paper that she completes where she asks the individual about concerns related to housing and programming. She stated she also asks about any issues with staff and about any changes that were made since the reported abuse. She confirmed she asks about job changes, program changes and housing changes. She stated she also asks if they were offered additional services, such as medical and mental health. The staff indicated she monitors for 90 days and that if they suspected retaliation she would monitor a minimum of six months. A review of nine investigative reports indicated three required monitoring. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not yet provided the requested documentation.

115.67 (d): 04.01.301, pages 11-12 state that for a minimum of 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or harassment, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of

offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to observe if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. Offender conduct and treatment shall be documented on the PREA Retaliation Monitor - Offender, DOC 0498. The review shall include, but not be limited to, disciplinary reports, housing or programming changes and facility transfers and include periodic status checks to ensure he or she displays no changes that may suggest retaliation. The staff member responsible for monitoring stated she conducts periodic status checks during the monitoring period every 30 days. A review of nine investigative reports indicated three required monitoring. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not yet provided the requested documentation.

115.67 (e): 04.01.301, page 12 states that if any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, the Department shall take appropriate measures to protect the individuals against retaliation. The Agency Head stated that the same protective measures would be offered to those who cooperate with an investigation or express fear for retaliation. The interview with the Warden indicated they monitor for retaliation by tracking and speaking to the individual. She stated protective measures would include separating the individuals through housing changes and/or facility transfers. She further confirmed they can remove staff abusers and they can offer emotional support services. She further indicated that if retaliation is suspected or reported they would move whoever was retaliating (individual in custody or staff), to protect the individual and they would initiate an investigation.

115.67 (f): Auditor not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, DOC 0498 and interviews with the Agency Head, Warden and staff charged with monitoring for retaliation, this standard appears require corrective action. A review of nine investigative reports indicated three required monitoring. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not yet provided the requested documentation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation. If it does not exist, the facility will need to ensure that monitoring for retaliation is completed as required under this standard. The facility will need to provide a list of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations during the corrective action plan and all associated monitoring for retaliation documents.

	<p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During the Corrective Action Period 2. Monitoring for Retaliation Documents <p>The facility provided the originally requested documentation. Two of the three sexual abuse allegations had monitoring for retaliation completed.</p> <p>A list of sexual abuse allegations reported during the corrective action period and associated monitoring for retaliation documents were provided. There was one sexual abuse allegation and four sexual harassment allegations reported during the corrective action period. All five had monitoring for retaliation completed. All retaliation included in-person status checks and a review of discipline, housing and programming/job changes.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Administrative Directive 05.15.100 Restrictive Housing

4. Inmate Victim Housing Assignments

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden

2. Interview with Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of the Segregated Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.68 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ further indicated that if an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility affords each such inmate a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. The PAQ noted there were zero inmates who alleged sexual abuse were involuntarily segregated for zero to 24 hours or longer than 30 day. 04.01.301, page 7 states that an offender identified as vulnerable shall not be housed in a segregated status for the sole purpose of providing protective custody unless no other means of separation can be arranged. The placement shall require the approval of the Deputy Director or Agency PREA Coordinator (no designee) and shall only continue until an alternative means of separation can be provided and such placement in segregation shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Page 9 further states that any offender who alleges sexual abuse shall have their housing needs reviewed to determine appropriate placement. If the offender is transferred to another facility, the PCM of the sending facility shall promptly notify the PCM of the receiving facility of the alleged sexual abuse or harassment to ensure the offender receives proper follow-up services. Additionally, 05.15.100, page 6 states that a Restrictive Housing Review Committee (RHRC) shall be established at each facility with restrictive housing. The committee shall review the status of each individual in custody's placement into restrictive housing every seven days for the first 60 days and at least every 30 days thereafter, unless more frequently if clinically indicated. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated two victims remained in the same housing unit as when reported and one was moved to a different general

	<p>population housing unit. Additionally, five of the sexual harassment victims remained in the same housing unit as when reported and one was moved to the medical unit after the report. During the tour the auditor observed that the segregated housing unit contained cells, a shower and separate outdoor recreation area. Out of cell time is daily through showers and recreation. Inmates in segregated housing do not have access to the phone. Grievances are requested through staff and are turned into a locked box in the unit. The interview with the Warden confirmed that agency policy prohibits placing inmates who report sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and it is determined that there are no alternative means of separation from likely abusers. She confirmed that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation from likely abuser(s) could be arranged. She further stated they have never had to involuntarily segregate someone, but if they did it would be less than 24 hours until they could find alternative housing. The interview with the staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing confirmed that inmates who report sexual abuse who are involuntary segregated would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff member stated if they did restrict anything the restrictions would be documented, including the duration of the restriction. The staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until they could find an alternative means of separation. She stated they have never had to involuntarily segregate someone, but if they did it would be less than 24 hours until they could find alternative housing. She further confirmed that inmates in involuntary segregated housing would be reviewed at least every 30 days to review if there was a continued need for the inmate to remain in segregated housing. There were no inmates identified to be in segregated housing due to an allegation of sexual abuse and as such no interviews were conducted.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 05.15.100, housing documentation for inmates who reported sexual abuse and the interviews with the Warden and staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Administrative Directive 01.12.120 Investigations of Unusual Incidents
4. Administrative Directive 01.12.101 Employee Criminal Misconduct
5. Administrative Directive 01.12.112 Preservation of Physical Evidence
6. Administrative Directive 01.12.125 Uniform Investigative Reporting System
7. Administrative Directive 01.12.115 Institutional Investigative Assignment
8. Memorandum of Understanding with the Illinois State Police/Office of Executive Inspector General
9. Investigative Reports
10. Investigator Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interview with Investigative Staff
2. Interview with the Warden
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
5. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.71 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations. 04.01.301, page 10 states that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment shall be investigated by trained investigators in accordance with 01.12.120. The initial investigative report shall be provided to the Chief Administrative Officer within 24 hours of the onset of the investigation. Policy further states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. 01.12.120, page 1 states the CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident reported, if it is determined that further facts are required. Page 2 states that the facility investigation shall include, but not be limited to: obtaining statements from all involved individuals; obtaining statements from all known and any possible witnesses; securing and preserving all weapons; securing and preserving any other evidence; determining if all policies and procedures were followed; determining the quality of

offender and staff supervision; conferring with local State Attorney to determine if criminal prosecution is warranted and referring individuals to the prosecuting authority for criminal prosecution, when warranted. 01.12.101, 01.12.105, 01.12.115, 01.12.112 and 01.12.125 all outline different elements to the investigative process for the agency. The interview with the facility investigator indicated that the investigation is required to be initiated with 48 hours and he has never missed that deadline. The agency investigator stated that investigations are initiated immediately after the allegation is received. Both investigators confirmed that third party and anonymous reports are investigated the same as first person reports. A review of documentation indicated there were nine allegations reported during the previous twelve months, three were sexual abuse and six were sexual harassment. Two of the allegations were reported after the facility submitted the PAQ. All nine allegations were referred for an administrative investigation. At the time of the on-site portion of the audit, all nine allegations had a completed administrative investigation. All nine were timely, thorough and objective. All nine involved interviews with the alleged victim, perpetrator and witnesses, when applicable. One of the nine involved collection of some type of evidence (i.e. work history, disciplinary reports, etc.).

115.71 (b): 01.12.115, page 2 states that the CAO shall ensure that each individual appointed as an investigator be registered for the next available investigator training program within ten days of temporary or permanent assignment as an investigator. Training topics include but are not limited to: investigative techniques, including interviewing sexual abuse victims; crime scene preservation; collection and preservation of evidence, including sexual abuse evidence collection in a confinement setting; proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral; and investigative reporting. The agency utilizes their own training for this standard; PREA for Investigators. A review of the training curriculum confirmed slides 67-118 include the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. The interviews with the investigators confirmed that the specialized investigator training included the topics required under this provision: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative case. A review of documentation indicated that four facility/agency staff were documented with the specialized investigations training. A review of nine investigations revealed they were completed by two investigators. One investigator was documented with the training and was the investigators that completed all three sexual abuse investigations. The staff who the auditor did not have records for completed two sexual harassment investigations.

115.71 (c): 04.01.301, page 10 states that for reports of sexual abuse, the crime scene shall always be protected and investigators shall collect and tag evidence from

the scene in accordance with established procedures. Evidence collected shall be submitted to the State Police within ten business days of receipt. 01.12.120, page 1 states the CAO shall ensure that an internal investigation is conducted by facility staff, or by staff assigned by the Chief of Investigations and Intelligence, on each unusual incident reported, if it is determined that further facts are required. Page 2 states that the facility investigation shall include, but not be limited to: obtaining statements from all involved individuals; obtaining statements from all known and any possible witnesses; securing and preserving all weapons; securing and preserving any other evidence; determining if all policies and procedures were followed; determining the quality of offender and staff supervision; conferring with local State Attorney to determine if criminal prosecution is warranted and referring individuals to the prosecuting authority for criminal prosecution, when warranted. 01.12.101, 01.12.105, 01.12.115, 01.12.112 and 01.12.125 all outline different elements to the investigative process for the agency. The interview with the facility investigator indicated his first steps would be to get a statement from the victim and determine if there were any witnesses. He indicated the rest of his investigative process would include interviewing any identified witnesses and interview the perpetrator. The investigator confirmed he would also collect any evidence before he conducted interviews of witnesses and the perpetrator. Further, the facility investigator stated he would be responsible for collecting evidence such as, movement sheets, video, statements and physical evidence. He confirmed he would review prior complaints as well. The agency investigator stated the initial investigative step would be to gather and preserve any evidence. He further stated he would remain objective during the investigation and base credibility on a case-by-case basis. He stated he would conduct a complete and thorough investigation. This is done through gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including physical. DNA and any available video monitoring data. He confirmed he would interview the alleged victims, suspected perpetrators and any witnesses and he would review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the perpetrator. A review of documentation indicated there were nine allegations reported during the previous twelve months, three were sexual abuse and six were sexual harassment. Two of the allegations were reported after the facility submitted the PAQ. All nine allegations were referred for an administrative investigation. At the time of the on-site portion of the audit, all nine allegations had a completed administrative investigation. All nine were timely, thorough and objective. All nine involved interviews with the alleged victim, perpetrator and witnesses, when applicable. One of the nine involved collection of some type of evidence (i.e. work history, disciplinary reports, etc.).

115.71 (d): The interview with the facility investigator indicated that they initiate the interview process and anything that involves compelled interviews would be directed to the PC and legal. The agency investigator confirmed that before they conduct any compelled interviews they would consult with prosecutors. A review of investigative reports confirmed none involved compelled interviews.

115.71 (e): 04.01.301, page 10 states that alleged victims of sexual abuse shall not be required to submit to truth telling verification examinations such as voice stress analysis or polygraph exam as part of or as a condition of the investigation. The interviews with the investigators confirmed that the agency does not require inmate victims of sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph tests or any other truth-telling devices in order to proceed with an investigation. Further the facility investigator stated that credibility is based on evidence, including witnesses and video monitoring technology. The agency investigator stated that credibility is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by an person's status. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse confirmed none of the three were required to take a polygraph or truth telling device test in order to proceed with the investigation.

115.71 (f): 01.12.120, page 3 states that the supervisor of the internal investigation team shall submit an initial report, verbal or written, to the CAO within 48 hours of the incident and shall submit a final written report utilizing the Report of Investigation, DOC 0262, within ten working day from the conclusion of the investigation. The interview with the facility investigator indicated he documents administrative investigations in a written report and the report includes interviews, evidence collected, findings and the PREA checklist. The facility investigator stated that during his investigation he reviews to determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the sexual abuse and if he finds staff misconduct it gets referred for administrative discipline. The agency investigator further confirmed that they would review logbooks, video and interview all parties to determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. A review of the nine allegations indicated all nine were documented in a written report with information related to the initial allegation, a description of statements/interviews with the alleged victim, perpetrator(s) and/or witnesses, if applicable, whether video was reviewed and investigatory facts and findings.

115.71 (g): 01.12.120, page 3 states that the supervisor of the internal investigation team shall submit an initial report, verbal or written, to the CAO within 48 hours of the incident and shall submit a final written report utilizing the Report of Investigation, DOC 0262, within ten working day from the conclusion of the investigation. The interview with the agency investigator confirmed that the criminal investigation would be documented on the DOC 0262 (Report of Investigation). The report would contain a thorough description of physical, interview and documentary evidence. He stated it would also include all attachments such as: statements, video, audio, physical, etc. The facility investigator stated that criminal investigations would be documented in a written report and include similar elements to an administrative report, except they would also include Miranda and Garrity warnings. There were zero criminal investigations during the audit period.

115.71 (h): The PAQ indicated that substantiated allegations of conduct that appear

to be criminal are referred for prosecution. 04.01.301, page 11 states that upon conclusion of the investigation, if applicable, the case shall be reviewed with the appropriate State's Attorney for possible referral for prosecution. The PAQ noted there were zero allegations referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. The interview with the agency investigator indicated that all criminal investigations are typically referred for prosecution, especially those that are substantiated. The facility investigator stated he had never had any that were referred for prosecution but they would be referred once an investigation was finalized. A review of documentation confirmed there were zero criminal investigations completed and as such none were referred for prosecution.

115.71 (i): The PAQ indicated that the agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. A review of a sample of historic investigations confirmed retention is being met.

115.71 (j): The interview with the facility investigator confirmed that if a staff member terminates employment or an inmate departs the facility the investigation would continue. The interview with the agency investigator confirmed that an individual in custody being released or an employee separating from the agency has no bearing on the investigation and it would proceed accordingly.

115.71 (k): The auditor is not required to audit this standard.

115.71 (l): 04.01.301 states that upon conclusion of the investigation the results shall be forwarded to the Chief of Operations who shall report the incident to the Illinois State Police, where appropriate. Additionally, the MOU with the Illinois State Police (signed in 2019) indicates that they conduct investigations related to sexual assault involving staff on staff or staff on inmate. The PREA Coordinator stated that cases which are referred to the Illinois State Police are assigned an external investigator from IDOC's Office of Investigations & Intelligence. The IDOC external investigator would ensure updates are provided to the facility and PREA Coordinator, as appropriate. The Warden stated that the facility remains informed of the progress of outside investigations through communication with the State Police. She further indicated that Springfield investigators would also be involved and keep the facility updated. The interview with the PCM indicated when an outside agency investigates the facility remains informed of the progress through the Internal Affairs Lieutenant. The interview with the facility investigator indicated when an outside agency investigates he plays a huge role by assisting them with retrieving evidence, setting up interviews and preserving evidence. The agency investigator stated that he fully

	<p>cooperates with the outside investigator and stays informed of the progress of their investigation.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.310, 01.12.101, 01.12.112, 01.12.115, 01.12.120, 01.12.125, the MOU with the State Police, investigative reports, investigative training records and information from interviews with the Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and investigators, indicate this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) for Investigators Training Curriculum 4. Investigative Reports <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with Investigative Staff <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.72 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the Department shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. Additionally, the PREA for Investigators Training Curriculum includes information on the elements to substantiate an investigation (preponderance of evidence). The interview with the agency investigator confirmed that administrative investigations require no more than a preponderance of evidence to</p>

substantiate. The facility investigator indicated they would need direct evidence, such as camera footage or they would need a lot of witnesses or someone admitting they did something wrong. A review of the nine investigations indicated that three were sexual abuse. Two were deemed unsubstantiated and one was substantiated. A review of the investigative reports indicated that all findings were appropriate based on the evidence and the allegation that was substantiated utilized a preponderance of the evidence.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, PREA Investigators Training Curriculum, investigative reports and information from the interviews with the investigators, it is determined that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p>
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p>
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 4. Investigative Reports 5. Victim Notification Memorandums <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the Warden 2. Interview with Investigative Staff 3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse <p>Findings (By Provision):</p>

115.73 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy requiring that any inmate who makes an allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were seven completed sexual abuse investigations in the previous twelve months and all seven had a verbal or written victim notification. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated two had a victim notification. It should be noted that five of the six sexual harassment allegations had a victim notification documented. The interviews with the Warden and the investigators confirm that inmates are informed of the outcome of the investigation into their allegation. The facility investigator advised that they provide the individual in custody a findings letter. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were aware that they were to be notified of the outcome of their investigation. All three stated they were notified of the outcome, one verbally and two in writing, anywhere from a few weeks to a few months after the report.

115.73 (b): The PAQ indicate that if an outside entity conducts such investigations, the agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the inmate of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were zero investigations completed by an outside agency in the previous twelve months. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. A review of investigations confirmed that none were completed by an outside agency (State Police) and as such no notifications under this provision were required.

115.73 (c): The PAQ indicated following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the agency/facility subsequently informs the inmate (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that there has not been a substantiated or unsubstantiated complaint (i.e., not unfounded) of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against an inmate in an agency facility in the past 12 months. The PREA Manual, page 40 states that following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the agency shall subsequently inform the inmate (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on

a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PREA Manual further states that an assessment shall be completed to determine if actions described above are warranted in accordance with section 115.65. The actions may not be appropriate in all cases. Offenders shall be notified only if there is a link between the listed actions in this section and an incident of sexual abuse. The timing of such notifications shall not interfere with any pending criminal or administrative investigations. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none were against a staff member and as such no notification were required under this provision. A review of nine investigations indicated two were staff-on-inmate allegations. Both were sexual harassment and neither were substantiated or required notification under this provision.

115.73 (d): The PAQ indicated following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PREA Manual, page 40 states that following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, the agency shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that all three were inmate-on-inmate allegations. None of the three advised they were informed of any information under this provision. A review of nine investigative reports indicated seven were inmate-on-inmate allegations, three of which were sexual abuse. One sexual abuse allegation was substantiated, however it did not involve criminal behavior and as such no notifications under this provisions were required.

115.73 (e): The PAQ indicated the agency has a policy that all notifications to inmates described under this standard are documented. 04.01.301, page 10 states that the alleged victim will be notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were seven notifications made pursuant to this standard. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated two had a victim notification. It should be noted that five of the six sexual harassment allegations had a victim notification documented.

115.73 (f): This provision is not required to be audited.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, investigative reports,

victim notification memos and information from interviews with the Warden and the investigator indicate that this standard requires corrective action. A review of three sexual abuse investigations indicated two had a victim notification. It should be noted that five of the six sexual harassment allegations had a victim notification documented.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested victim notification. If it does not exist, the facility will need to ensure that notifications are completed as required under this standard. The facility will need to provide a list of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations during the corrective action plan and all associated victim notifications.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During the Corrective Action Period
2. Victim Notifications

The facility provided the one victim notification that was originally requested.

The facility provided the list of sexual abuse allegations during the corrective action period (one) and the associated victim notification.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Administrative Directive 03.01.120 Employee Review Hearing
4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual)
5. Investigative Reports

Findings (By Provision):

115.76 (a): The PAQ indicated that staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. 04.01.301, page 11 states that all terminations for violating the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. The PREA Manual, page 41 states that staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative reports indicated there were two staff-on-inmate allegations (both sexual harassment) and neither were substantiated.

115.76 (b): The PREA Manual, page 41 states termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. It further states that administrative discipline shall be conducted using the Employee Review Hearing Process and the collective bargaining agreement. Any decision made on the proposal shall be in accordance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The PAQ indicated there were zero staff members who violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies in the previous twelve months and zero staff members who was terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative reports indicated there were two staff-on-inmate allegations (both sexual harassment) and neither were substantiated.

115.76 (c): The PAQ indicated that the disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. The PAQ indicated there were zero staff that were disciplined short of termination for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. The PREA Manual, page 41 states that disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policy relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. 03.01.120 further describes the employee disciplinary review process. A review of investigative reports indicated there were two staff-on-inmate allegations (both sexual harassment) and neither were substantiated.

115.76 (d): The PAQ indicated that all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to any relevant licensing bodies. 04.01.301, page 11 states that all terminations for violating the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated there were no staff members who were reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual or sexual harassment policies. A review of investigative reports indicated there were two staff-on-inmate allegations (both sexual harassment) and neither were substantiated.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 03.01.120, the PREA Manual and investigative reports, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention

and Intervention Program

3. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.77 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to relevant licensing bodies and that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with inmates. 04.01.301, page 11 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated that there have been no contractors or volunteers who violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months and as such none were reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies. A review of investigative reports confirmed there were zero contractors or volunteers who violated the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

115.77 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. 04.01.301, page 11 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The interview with the Warden indicated that if a volunteer or contractor violated the sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment policies they would initiate an investigation and not allow the volunteer or contractor back into the facility until it is over. She stated if the investigation is substantiated they would refer it to the State Attorney and not allow the individual back into the facility.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	<p data-bbox="256 188 959 221">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="256 266 544 300">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="256 344 427 378">Documents:</p> <ol data-bbox="256 412 1422 893" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="256 412 667 445">1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire <li data-bbox="256 479 1422 557">2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program <li data-bbox="256 591 823 624">3. Illinois Administrative Code 20.504 <li data-bbox="256 658 1374 736">4. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) <li data-bbox="256 770 632 804">5. Investigative Reports <li data-bbox="256 837 667 871">6. Disciplinary Documents <p data-bbox="256 994 416 1028">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="256 1061 1007 1173" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="256 1061 703 1095">1. Interview with the Warden <li data-bbox="256 1128 1007 1162">2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff <p data-bbox="256 1285 587 1319">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="256 1420 1477 2002">115.78 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding and/or a criminal finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. 04.01.301, page 10 states that upon conclusion of the investigation disciplinary reports shall be completed, served and processed, where warranted. 20.504, page 2 states that no offender shall be found guilty of any violation without a hearing before the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit. 20.504 further describes the formal disciplinary process required. The PAQ stated there were three administrative finding of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse and zero criminal findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. Further communication with the PC indicated there were three inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegations, none were substantiated. A review of investigative reports confirmed there was one substantiated inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation. A review of the disciplinary documents confirmed the perpetrator was given a disciplinary report and received segregated housing time as a sanction.</p>

115.78 (b): 20.507, pages 2-3 stated that in determining the appropriate sanctions, the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit, the CAO and the Director shall consider, among other matters, mitigating or aggravating factors including; the offenders age, medical and mental health state, if the offender was determined to be mentally ill, the extent and degree of participation in the commission of the offense and the offender's prior disciplinary record. A review of investigative reports confirmed there was one substantiated inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation. A review of the disciplinary documents confirmed the perpetrator was given a disciplinary report and received segregated housing time as a sanction. The Warden confirmed that if an inmate perpetrator is found to have violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies she would be sanctioned for sexual assault, be referred to the State Attorney and have disciplinary charges. She stated they have a disciplinary process that would include loss of privileges, grade level changes and segregated housing time. The Warden confirmed that sanctions are consistent in the disciplinary process and that sanctions would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories.

115.78 (c): 20.507, pages 2-3 stated that in determining the appropriate sanctions, the Adjustment Committee or Program Unit, the CAO and the Director shall consider, among other matters, mitigating or aggravating factors including; the offenders age, medical and mental health state, if the offender was determined to be mentally ill, the extent and degree of participation in the commission of the offense and the offender's prior disciplinary record. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the disciplinary process considers whether the inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

115.78 (d): The PAQ indicated the facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. It further stated the sex offender therapy is offered at Taylorville and Big Muddy River Correctional Centers. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they do not have specialized treatment, such as sex offender treatment, but they do provide regular mental health services to all individuals. The mental health staff member stated the facility does not house predators and if there was an incident of sexual abuse the perpetrator would be transferred back to Logan and would be provided services there. Both staff confirmed they do not require individuals to participate in any mental health services.

115.78 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency disciplines inmates for sexual conduct with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

	<p>115.78 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The PREA Manual, page 42 states that the maintenance of an effective sexual abuse prevention policy, and general secure and orderly running of a facility, requires that offenders be held responsible for manipulative behavior and intentionally making false allegations. Allegations of false reports shall be considered by staff in accordance with the procedures and standards found within Illinois Administrative Code 507, Administration of Discipline.</p> <p>115.78 (g): The PAQ indicated that the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates. It further indicated that if the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and disciplines inmates for such activity, the agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced. A review of investigative reports indicated none involved consensual sexual activity.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, 20.507, the PREA Manual, investigative reports and information from interviews with the Warden and medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	---

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Standard Operating Procedural (SOP) Manual for Mental Health 4. Screening for Potential Sexual Victimization or Sexual Abuse (DOC 0494) 5. Medical/Mental Health Documents <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Interviews with Inmates who Disclose Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
2. Observation of Inmate Medical and Classification Files

Findings (By Provision):

115.81 (a): The PAQ indicated that all inmates at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to §115.41 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner and the follow-up meeting was offered within fourteen days. The PAQ further indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services. 04.01.301, page 6 states that if it is determined that the offender was previously a victim of sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify medical and mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. The PAQ noted that 100% of those inmates who reported prior victimization were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are offered a follow-up with medical or mental health care staff within fourteen days. She stated they are offered the follow-up immediately and if they accept they get something scheduled within fourteen days. Interviews with three inmates who disclosed sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated all three were offered a follow-up with mental health. One stated she saw mental health within 24 hours, another stated she declined the services and a third stated she could not remember the timeframe. A review of documentation indicated there were twelve inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk assessment. Eight were documented with accepting a mental health follow-up, two declined a mental health follow-up and one was not documented with being offered a mental health follow-up. At the issuance of the interim report no documentation was provided related to the accepted mental health follow-ups.

115.81 (b): The PAQ indicated that all prison inmates who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to § 115.41, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner and the follow-up meeting was offered within fourteen days. The PAQ further indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting

compliance with the above required services. 04.01.301, page 7 states that if it is determined that the offender previously perpetrated sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. The PAQ noted that 100% of those inmates who reported prior perpetration were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are offered a follow-up with medical or mental health care staff within fourteen days. She stated they are offered the follow-up immediately and if they accept they get something scheduled within fourteen days. The facility provided a list of inmates who were identified with prior sexual abusiveness to the auditor. The auditor reviewed two of the inmate documents and viewed that neither had prior sexual abusiveness on the risk screening. Additionally, during documentation review, the auditor did not identify any inmates with prior sexual abusiveness identified on the risk screening.

115.81 (c): This provision is not applicable as the facility is not a jail.

115.81 (d): The PAQ indicated that information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is not strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners, however it stated that the information is only shared with staff to assist with security and management decisions. 04.01.301, page 5 states that access to information related to sexual abuse occurring in an correctional setting shall be treated as confidential and limited to staff directly related to the assessment, treatment, placement or investigations of the offender to the extent possible when ensuring the safety and security of the offender. During the tour the auditor observed that all inmate medical and mental health files were electronic. Access to medical and mental health records is through an electronic system that only medical and mental health staff have access. The records staff indicated that security staff do not have access to medical and mental health records and that they would have to request access through the Warden. Risk screening information is maintained in the electronic Offender 360 program. During the auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses on each inmate's risk assessment. Investigative files are paper and electronic. Only Internal Affairs (IA) staff have access to the investigations in the electronic database. Paper files are also maintained in IA's locked office.

15.81 (e): The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of eighteen. 04.01.301, page 5 states that informed consent shall be required before utilizing information regarding a sexual victimization that occurred outside of a correctional setting. The SOP Manual for Mental Health, page 46 clinicians should clearly specify any limits of confidentiality. Offender disclosures are considered to be confidential and privileged with the following exceptions: threats to physically harm

self-and/or others, threats to escape or otherwise disrupt or breach the security of the institution and information about an identifiable minor child or elderly/disabled person who has been the victim of physical or sexual abuse. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they obtain informed consent prior to reporting any sexual abuse that did not occur in an institutional setting. The mental health staff member also stated they partner with Growing Strong Sexual Assault Center to provide services for prior sexual victimization in the community. Both staff stated they do not house anyone under eighteen.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, SOP Manual for Mental Health, DOC 0494, medical and mental health documents, information from interviews with staff who perform the risk screening, medical and mental health care staff and inmates who disclosed victimization during the risk screening indicate that this standard requires corrective action. A review of documentation indicated there were twelve inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk assessment. Eight were documented with accepting a mental health follow-up, two declined a mental health follow-up and one was not documented with being offered a mental health follow-up. At the issuance of the interim report no documentation was provided related to the accepted mental health follow-ups. Risk screening information is maintained in the electronic Offender 360 program. During the audit an auditor had a security staff member pull up the electronic system to see what was able to be viewed. The staff was able to view the questions and the responses on each inmate's risk assessment. Investigative files are paper and electronic.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation. If documentation is not available, the facility will need to provide a list of inmates that arrived during the CAP and associated risk screening and mental health follow-ups, if applicable, for every tenth inmate on the list. Further the facility will need to provide a list of inmates that disclosed prior victimization during the risk screening (during the CAP) and associated mental health documentation. The agency will need to modify the access to the risk screening information and provide confirmation of the limited access.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

	<p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mental Health Documentation 2. Offender 360 Restricted Access Documentation <p>The facility provided the originally requested documentation. All inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization that accepted the mental health follow-up were provided services within fourteen days.</p> <p>The facility provided documentation indicating that the agency implemented security roles for the Offender 360 risk screening information. The agency restricted access to only a few security roles and all other staff are required to request access to the risk screening information through the agency PC. The PC also provided screenshots of different staff's views in Offender 360. The auditor confirmed the staff did not have access to the risk screening.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. Medical and Mental Health Documents <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff 2. Interviews with First Responders

3. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical and Mental Health Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.82 (a): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services and that the nature of scope of services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. The PAQ further indicates that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to a be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the. need for counseling services. During the tour, the auditor observed that health services included a reception area, exam rooms, treatment rooms and an emergency room. Exam rooms and treatment rooms provided privacy through doors with windows and curtains. The emergency room was a larger room that was being utilized for storage. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. Additionally, all six sexual harassment victims were provided medical and/or mental health services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that inmates receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Staff stated services would be provided immediately and the nature and scope of the services would be based on professional judgment. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were offered medical and/or mental health services.

115.82 (b): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to a be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the. need for counseling services. Page 9 (Paragraph II.G.5) further states that treatment shall be provided by a certified SAFE or SANE at a local emergency room

and that the medical examination provided by Department facilities shall include a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse. The interview with the security first responder indicated that if there was an incident of sexual abuse she would separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator; instruct them not to shower, urinate, eat, etc.; escort the victim to medical; place the alleged perpetrator in a holding area; report to the PREA investigator and Warden; secure the crime scene; secure any witnesses; notify on duty medical and offer the victim a victim advocate. The non-security first responder stated she would first separate the two individuals and then notify the Shift Commander. She stated she would make sure the person was safe and she would also write an incident report. Interviews with three inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated all three were reported verbally to staff. Staff took them to either medical or Internal Affairs and the alleged perpetrator was moved to another housing unit.

115.82 (c): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. 04.01.301, page 9 states that a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse shall include, but not be limited to: a blood test (RPR for Syphilis); culture smears for seminal fluid, Gonorrhea, Chlamydia and other Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) as appropriate; Hepatitis C antibody test and Hepatitis B surface antigen and antibody blood test and an HIV test and counseling shall be offered. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. None of the three sexual abuse allegations involved penetration that would require emergency contraception or sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that inmates receive timely information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. The interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none involved penetration or touching that would require information and access to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

115.82 (d): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged a co-payment for medical treatment, including forensic medical examinations, obtained for alleged sexual abuse.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, first responders and inmates who reported sexual abuse indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

115.83	<p>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) 4. Medical and Mental Health Documents <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff 2. Interviews with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Observations of Medical Treatment Areas <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.83 (a): The PAQ indicated the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. Additionally, 04.01.301, page 6 states that if it is determined that the offender was previously a victim of sexual abuse, the facility PCM shall notify medical and mental health staff within fourteen days of the screening. During the tour, the auditor observed that health services included a reception area, exam rooms, treatment rooms and an emergency room. Exam rooms and treatment rooms provided privacy through doors with windows and curtains. The emergency room was</p>
--------	---

a larger room that was being utilized for storage. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. Additionally, all six sexual harassment victims were provided medical and/or mental health services. A review of documentation indicated there were twelve inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk assessment. Eight were documented with accepting a mental health follow-up, two declined a mental health follow-up and one was not documented with being offered a mental health follow-up. At the issuance of the interim report no documentation was provided related to the accepted mental health follow-ups.

115.83 (b): 04.01.301, page 8 states that any offender who alleges to be a victim of sexual abuse shall be referred to health services for examination, treatment and evidence collection in accordance with Paragraph II.G.5 and shall be evaluated by mental health services or a crisis intervention team member within 24 hours to assess the need for counseling services. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. Additionally, all six sexual harassment victims were provided medical and/or mental health services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that they provide on-going and follow-up services to inmate victims of sexual abuse. A few of the services include: SAFE/SANE, counseling, lab testing, trauma counseling, referral to Growing Strong Sexual Abuse Center, narrative therapy, group counseling and individual treatment plans. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated two were provided follow-up services.

115.83 (c): The facility provides access to medical and mental health staff on-site and also transports inmates to the local hospital for treatment that is not available at the facility. All medical and mental health care staff are required to have the appropriate licensure and credentials. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. Additionally, all six sexual harassment victims were provided medical and/or mental health services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that the services they provide are consistent with the community level of care.

115.83 (d): The PAQ indicated female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated are offered pregnancy tests. 04.10.301, page 10 states female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results from the sexual abuse, such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawfully pregnancy-related medical services. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. None of the three sexual abuse allegations involved penetration that would require pregnancy tests.

115.83 (e): The PAQ indicated if pregnancy results from sexual abuse while incarcerated, victims receive timely and comprehensive information about, and timely access to, all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. 04.10.301, page 10 states female victims of sexual abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results from the sexual abuse, such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawfully pregnancy-related medical services. The PREA Manual, page 45 states that if pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph (d) of this section (sexually abusive vaginal penetration), such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. It further states that Department healthcare providers shall deliver comprehensive prenatal counseling and care for pregnant female offenders. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none of their allegations involved penetration and as such this provision does not apply. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that female victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration would be offered pregnancy tests as information and access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services. The staff stated these services would be offered immediately when the sexual abuse is known. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. None of the three sexual abuse allegations involved penetration that would require information and access to pregnancy related information.

115.83 (f): The PAQ indicated that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. 04.01.301, page 9 states that a general physical examination for recent sexual abuse shall include, but not be limited to: a blood test (RPR for Syphilis); culture smears for seminal fluid, Gonorrhea, Chlamydia and other Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) as appropriate; Hepatitis C antibody test and Hepatitis B surface antigen and antibody blood test and an HIV test and counseling shall be offered. The interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated that none involved penetration or touching that would require information and access to testing for sexually transmitted infections. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that inmates are offered STI testing. A review of the three sexual abuse allegations indicated all three victims were provided medical and mental health services. None of the three sexual abuse allegations involved penetration that would require tests for sexually transmitted infections.

115.83 (g): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. 04.01.301, page 9 states that offenders shall not be charged a co-payment for medical treatment,

including forensic medical examinations, obtained for alleged sexual abuse. The interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse indicated none of the three were not required to pay for their services.

115.83 (h): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. The PREA Manual, page 46 states that all prisons shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. There was one inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegation that were deemed substantiated. At the issuance of the interim report documentation had not yet been provided related to the mental health evaluation. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate that any known individual in custody perpetrator would be transferred back to Logan and they would provide mental health services, including an evaluation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the PREA Manual, medical and mental health documents, observations made during the tour and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to require corrective action. A review of documentation indicated there were twelve inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk assessment. Eight were documented with accepting a mental health follow-up, two declined a mental health follow-up and one was not documented with being offered a mental health follow-up. At the issuance of the interim report no documentation was provided related to the accepted mental health follow-ups. There was one inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegation that were deemed substantiated. At the issuance of the interim report documentation had not yet been provided related to the mental health evaluation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation, including the mental health follow-up documents and the documentation related to the mental health evaluation (or attempt) of the inmate-on-inmate perpetrator. If documentation is not available, the facility will need to provide a list of inmates that arrived during the CAP and associated risk screening and mental health follow-ups, if applicable, for every tenth inmate on the list. Further the facility will need to provide a list of inmates that disclosed prior victimization during the risk screening (during the CAP) and associated mental health documentation. Additionally, the facility will need to complete a mental health evaluation on the known perpetrator and provide confirmation. Mental health staff should also be provided training on this requirement.

	<p>Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report</p> <p>The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.</p> <p>Additional Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mental Health Documentation 2. Staff Training <p>The facility provided the originally requested documentation. All inmates who disclosed prior sexual victimization that accepted the mental health follow-up were provided mental health services.</p> <p>The facility provided training with staff related to following up with the facility that receives the known inmate perpetrator related to a mental health evaluation. The facility does not house predators or known inmate-on-inmate abusers due to the security level and as such any known inmate-on-inmate abusers would be immediately transferred. Staff signatures were provided confirming receipt and understanding of the training memo.</p> <p>Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Investigative Reports

4. Sexual Abuse Incident Review (DOC 0593)

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
3. Interview with Incident Review Team

Findings (By Provision):

115.86 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. Such reviews shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The PAQ stated there were three criminal and/or administrative investigation of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility excluding only unfounded incidents. A review investigations indicated that three required a sexual abuse incident review. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not provided the requested documentation.

115.86 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation. The PAQ further stated that in the past twelve months there were two sexual abuse incident review completed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. Such reviews shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. A review investigations indicated that three required a sexual abuse incident review. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not provided the requested documentation.

115.86 (c): The PAQ indicated that the sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. 04.01.301, page 11 states

that the review team, at minimum, shall include: Assistant Chief Administrative Officer; Shift Commander or Lieutenant; a representative from Internal Affairs; the PCM, a representative from medical and a representative from mental health. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a sexual abuse incident review team and the team is made up of upper level management, line supervisors, investigators, medical staff and mental health care staff.

115.86 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews including, but not necessarily limited to, determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the review, including any reports of findings or any recommendation for improvement, shall be documented on the DOC 0593, Sexual Abuse Incident Review. A review of the DOC 0593 confirmed that a section for each element under this provision as well as recommendations is included in the form. A review investigations indicated that three required a sexual abuse incident review. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not provided the requested documentation. Interviews with the Warden, PCM and incident review team member confirmed that these reviews are being completed and they include all the required elements under this provision. The Warden stated that they use information from the sexual abuse incident reviews to put together a plan on how to help and assist in preventing and deterring an incident in the future. She further stated they use it to look for any deficiencies so they can fix them. The PCM stated that she is part of the sexual abuse incident review team and that she has reviewed the reports and has not noticed any trends. The PCM indicated they have only completed one sexual abuse incident review in the previous twelve months and as such there were not actions to take.

115.86 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so. 04.01.301, page 11 states that the DOC 0593 shall be forwarded to the Chief Administrative Officer so recommendations for improvement may be considered. Any recommendation not implemented shall be documented on the DOC 0593 including justification for not doing so. A review of the DOC 0593 confirmed that the form has a section for recommendations. A review investigations indicated that three required a sexual abuse incident review. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not provided the requested documentation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative report, sexual abuse incident reviews (DOC 0593) and information from interviews with the Warden, the PCM and a member of the sexual abuse incident review team, this standard appears to require

corrective action. A review investigations indicated that three required a sexual abuse incident review. At the issuance of the interim report the facility had not provided the requested documentation.

Corrective Action

The facility will need to provide the requested documentation. If it does not exist, the facility will need to ensure that sexual abuse incident reviews are completed as required under this standard. The facility will need to provide a list of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations during the corrective action plan and all associated sexual abuse incident reviews.

Verification of Corrective Action Since the Interim Audit Report

The auditor gathered and analyzed the following additional evidence provided by the facility during the corrective action period relevant to the requirements in this standard.

Additional Documents:

1. List of Sexual Abuse Allegations During Corrective Action Period
2. Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews

The facility provided a list of sexual abuse allegations reported during the corrective action period. The one sexual abuse allegation had a sexual abuse incident review completed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. While the sexual abuse incident review was completed within the appropriate timeframe, the auditor viewed that it was just a checklist and did not include any incident specific information. The auditor advised that the facility would need to train staff on the sexual abuse incident review process and re-do the sexual abuse incident review.

The PC provided training with the sexual abuse incident review team members and provided an example of what information needs to be included in the sexual abuse incident reviews. The facility then went back and updated the completed sexual abuse incident review to include incident specific information for each section required under this standard.

Based on the documentation provided the facility has corrected this standard and as such appears to be compliant.

115.87 Data collection

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. PREA Checklist
4. Investigative Reports
5. Annual PREA Report
6. Survey of Sexual Victimization

Findings (By Provision):

115.87 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's

statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of investigative reports and the PREA Checklist confirm that information/data related to each sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegation is reported and documented. The PREA Checklist is then forwarded to the PC to assist with compiling statistical data to identify trends.

115.87 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year.

115.87 (c): The PAQ indicated that the standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. A review of the agency's most recent Survey of Sexual Victimization (formerly known as Survey of Sexual Violence) confirms that the agency collects appropriate information using a standardized instrument and reports the appropriate information via the SSV.

115.87 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident

based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall.

115.87 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency obtains incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of inmates and that data from private facilities complies with SSV reporting regarding content. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year. The data included information from the contracted private facilities.

115.87 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency provided the Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year upon request. 04.01.301, page 13 states that upon request, the report shall be submitted to the Department of Justice.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, investigative reports, the PREA Checklist, the Survey of Sexual Victimization and the Annual PREA Report this standard appears to be compliant.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program
3. Annual PREA Report

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head
2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.88 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, response policies, and training, including: identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment

overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year. The interview with the Agency Head indicated that the agency collects data on a quarterly basis and they do trend analysis on the data. He stated that the data assist with identifying hot spots and other variables and they determine action plans for each facility and agency as whole. He further confirmed they utilize the data to determine measures to put in place to correct any issues. The PC confirmed that the agency reviews data that is collected in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of the sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies. He stated the Agency Head approves the report and that the information is published on the agency website. He further stated that trends are analyzed and appropriate corrective action is taken based off of this information. The interview with the PCM indicated that the facility data goes to others and it is combined to look for trends and recommendations.

115.88 (b): The PAQ indicated that the annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and that the annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. 04.01.301, pages 12-13 state that the Chief Administrative Officer and the facility PCM shall conduct an annual evaluation of the Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program at their respective facility and submit to the PC a written report of the findings. The report should at minimum include: a review of each incident of sexual abuse or harassment that occurred during the reporting period; program and procedural changes implemented based on recommendations of the review team; training needs to ensure future safety and security; record of referrals to outside community resources; record of referrals for post-release service and statistical data. Policy further states that upon receipt of the reports from each facility, the agency PC shall assess the overall effectiveness of the Department's Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program and submit a written report to the Director that has at minimum: statistical data and corrective action by facility; aggregated incident based sexual abuse or harassment data for the Department; perceived areas of concern and recommended or implemented improvements; a comparison of the current year's statistical data and corrective action with those of previous reporting periods; and an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse or harassment overall. A review of the Annual PREA Report indicates that it includes agency accomplishments, facilities audited during the year, statistical data and corrective actions. The report compares data from 2014 through the current year.

115.88 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website and that the annual reports are approved by the Agency Head. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the annual report shall be made available on the Department's website no later than June 30th of the year subsequent to the reporting period. The interview with the Agency Head

	<p>confirmed that he reviews and approves the annual report. A review of the website confirmed that the current annual report as well as prior annual reports are available for review.</p> <p>115.88 (d): The PAQ indicated that when the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication, the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility and that the agency indicates the nature of material redacted. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the final report shall not contain any personal identifiers. The Department may redact information on the posted report if said information would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility or the Department. A review of Annual PREA Report confirmed there was no personal identifying information included nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information. The interview with the PC indicated that confidential and sensitive individual in custody or staff information is redacted. In consultation with the Legal Department, a determination would be made regarding what information would be redacted and justification for such redaction would be provided.</p> <p>Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the Annual PREA Report, the website and information obtained from interviews with the Agency Head, PC and PCM, this standard appears to be compliant.</p>
--	--

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Administrative Directive 04.01.301 Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program 3. PREA Sexual Abuse and Harassment Prevention and Intervention Program Manual (PREA Manual) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.89 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. The PREA Manual, page 50 states that the agency shall ensure that data collected pursuant to 115.87 are securely retained. The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated that all identifying information regarding PREA allegations is treated as confidential and maintained in secure databases and secured drives. Any hard-copy documents are maintained via double-locked storage.

115.89 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts be made readily available to the public at least annually through its website. 04.01.301, page 14 states the annual report shall be made available on the Department's website no later than June 30th of the year subsequent that of the reporting period. A review of the website confirmed that the current annual report, which includes aggregated data, as well as prior annual reports are available for review.

115.89 (c): The PAQ indicated that before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. 04.01.301, page 13 states that the final report shall not contain any personal identifiers. A review of the Annual PREA Report confirmed there was no personal identifying information included nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information.

115.89 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to Standard 115.87 for at least ten years after the date of initial collection, unless federal, state or local law requires otherwise. 04.01.301, page 13 states that all reports and statistical data shall be retained for a period of no less than ten years. A review of prior Annual PREA Reports confirmed that data is available from 2014 to current.

Based on a review of the PAQ, 04.01.301, the Annual PREA Report, the agency website and information obtained from the interview with the PREA Coordinator, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.401 (a): The facility is part of the Illinois Department of Corrections. All facilities were audited in the previous three-year audit cycle and audit report are found on the agency's website.</p> <p>115.401 (b): The facility is part of the Illinois Department of Corrections. The Department has a schedule for all their facilities to be audited within the three-year cycle, with one third being audited in each cycle. The facility is being audited in the third year of the three-year cycle.</p> <p>115.401 (h) - (m): The auditor had access to all areas of the facility; was permitted to review any relevant policies, procedure or documents and was permitted to conduct private interviews.</p> <p>115.401 (n): The facility provided photos confirming the audit announcement was placed around the facility six weeks prior to the audit. The auditor observed the audit announcement in each housing unit on pink letters size paper. Audit announcements were in English and Spanish and were located on housing unit entrance walls and common area hallways. The audit noticed advised the inmates that correspondence with the auditor would remain confidential unless the inmate reported information such as sexual abuse, harm to self or harm to others. The detainees were able to send correspondence via special mail. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from inmates at the facility.</p>

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.403 (f): The agency has audit reports published to their website for all audits</p>

	completed during the previous three, three year audit cycles.
--	---

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	yes

	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	yes

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	yes
115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication	yes

	with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who	yes

	may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	

	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	

	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	

	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes

	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and	yes

	Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or	yes

	suspicious of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective	yes

	screening instrument?	
115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10)	yes

	Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive	yes

	information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes

	present management or security problems?	
115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing	yes

	solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	

	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d) Protective Custody		
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e) Protective Custody		
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.51 (b) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain	yes

	anonymous upon request?	
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from	yes

	this standard.)	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	

	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers,	na

	including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual	yes

	abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in	yes

	response to an incident of sexual abuse?	
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of	yes

	sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations	yes

	of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes

	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes

115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually	yes

	abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish	yes

	evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior	yes

	sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse	

	victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes

115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant	yes

	to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	

	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403	Audit contents and findings	

(f)		
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes